

making payments of contributions. Therefore, the conditions required under Section 108.22(9) of the Wisconsin Statutes were not satisfied for imposing personal liability on him for unemployment compensation tax delinquencies.... The Appeal Tribunal therefore finds that Leo E. Wanta is not personally liable for the delinquent unemployment compensation taxes of Falls Vending Service, Inc., within the meaning of Section 108.22(9) of the Statutes' (25C).

### OBITUARY NOTICE OF ACTUAL OWNER OF FALLS VENDING SERVICE, INC.

On 10th April 2007, the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel carried the following obituary of the veteran actual owner of Falls Vending Service, Inc., noting that he was buried at Spring Hill Cemetery, Milwaukee, which, a special informant tells us, is a well-known mafia cemetery. The text of this obituary read as follows (please be patient!):

Engle, Jerome S: Passed away Friday, April 6, 2007, in Palm Springs, CA, aged 85 years, of Fox Point, beloved husband of Joyce Engle (nee Skowron). Dear father of Margie (Thomas) Krauskopf and Craig (Linda Engle Roeming) Engle. Fond brother of Howard (Esther Marsa) Engle and brother-in-law of Eddie (Betty) Levi. Loving grandfather of Sara Krauskopf, Joshua Krauskopf, Rachel (Joe) Walts, Miriam Engle and Eliza Engle. Preceded in death by his siblings, Jeanne Werner and Maxine Levi. Jerry was a long time volunteer, helping patients at Desert Hospital in Palm Springs, CA. He was owner of Falls Vending Service in Menomonee Falls and then Butler, WI for many years and previously worked at Sampson's appliance store. During World War II he was a member of the 90th Infantry Division, 357th Regiment. He was a medic on Utah Beach in June 1944, rose to the rank of captain and was awarded the Bronze Star. Graveside services 11:00 AM, Friday, April 13, 2007 at Spring Hill Cemetery, 166 S. Hawley Ct., Milwaukee. In lieu of flowers, memorial contributions to the Lucy Curci Cancer Center at the Eisenhower Hospital Medical Center, 39000 Bob Hope Drive, Rancho Mirage, CA 92270; COA Youth and Family Center, 909E North Ave, Milwaukee 53212 (414) 263 8383; or a charity of your choice would be appreciated. BLANE GOODMAN FUNERAL SERVICE. Online guestbook and information [www.blanegoodmanfunerals.com](http://www.blanegoodmanfunerals.com) (262) 241-4444'.

Yet this further confirmation that Leo Wanta never had any ownership of Falls Vending Service (which was owned by this now deceased Jerome S. Engle), augmenting Chief US District Judge John W. Reynolds' ORDER and the other two referenced court findings to that same effect, has made no difference whatsoever to separate, PARALLEL demands addressed to Leo Wanta with which he has been plagued, as a FURTHER obfuscation stage of the ongoing orchestrated Tax Gestapo conspiracy against him, begun in the early 1990s - and which the Luciferian duplication and obfuscation strategists have been unable to stop for fear that their entire house of corruption will finally implode on their heads, bringing exposure and closure to the unresolved assassination of President Kennedy to boot. This is the last thing they want to happen.

### SO SENSITIVE, THEY CONTINUE WITH THEIR SCAMMING

Indeed, it is precisely because the consequences of the unravelling of 'Wisconsin-gate' are so devastating for the criminal intelligence 'Brotherhood' and their organised crime associates, that this PARALLEL, DUPLICATED offensive has been carried on quite separately from the Restitution Order component of this scandal, which was what the Editor thought he was solely dealing with.

On the contrary, Wisconsin Attorney General James E Doyle, now the Governor of this State, wrote to Judge Michael B. Torphy following the sentencing of Leo Wanta on 20th November 1995, citing Case # 92CF683, and stating *inter alia* that he had decided not to have the Court include the 'Falls Vending' amount (then standing at \$646,918.91) in the intended court restitution order, because:

'I suspect that any attempts to collect such a large amount through the good offices of the Department of Corrections as part of a restitution order would be impractical, if not futile'.

However the real reason Mr Doyle advised Judge Torphy that chasing this huge amount via the court's intended restitution order would be futile, may have been that the Attorney General will have known (not least from the cited court orders and the Appeal Tribunal Decision) that Leo Wanta was not personally responsible for paying the 'Falls Vending' unemployment compensation tax delinquencies.



The implication is thus that Leo Wanta is the victim of a grotesque miscarriage of justice brought about by the gravest of offences: perjury, subornation of perjury and prosecutorial misconduct.

Then he added: 'The Department of Revenue will continue to avail itself of whatever civil remedies it may have in its collection efforts. For the Court's information, the amount owed on defendant's delinquent tax account as of November 20, 1995, was \$646,918.91'.

The Wisconsin Department of Industry, Labor and Human Relations disregarded the ORDER handed down by the Chief US District Judge John W. Reynolds on 7th September 1984 confirming that Leo Wanta was 'only an employee of the company', as well as Waukesha County Circuit Judge Robert T. McGraw's ORDER dated the 4th April 1985 that 'Leo Wanta is not individually liable for any claimed wages owing' by Falls Vending Service, Inc.; and prior to the Appeal Tribunal Decision by Judge Jo Rehbein, the WI Department of Industry, Labor and Human Relations had incorrectly 'determined' that Leo Wanta was personally liable for the payment of delinquent unemployment compensation taxes owed by Falls Vending Service, Inc., in the amount of \$45,995.31, incorporating late filing fees and interest, computed through August 31, 1988' – many years after Leo Emil Wanta had left Falls Vending Service. The Wisconsin Department of Revenue then proceeded to ignore the Decision of the Appeal Tribunal handed down by one of the State's own Judges on behalf of its Department of Industry, Labor and Human Relations, so that the already inflated sum of \$45,995.31 had ballooned to well over \$1.0 million by July 2007 [see below] (25D).

### THE CUNNING, CRUEL, SECRET AGENDA

But what was really intended (and has since occurred according to plan) was that:

(1) Ambassador Leo Emil Wanta should suffer in jail, under house arrest and on probation (until 28th November 2010) for evading tax of \$14,129 that he never owed, that had been settled under protest twice in 1992 and formally declared by Outagamie County Circuit Court as having been 'fully satisfied' and discharged, on 1st June 1993.

(2) The successive payments and various settlements of the fabricated Wisconsin State civil tax assessments, with interest and penalties, should continue *ad infinitum* to be wrongly credited and/or diverted or stolen, even despite the WI Department of Corrections having received this Editor's private funds and confirmed by its official receipt that the funds had been 'paid in full' for 'Rest (Restitution)'. The Department of Corrections is by no means 'out of the wood' and may have failed to exercise appropriate due diligence in respect of disposition of the Editor's funds.

(3) No matter how many times the 'restitution' was paid, the Wisconsin Department of Revenue reserved the option of continuing to claim the same funds until the end of the solar system, hiding behind its multiple 'duplication' cover, and to go so far as to seek further 'Delinquent Tax Warrants' to procure payment of the same long since settled illegal civil tax assessments over and over...

(4) If and when Leo Wanta ever managed to escape from the clutches of the GULAG apparatus, he would be confronted on emerging from that hell, with the parallel spurious tax levies that Attorney General Doyle had cunningly 'decided' to leave out of Judge Torphy's Restitution Order – thereby providing the ruthless criminal strategists with a 'duplicated' means, in the future, of triggering the entrapment process all over again.

### POSSIBLE INTENTION OF GAINING A FALSE LIEN ON SETTLEMENT

In the prevailing context, the objective may be to establish a lien on the Ambassador's Settlement funds. It is this Editor's firm intention to expose this probable criminal objective and conspiracy, so that the evil intentions of the controllers are thwarted and the perpetrators are themselves given a 'taste of their own medicine'.

At all events, on 12th February 2007, a separate 'Notice of Pending Internet Posting' addressed to Leo Wanta cited a fictitious 'Falls Vending' 'balance due' of \$897,375.07. From time to time in the past, the Tax Gestapo had issued demands for large sums in pursuit of this 'parallel' fabricated escalating tax liability based on a separate 'line' exploiting an official 'assumption' that Leo Wanta was the owner of Falls Vending Service, which was never the case [see above], to which Attorney Steven



Goodwin failed to draw to the Editor's attention. These 'nuisance' assessments exploit the mentioned fictitious contention by the Wisconsin State Department of Revenue that Leo Wanta is responsible for the alleged Falls Vending Service unemployment compensation tax delinquencies.

On the face of it, therefore, the expenditure of the Editor's personal loan funds has done nothing other than to shorten Leo Emil Wanta's probation period by about five years. However it should separately have procured a 'Satisfaction of Restitution Order' document from the relevant (Dane County) Wisconsin Court; yet Attorney Steven Goodwin has not procured the production of such a document from the Judge and the Court.

On 10th June 2007, the date when, after 730 days, the Editor's loan funds should have been repaid, the Editor sent a comprehensive dossier on this matter by courier and (a week later) separately by recorded mail, both to Judge Michael B. Torphy Jr. and to the Dane County Court. The Editor has received no acknowledgement from either recipient.

### MISUSE OF EDITOR'S FUNDS TO PAY STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER

A further matter of concern is that on 4th August 2005, the Wisconsin Department of Corrections drew a second cheque, for \$4,167.64, using the Editor's funds, in favour of the Wisconsin Public Defender's Office [cheque references: P 0524327; 16183939], the front and processed reverse of which is illustrated in Figure 3 on page 422 of *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2. This payment has been made in violation of the State of Wisconsin's very own Statute prohibiting publicly funded legal representation of a defendant who has access to financial resources with which to pay his own private legal counsel, as guaranteed by the US Constitution.

Leo repeatedly requested to be allowed to appoint his own private counsel but was repeatedly told he could not do so, and wound up with an Attorney appointed by the State, Mr John A Chavez, who became so exasperated when informed by Leo Wanta of the perjury and subornation of perjury that was intended by the State for the purpose of misleading Judge Torphy's Court, that he asked Wanta to sack him. Ambassador Wanta retorted that he was in no position to dismiss him because he had not hired Chavez in the first place, having requested to be able to appoint and thus to pay for his own private legal counsel and having been barred from doing so.

The Editor is concerned that, in addition to \$24,900.91 of his funds having apparently been booked to the fabricated 'Falls Vending' 'account' – whereas they had been accepted by the Department of Corrections as being specifically for court restitution of Judge Torphy's Order in Case # 92CF683 which has nothing to do with Falls Vending (as confirmed by the receipt prepared and signed by Probation Agent Michelle Riel on 21st July 2005) – the second Department of Corrections cheque using his funds, for \$4,167.64, was also irregular, in the manner summarised above.

It is noted that former Wisconsin Attorney General James E Doyle's already cited letter to Judge Torphy asking his court to exclude the 'Falls Vending' amount (then \$646,918.91) from the intended restitution order, begins with the following assertion:

'I am herewith providing the court with a statement of costs for legal representation provided by the Office of the State Public Defender. The court ordered restitution for such costs pursuant to Sec. 973.09(1g), State. That statement is attached as Exhibit 1'.

However, as indicated, Leo Wanta repeatedly asked to appoint and pay for his own private legal representation, so the court's order in this regard appears to have been based upon 'defective' information, given that, as noted, the appointment of a State Public Defender in a case where the defendant is able to pay for his own counsel, is illegal under Wisconsin State law. The court illegally ordered the Public Defender's costs to be paid, even though they should never have been incurred.

So this further apparent misuse of the Editor's loan funds is of grave concern, too, and simply exacerbates the crisis, which has escalated in the context of the failure of Judge Torphy and the court to respond to the Editor's June 2007 communications enclosing documented evidence of these tax fabrications, coupled with its failure also to provide a 'Satisfaction of Restitution Order' document enabling the Editor to be afforded the proper accounting of the use of his funds to which he and Ambassador Wanta are legally and morally entitled.



**WHY THE COURT HAS NOT ISSUED THE 'SATISFACTION' DOCUMENT**

One reason that the necessary 'Satisfaction of Restitution Order' has not been forthcoming is that issuance of this essential document by the Judge and the court would itself represent fraud, given the history of this case. Yet failure to issue this document broadcasts loud and clear that multiple fraud has anyway been committed. Either way, it would appear that the Judge, the court, the former Attorney General, now Governor, James E. Doyle, the other officials named in our reports, and both the Department of Corrections and the Department of Revenue, and their relevant officials, as well as Steven Goodwin, may be enmeshed in this nexus of serial fraud against both the Ambassador and now, the Editor of this service. Probation Agent Michelle Riel signed a receipt for the Editor's funds on which she stated that the funds paid over by Attorney Steven D. Goodwin had been formally received by her on behalf of the Department of Corrections for 'Restitution', that is to say, had been paid in full in accordance with Judge Torphy's Restitution Order. By failing to provide the necessary 'Satisfaction of Restitution' document and to respond to the Editor's communication and dossiers dated June 2007, which drew the attention of the recipients to the fabrications and frauds, the State Court may have indicated that the Editor's funds were indeed received fraudulently, and have been disposed of fraudulently, thereby entangling ALL the parties in these egregious felonies.

**EDITOR TO PETITION UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT**

In practice, therefore, the antics of the Wisconsin Department of Revenue have ensured that the present ongoing forensic analysis and the public exposure of these Wisconsin tax fabrications against Ambassador Leo Emil Wanta have been ratcheted up, to the point at which this Editor has accumulated devastating evidence of multiple oppressive felonies committed by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue, individual employees thereof, and others against both Leo E. Wanta and, now, the Editor himself. Being a 'foreigner', this Editor is entitled to apply to the United States Supreme Court for a proper accounting of his funds, which has STILL not yet been forthcoming, despite the Editor having provided Judge Torphy and the Court with documented evidence of this convoluted wrongdoing; so preparations are in hand for an application to the US Supreme Court.

Meanwhile, let us take a final look, for now, at the 'Falls Vending' dimension of this scandalous state of affairs. On the aforementioned WI Department of Revenue document dated 15th February 2007, demanding \$897,375.07, the ID# cited is as follows: 5QJLF7V5.

This is the same reference number as is featured on the 'Delinquent Tax Warrant # 44-00162088', which, as we have seen, was 'fully satisfied' as confirmed by the 'Satisfaction of Delinquent Tax Warrant' dated 1st June 1993, referenced above. Pointing out the obvious, we have already seen that no 'Delinquent Tax Warrant' can be 'fully satisfied' by more than 100%.

Exactly what is 'fuller' than 'fully'? It cannot ever be 'over-fully satisfied'. The truth of the matter, therefore, is that the 'account' referenced by the rubric 5QJLF7V5 was 'satisfied' and discharged by Wisconsin State Outagamie County Circuit Court 14 years ago, according to the paper trail.

**EDITOR'S LOAN FUNDS IMPROPERLY CREDITED?**

Since no 'Satisfaction of Restitution Order' has been forthcoming from the Wisconsin Court, and the 'account number' 5QJLF7V5 was written by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue onto the cheque for \$24,900.91 drawn in its favour by the Wisconsin Department of Corrections, which had accepted the Editor's funds proffered by Attorney Steven D Goodwin on 21st July 2005 as 'Rest. (Restitution) 'Paid in full', it appears to have been credited by the Wisconsin State Department of Revenue to this 'ongoing' fabricated 'Falls Vending' account, which was and is quite outside the Department of Corrections' 'jurisdiction' – rather than specifically to Wisconsin Case #92CF683, as specified in Attorney Goodwin's Escrow Agreement covering the Editor's loan funds. (That case, of course, had been 'settled' (a) per Attorney Thomas A. Wilson's letter and payment to the Wisconsin State Department of Revenue of 12th June 1993, and (b) per 'Delinquent Tax Warrant # 44-00162088 which was 'fully satisfied', discharged, roasted, garnished and marinated with strawberries and cream on 1st June 1993, as has been explained).



**FABRICATED 'FALLS VENDING' ACCOUNT NOW EXCEEDS \$1.0 MILLION**

On 3rd May 2007, the Wisconsin State Department of Revenue issued a further 'Notice of Internet Posting', with this 'second-stream' 'Falls Vending' amount now up to \$903,766.77 (27). On 16th July 2007, a further Wisconsin State Department of Revenue document, displaying different reference numbers and suddenly OMITTING the tell-tale 5QJLF7V5 rubric, was issued, making mention of a 'Total Amount Due' of \$1,040,640.44 (28).

Finally, it will be recalled again that the Wisconsin State Department of Corrections' cheque for \$24,900.91 of the Editor's private loan funds dated 4th August 2005, was marked by the Department of Revenue with this self-same rubric: 5QJLF7V5.

So, this Editor's private funds have been allocated (a) to an 'account' that was Court- and Notary-certified in June 1993 for 'personal State tax' to have been 'fully satisfied and 'discharged'; and yet (b) to an account carrying the same 5QJLF7V5 reference for 'corporate State tax' that is nothing to do with Wisconsin Case #92CF683 for which the Editor's funds were provided, and is nothing to do with Ambassador Leo Wanta and has never been his responsibility, either. One wonders whether the newly deceased Jerome S. Engle was intending that these mythical tax proceeds should be remitted for the benefit of his 'family' after his departure from these shores.

**CORRECTIONS RECEIPTED EDITOR'S FUNDS FOR 'RESTITUTION'**

The Editor's contribution paid to Wisconsin probation Agent Michelle Riel on 21st July 2005 was recorded and receipted by her for 'Rest' (Restitution); and on the computerised document that she generated the next day, Michelle Riel wrote that the sum consistent with Judge Michael B. Torphy's Restitution Order had been 'Paid in Full'. Attorney Steven Goodwin has represented to this Editor that these documents – plus the fact that the former Secretary of the Wisconsin State Department of Corrections, Matthew J. Frank, issued an 'Absolute Discharge' terminating Ambassador Wanta's (illegal) probation effective 14th November 2005 (some five years 'early') – 'should' represent proof enough that Leo Wanta has fulfilled his court-ordered Restitution 'obligations'.

The Editor responded by informing Attorney Goodwin that, according to the Editor's information, the law attaches no meaning to the word 'should'. The Court has not provided the 'satisfaction' of Judge Torphy's Restitution Order that it should have provided, so this Editor possesses no proper accounting for his outstanding loan funds, which he has reason to believe have not been properly credited (i.e. they may have been stolen).

The Editor is advised that the Court must furnish Ambassador Wanta with a document signifying the 'satisfaction' of the Court's Restitution Order. As indicated, this has not been forthcoming, and the Wisconsin Department of Revenue have ignored the restitution payment and are continuing to dun the Ambassador for the same civil tax assessment. The Editor wrote to both the Judge and the Court in June, but as indicated has not received the courtesy of a response from either party.

**ARE JUDGE AND COURT CO-CONSPIRATORS ALSO?**

By not responding, both parties would appear to have placed themselves in a position which would seem to imply that they may also be co-conspirators in the fabrications and frauds against, and the illegal arrest, incarceration, house arrest and probation of, Ambassador Leo Emil Wanta. By failing to procure the necessary 'satisfaction' document, Attorney Goodwin is likewise in an unenviable position, especially since the Escrow Agreement which he prepared and which confirms him to be Trustee of the Editor's loan funds, cites Wisconsin case # 92CF683 – which not least is the self-same Case Number that was settled by means of the cheque for \$14,129.00 tendered by Appleton Attorney Thomas A Wilson under cover of his letter to the Wisconsin Department of Revenue dated 12th June 1992, in which Mr Wilson specifically cited this same Case # 92CF683.

**THE IMPORTANCE OF 'WISCONSINGATE', IN PART, IS THAT THE FINANCIAL CORRUPTION TRAIL LEADS THROUGH WISCONSIN TO THE CLINTONS**



## **FABRICATED 'FALLS VENDING' (DUPLICATED) TRAP EXPOSED**

In summary, the parallel phoney 'Falls Vending Service' civil tax assessments, enjoying their own separate 'existence', have carried on as though nothing had happened. Specifically, as we have seen, the Editor's \$24,900.91 was paid on 4th August 2005 by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue to an account with the rubric 5QJLF7V5, that appears on the documents alleging 'Total Amount Due' which has now escalated to over a million dollars. Any breakdown of this fictitious account should reveal, therefore, an incorrect 'credit' of \$24,900.91 to this 'Falls Vending Service, Inc.' account in August 2005: or, put another way, absent that payment, the current fictitious amount would be the fake sum of \$1,040,640.44 + \$24,900.91 = \$1,065,541.35. The Editor has asked Leo Wanta's Attorney Thomas Henry if he will now obtain a comprehensive breakdown of this fictitious tax 'account' to confirm this expectation.

As we have seen, this separate 'line' of tax demands has proceeded outside the jurisdiction of the Court and the State Department of Corrections, having been excluded from the Court's Restitution Order because, according to the letter from then Wisconsin Attorney General James E Doyle, citing Case # 92CF683, he had decided not incorporate the 'Falls Vending' amount in the intended court Restitution Order (18). As also mentioned above, Judge John W. Reynolds, Chief US District Judge, United States District Court, Eastern District of Wisconsin, had confirmed in his ORDER dated 7th September 1984 (C.A. # 84-C-359), that 'Wanta... is only an employee of the company [Falls Vending Service]. The owner of a company cannot confer standing on a non-lawyer employee by stipulation or otherwise' (19).

But since 'Delinquent Tax Warrant' # 44-00162088 referencing account # 5QJLF7V5, was 'fully satisfied' and discharged on 1st June 1993, and it can be proven that Leo Wanta never owned any part of Falls Vending Service, which was in fact owned by the deceased Jerome S. Engle, it is not going to be possible for the Wisconsin Department of Revenue to sustain any dimension of these fabrications in a court of law. It is also factual that Leo Wanta received a tax refund from the State of Wisconsin for \$2,053.00 dated 11th May 1984, indicating that he owed no tax to the State from earlier years, when he was engaged in his FBI 'sting' working as an employee of Falls Vending (20).

## **EDITOR MUST RECEIVE PROPER ACCOUNTING FOR HIS FUNDS**

Yet this Editor must be provided with the necessary proper accounting for the use of his funds remitted in 2005 to Attorney Steven Goodwin as Trustee for the benefit of the Ambassador – an accounting which he has a right to demand, and which Attorney Steven Goodwin, who accepted the Editors' funds as Trustee, has so far failed to procure from the Wisconsin Taxation Gestapo or to provide to this Editor.

Separately, since Joanne E. Wanta accepted Leo Wanta's second \$14,129 'nuisance' payment in full 'satisfaction' of 'her' component of the illegally 'split' 'Delinquent Tax Warrant # 44-00162088, she converted these funds illegally and is therefore a co-conspirator in this fraudulent operation with the Wisconsin Department of Revenue and other parties.

## **OPERATION TO ENABLE STRATEGISTS TO 'TAKE DOWN' WANTA AGAIN**

The 'Falls Vending Service' 'stream' of Wisconsin State civil tax assessments was clearly intended to provide the long-range deception strategists with a means of 'taking down' the Ambassador at a later stage, and may now be targeted at giving the criminal operatives in that State a prospective lien on Ambassador Wanta's Settlement.

This is one of the reasons why the Editor has exposed these Tax Gestapo fabrications against the Ambassador in such detail. Such a malevolent intention cannot succeed, but it is important for the international financial community to be well aware of the endless duplicity of the organised criminal cadres inside and outside the US intelligence structures – which, given compartmentalisation, may have been unable to see the broader picture. Other dimensions of this component of Wantagate cannot be elaborated here at this time: but given our exposures and these other dimensions, it is not hard to understand why a Wisconsin tax agent suddenly informed the Editor on 7th May 2007 that 'things are jiving here'.



No doubt the minds that applied their standard obfuscation routine to this case imagined that they would never be found out, because the labyrinthine cynical duplication diversions might have been assumed to be enough to baffle Dr Einstein himself.

You will have noticed, of course, that there are TWO obfuscation operations running in parallel here: first, the duplication achieved by splitting 'Delinquent Tax Warrant' # 44-00162088; and secondly, the apparently 'separate' stream of fictitious tax assessments and related documents claiming tax purportedly applicable to Falls Vending Service, with which Leo Wanta severed his connections as an employee in the early 1980s, which he has never owned any part of, and which was owned by this Jerome S. Engle fellow, who is now as dead as a doornail.

### **SUBORNATION OF PERJURY AND PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT**

Thus the criminal cadres' duplication-cum-obfuscation routine in Wisconsin has come very badly unstuck. As this component of the Wantagate scandal unravels, it will become as clear as night follows day to everyone, that Ambassador Leo Wanta is the victim of a ruthless, unscrupulous, cynical, long-range 'take-down' conspiracy based upon subornation of perjury and prosecutorial misconduct, designed to tie him down, to keep him out of the way, to wear him out, and if possible to destroy him – the murder attempts having failed and ceased, as did five attempts to have him certified insane while he was languishing in the American GULAG.

Those behind this scandal no doubt imagined that the application of their standard duplication techniques would baffle everyone, and that nobody would be able to unravel the duplicitous deceptions that the WI Department of Revenue has sustained in respect of 'Wisconsin Case # 92CF683' since around 1990. By the same token, the criminal minds behind the duplication of Ambassador Wanta's \$27.5 trillion that we elaborated upon in our reports dated 27th and 30th July, no doubt assumed that no-one would ever be able to unravel that scam, either. At both levels of deception, the US intelligence and organised criminal operatives were mistaken.

It is not surprising that the belated preoccupation of all concerned is to close down this nexus of scandals before the resulting 'train wreck' destroys not merely the US dollar and the world financial economy, via the most comprehensive destruction of wealth in human history, but the G. W. Bush II Administration itself. The scale of the fabrications perpetrated against Ambassador Leo Emil Wanta by the Wisconsin State Taxation Gestapo are so appalling, that elements of the Federal authorities, even, may not be prepared, we understand, to put up with these abuses any longer.

But just in case the temptation to brush this dimension of Wantagate under the carpet is too great for these weaklings, we have drawn the world's attention to the detail of these fabrications here, in order to place them on the historical record again.

One doesn't want any of this deconstructed detail to be obfuscated, does one (31), (32), (33).

### **EXTENDED NOTE ON THE CRIMINALIST DUPLICATION METHOD:**

Duplication is the essence of the Leninist method, which is itself a manifestation of the primary characteristic of those who call themselves 'the Illuminated ones' – namely, double-mindedness. The 'Illuminati' think they are 'enlightened', but the 'light' that they claim guides them is in fact the false 'light' of Lucifer, whose speciality is lies and confusion, turning everything upside down. This spiritual disease of double-mindedness, to which we are all susceptible and against which we must always be on guard, was explicitly addressed by Jesus Christ in the following passage: 'The light of the body is the eye: if therefore thine eye be single [i.e., if you are single-minded], thy whole body shall be full of light. But if thine eye be evil, thy whole body shall be full of darkness. If therefore the light that is in thee be darkness, how great is that darkness!' [Matthew, Chapter 6, verses 22-23]. Here, the Lord refers quite plainly to darkness masquerading as light, which is the satanic delusion by which the people we are alluding to have allowed themselves to become entrapped.

These people are all 'wise in their own eyes': yet their wisdom is not derived from 'the Way, the Truth and the Life', but rather from the inspiration of Evil, which masquerades in their perception, as 'light'. These people are not 'illuminated', but rather are blinded. When the Pharisee Saul was struck by a



'great light' on the road to Damascus, with letters from the Chief Priests and Scribes to persecute and round up more followers of 'that way' (of Jesus Christ), the light, although it was midday in the glaring sunlight, was so very great that he was blinded for three days. His internal darkness was pitch black: so when he saw this great light, it blinded him for its brightness.

By definition, if we confuse the True Light with darkness, then we are double-minded, because we are asserting the truth to be false, and lies to be the truth: 'Woe unto them that call evil good and good evil, that put darkness for light, and light for darkness; that put bitter for sweet, and sweet for bitter. Woe unto them that are wise in their own eyes, and prudent in their own sight! Which justify the wicked for reward, and take away the righteousness of the righteous from him!' [Isaiah, Chapter 5, verses 20-23].

Double-mindedness, deliberately calling evil good and good evil, confusing the True Light with darkness and *vice versa*, is the primary characteristic of the modern-day followers of the Illuminati patriarchs – those workers of darkness, Dr Adam Weishaupt and Albert Pike (both of whom were sorcerers and necrophiliacs, by the way: see the Editor's latest work, *The New Underworld Order*, available via this website).

Now the manifestations that we can immediately identify of this tell-tale characteristic of double-mindedness that concern us here, include the following:

- **The very word DUPLICITY, which is what we are dealing with, means 'double-mindedness' and reeks of DUPLICATION:** saying one thing, doing another. Hence the complaint that we have been making since the start of Wantagate, to the effect that no undertaking, verbal or formalised in the most solemn manner, of the US Treasury or the White House, can be relied upon – since the US operatives in question are double-minded, duplicitous, and accustomed to running two sets of books. When we further complain that the 'Full Faith and Credit' of the United States has been debauched, we ought also to state that the very concept of 'Full Faith and Credit' is absurd, given that the holders of power operate duplicitously and always speak out of both sides of their mouths.

- o Likewise, it should be unsurprising that no statement, undertaking or action by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue has any meaning, for the same reason. We are now inclined to believe that the same goes for the Wisconsin Department of Corrections, as the Editor has just been informed that on 21st July 2005, Attorney Steven Goodwin spoke with Probation Agent Michelle Riel alone, i.e. spoke to her *'ex parte'*. If that conversation impinged upon the matters of which we complain in this report, the Editor would regard that as an extremely serious issue which will probably need to be exposed in any Supreme Court hearing.

- **On-the-books, off-the-books:** Here is routine double-mindedness in the financial context, par excellence: on-balance sheet, off-balance sheet. Manifestly, if transactions take place off-balance sheet, the on-balance sheet numbers are inaccurate, rendering accounting and auditing a farce, and enmeshing many accountants and auditors in the fraudulent transactions of their clients. The prevailing crisis is all about how the holders of 'fiat money' assets can contrive to position their holdings onto the books without getting caught for tax evasion. One method being deployed is the targeting of 'real assets' in the real economy (corporations, enterprises) for takeover, financing such takeovers by means of massive bank loans, and servicing those loans from the untaxed 'fiat money' assets held in secret bank accounts offshore. This supposedly 'converts' the off-balance sheet assets into 'on-balance sheet' assets, and is also known as money laundering.

- **The Hegelian dialectic, and all Luciferian methodologies that are derived from it** – Thesis, Antithesis, Synthesis: but mainly, Thesis and Antithesis: the two 'opposites' which, in the wholly 'mechanical' world that the 'Illuminated ones' inhabit, are capable, they assert, of manipulation (by them) in order to create the conditions for their pre-planned 'Synthesis' (controlled outcome). The objectives are obfuscation and control.

- **The Leninist duplication method,** which includes the duplication of all institutions in the Party-State: the institutions of the Party are duplicated by the State, and *vice versa*. This means, in the Soviet context, that 'socialist legality' is meaningless, since decisions adopted by the Party are routinely negated by the State, or the reverse as the case may well be, so that the controllers (resident in the



Security Council which oversees all Leninist government structures, including the American model) maintain hegemony at all times. The objectives are obfuscation and control.

● **The duplication of financial transactions**, intended to obfuscate and, in the case described in our analyses published here in late July 2007, to provide cover for the ransacking of targeted wealth, behind the confusion generated by the existence of the duplicated assets, with the intention that in any dispute over ownership, the rug will always be pulled from beneath the feet of claimants, and the assets stolen as intended. The objectives are obfuscation and control.

● **In the Wisconsingate context**, we have observed multiple duplication operations being applied over an extended number of years, with the objective of providing the strategists with a perpetual option to compromise the target (Leo Wanta), that can be exercised at any time. The objectives are obfuscation and control.

● **In the US housing market**, title to a property is typically finalised when a corrupt lawyer requires the person who imagines that he or she will 'own' the property, to sign THREE top copies of the relevant documents. The corrupt lawyer will represent to the target that one top copy is required by the mortgage provider, another top copy by the bank, and a third top copy is for the 'owner' to keep on file. But on sale of the property or (more usually, the death of the 'owner'), all of a sudden one of the holders of the triplicated 'top copy' is liable to appear out of the blue without warning, in order to convince the court that it is the rightful owner of the real estate in question. In this model, there are usually, as noted, three 'top copies' of the relevant documentation: but the underlying principle is the same: duplication. The objectives are obfuscation and control.

● **In the context of the European Union Collective [EU]**, the godless monster spawned out of the daydreams of those Nazi Pan-Germans back in 1942, as we can see from their European hegemony blueprint published in Berlin in that year, '*Europäische Wirtschaftsgemeinschaft*' (meaning *European Economic Community*), the primary Chapter headings of which correspond almost precisely with those of the Maastricht Treaty [see the Editors' book, '*The New Underworld Order*', available from this website, for details]. Duplication is the standard practice inside the bowels of this Luciferian supranational governance structure, which is just about to usurp the sovereignty of 26 nation states in one gulp. Specifically, the resuscitated European Constitution sets up the intended unaccountable European Government, called the European Council among 'the Union's institutions' (Article 9). This Council is not to be confused, however, with the EU 'Council of Ministers', which has, in an example of the duplication-cum-obfuscation technique we are exposing here, suddenly renamed itself 'the Council of the European Union'. **The new EU Treaty states, by the way, that when the Heads of Government meet in Council, they are no longer to represent their own countries.**

● **In the context of the US Federal Budget**, we can see 'negative duplication' applied. Specifically, the earmarked surpluses accruing to the so-called Trust Funds in the budget, are required by US Statute to be invested in the 'Federal Funds' that are employed for current expenditure purposes, which means that these surpluses are 'corruptly' applied for current spending even though they are earmarked. Since the 'earmarked' Trust Fund surpluses will be needed to meet onerous future obligations (welfare, pensions, etc), in order for the observer to be able to arrive at an 'accurate' estimation of the 'background' Federal debt (on the dubious basis of the Office of Management and Budget's unreliable data), it is always necessary to 'add back' the Trust Fund surpluses not once, but twice – once, to account for the fact that these surpluses have been squandered for current expenditures, and a second time, to provide for the earmarked uses for which all these surpluses (derived from Social Security payments, etc) arose in the first place. The objectives are obfuscation and control of perceptions of the Federal Budget.

● **Obsession with TWINS**: Given their ingrained, feckless double-mindedness, and their conscious deployment all the time of duplication techniques for obfuscation purposes, these people have a hang-up and fixation about TWINS. At the most demonic level, they regard twins as 'fair game' for their ongoing experimentation aimed at replacing God with Man, which they seek to do by 'creating life' – imagining that they may be able to do this by studying TWINS, a phenomenon which baffles and fascinates them. They play around with twins in multiple unspeakable ways. By extension, this madness



also embraces physical, non-human manifestations of twinning. In the European Union environment, Brussels has a mania for imposing 'twinning' arrangements upon towns and villages. Anywhere in the English countryside, when you come to a village, you are liable to see, on entering the village, that it is twinned with the French village of Guignol-les-Deux-Eglises, or whatever. In architecture, it is clear that certain structures are built with a 'twinning' purpose in mind.

Since construction of the Twin Towers began on the 11th September 1971, precisely 30 years ahead of their deliberate destruction by explosives (curiously, not 32 years, but 30 years: perhaps 9/11 was brought forward by two years to fit in with the US electoral timetable?), and the atrocity took place for instance on the birthday of Feliks Dzerzhinsky, the founder of Lenin's Cheka (KGB), we can see resonances that are typical of the mentality we are discussing. As for the Twin Cities, we publicised in the report dated 22nd April 2007 what we knew about a plan for detonations in the TWIN cities of Minneapolis-St Paul during the period of the Republican National Convention scheduled to be held there from 1st to 4th September 2008.

This information, which fell unexpectedly into the Editor's hands, was immediately reported to authorities and was recorded in a notarised Affidavit. We publicised it following the Blacksburg atrocity in April this year. Employing 'Black' (Babylonian) numerology, the first day of the 2008 Republican National Convention devolves to 9/11: 9 = September; plus 1 (for the first day of the Convention) + 2 + 8 (2008) = 11 (9/11). An atrocity like this would 'twin' the 9/11 atrocity – one giga-atrocity at the beginning of the reign of George Bush II, and one giga-atrocity at its end. ■

**SECURITIES REGULATIONS OF WHICH BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON IS IN BREACH AND OF WHICH THE SIX 'LEVY BANKS' REFERRED TO EARLIER MAY ALSO, OR MAY SOON BE, IN BREACH [VIZ: CREDIT SUISSE, UBS, DEUTSCHE BANK, BANK OF AMERICA, CITIBANK, BANK OF ENGLAND]:**

- NASD Rule 3120, *et al.*
- NASD Rule 2330, *et al.*
- NASD Conduct Rules 2110 and 3040
- NASD Conduct Rules 2110 and IM-2110-1
- NASD Conduct Rules 2110 and SEC Rule 15c3-1
- NASD Conduct Rules 2110 and 3110
- SEC Rules 17a-3 and 17a-4
- NASD Conduct Rules 2110 and Procedural Rule 8210
- NASD Conduct Rules 2110 and 2330 and IM-2330
- NASD Conduct Rules 2110 and IM-2110-5
- NASD Systems and Programme Rules 6950 through 6957

In addition to which Bank of New York Mellon is in violation of:

- 97-13 Bank Secrecy Act Recordkeeping Rule for funds transfers and transmittals of funds, *et al.*

**Notes and References:**

(1) See Extended Note on the duplication method above. The Editor's work, *'The New Underworld Order'*, can be sourced via the Intelligence Books segment of this website.

(2) *Congressional Record*, 1939, Volume 9.

(3) Supplement published with *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, Third Quarter 2007: *'The Ronald Reagan Library Papers showing that Wanta worked for the President'*, 48 pages: distributed with the journal.

(4) *International Currency Review*, Volume 31, #s 3 & 4, page 267.

(5) *International Currency Review*, Volume 31, #s 3 & 4, page 198; *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 174.

(6) *International Currency Review*, Volume 31, #s 3 & 4, pages 204-205.

(7) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 200: facsimile.

(8) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 203: facsimile.

(9) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 191: facsimile.

(10) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 195: facsimile of front and processed reverse of Attorney Steven D. Goodwin's cheque.

(11) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 197: facsimile.

(12) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 197: facsimile; The Wisconsin State Department of Revenue have since carried on demanding this same tax, but have shifted the 'tax year' to 1990, from 1988.

(13) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 175: letter to the Wisconsin State Department of Revenue from Attorney Thomas A. Wilson for Leo Wanta dated 12th June 1992 citing that the (second) 'protest payment' of \$14,129 was with reference to Case # 92CF683. This is the SAME Case # that is referenced in the Escrow Agreement prepared by Attorney Steven Goodwin as Trustee for the Editor's loan funds which should have been repaid in June 2007.

(14) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 177: facsimile: front and processed reverse of Attorney Thomas A Wilson's



cheque from his firm Bachman, Cummings, McKenzie, Hebbe, McIntyre & Wilson, S.C., for \$14,129.00 which was enclosed with Attorney Wilson's letter dated 12th June 1992 (Note 13).

(15) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 179: Figure 5: facsimile of Original Certificate of Divorce dated 3rd November 1995 between Leo E Wanta and Joanne E Wanta.

(16) The two manifestations of the same (now DUPLICATED) 'Delinquent Tax Warrant' # 44-00162088 are shown in facsimile, with diagrammatic annotations by the Editor, as Figures 7 and 8 on page 181 of *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2.

(17) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 182: facsimile.

(18) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 187: facsimile.

(19) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 205: facsimile.

(20) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, facsimiles: Figures 22 and 23, page 206; Figure 24, page 207.

(21) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 209: Figures 26 and 27: facsimiles.

(22) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 210, Figure 27: facsimile.

(23) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 211, Figure 28.

(24) See Note (19).

(25A) *International Currency Review*, Volume 31, #s 3 & 4, pages 218 and 219: Figure 23 (document facsimile): ORDER of Chief US District Judge John W. Reynolds, US District Court, Eastern District of Wisconsin: case # 84-C-159; 7th September 1984.

(25B) Court Order by Circuit Judge Robert T. McGraw, State of Wisconsin Circuit Court, Waukesha County, dated 4th April 1985: *International Currency Review*, Volume 31, #s 3 & 4, page 215: Figure 21: facsimile of the Judge's Order.

(25C) Appeal Tribunal Decision by Administrative Law Judge Jo Ellen Rehbein: *International Currency Review*, Volume 31, #s 3 & 4, Figure 22, pages 216 and 217: Appeal Tribunal's Decision.

(25D) A factor that has been excluded from this analysis because its inclusion would have injected a dimension so complicated that the residual patience of serious students of Wisconsin might snap, is that when Leo was illegally arrested by Swiss authorities on 7th July 1993 without a warrant on the basis of a verbal State Department request on behalf of the State of Wisconsin, it was then alleged that Ambassador Leo Wanta owned vast amounts of money which he held in offshore bank accounts and further that he was evading the escalating unpaid unemployment compensation tax delinquencies of Falls Vending Service, Inc.. But in reality, the accruals held in his Title 18, Section 6 corporations were reported routinely to US authorities and audited by the General Accounting Office, and none of his bank accounts were secret, being known by the relevant US authorities. As recounted above, then Wisconsin Attorney General James E. Doyle, now the Governor of this State, wrote to Judge Michael B. Torphy following the sentencing of Leo Wanta on 20th November 1995, citing Case # 92CF683, and stating *inter alia* that he (Mr Doyle) had decided NOT to have the Court include the 'Falls Vending' amount (then standing at \$646,918.91) in the intended court restitution order, because 'I suspect that any attempts to collect such a large amount through the good offices of the Wisconsin Department of Corrections as part of a restitution order would be impractical, if not futile' (the real reason being probably that he must have known that there was never any legal basis for the demand). Mr James E. Doyle added: 'The State Department of Revenue will continue to avail itself of whatever civil remedies it may have in its collection efforts'. Doyle then misled the court by elaborating: 'For the Court's information, the amount owed on defendant's delinquent tax account as of November 20, 1995, was \$646,918.91'. However the WI Court Orders and the Appeal Tribunal Decision, together with the recent obituary of the actual owner of Falls Vending Service Inc., the late Jerome S. Engle, definitively prove that the Wisconsin State Department of Revenue's escalating assessments against Leo Emil Wanta of the corporate tax delinquencies of Falls Vending Service Inc., represent unlawful, and felonious demands which can only be explained as an intentional means of harassment with a view, in the prevailing context, to attaching an unlawful lien to Wanta's Settlement. It has also transpired that the WI Department of Revenue has been in the habit of amending the underlying description of the 'Falls Vending Service' corporate tax delinquencies, so that when it may have become clear even to their agents that they could not collect unemployment compensation tax delinquencies from Leo Wanta, they shovelled other tax liabilities into the pot, to 'buttress' their fraudulent claims, thereby compounding their unlawful operations designed to tie Leo Emil Wanta down (in order to cover the criminal thefts of Wanta's assets over which the CIA operatives Bill and Hillary Clinton presided). Having started these scams all those years ago, the Wisconsin Department of Revenue is going on with them as the least uncomfortable (for them) way of 'avoiding' self-incrimination. This is not going to 'work', but it has been necessary to spell out the sordid detail here so that all concerned are aware of that reality.

(26) *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, April 10th, 2007.

(27) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 240: facsimile.

(28) This document arrived after *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2 (540 pages) had gone to press, so it is not reproduced in that double Wantagate issue.

(29) Letter from James E. Doyle, former Wisconsin Attorney General, now Governor of Wisconsin, to Mr John A Chavez (who was imposed on Ambassador Leo Wanta purely in connection with a court-ordered medical examination and who stayed on without authority, masquerading as Leo Wanta's Public defender without having been so appointed by Wanta or by the court), dated 10th June 1994: *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 206: facsimile.

● **Note:** The episode when this Chavez fellow tried to goad Leo Wanta into sacking him, and the Ambassador declined, pointing out that as he had never appointed him in the first place, he could not fire him, was designed to 'legitimise' the false status that Chavez had arrogated to himself by masquerading as the court-appointed Public Defender, which was never the case. Had Leo taken up Chavez's invitation to fire him, such an act by Wanta would have retrospectively 'legitimised' Chavez's non-status as Leo Wanta's Public Defender, which would in turn have 'legitimised' the payment alluded to in this report (using this Editor's loan funds), of \$4,147.64 by the Wisconsin State Department of Corrections per their cheque refs P 0524327/16183939 dated 4th August 2005 to the Wisconsin State Public defender's Office. This payment was irregular and therefore represents a misapplication of the Editor's loan funds presented on behalf of Leo Wanta as 'restitution'.

(30) *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, page 179, Figure 4: facsimile of Leo Wanta's 1984 Wisconsin State Department of Revenue tax refund.

(31) We have covered 'Wisconsinstate' data at earlier stages of this crisis, specifically in: (a): The Supplement published earlier with *International Currency Review*, Volume 31, #s 3 & 4: 'Wisconsin Taxation Gestapo Fraud: Special Investigation of Wisconsin Tax Oppression'; and (b): Website posting dated 20th March 2007. The so-called 'FINS' documents revealing the disposition of the DUPLICATED \$27.5 trillion raised from 200+ international banks in 1989-92 were published in *International Currency Review*, Volume 30, #s 2 & 3, Winter 2004-2005, on pages 145-200, with a preliminary list of stolen funds on page 144; (c) In the 'third reading' of Wantagate: *International Currency Review*, Volume 31, #s 3 & 4, Fourth Quarter 2006; and (d) In the 'fourth reading' of Wantagate, *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, #s 1 & 2, on pages 173-240. This huge Wantagate issue is being distributed worldwide and will ensure that Wantagate can never be expunged from the record - serving not least as a reference work for the future, as well as the source of 'first-hand' intelligence on this millennial financial corruption crisis for the present.

(32) One further factor that has been excluded from the main narrative, again in order to 'minimise' complexity here, can be considered an additional obfuscation dimension. It can be illustrated by citing the following case: whereas the services of the State of Wisconsin Outagamie County Circuit Court were used for the purpose of certifying that the 'Delinquent Tax Warrant' # 44-00162088 had been 'fully satisfied' and was discharged as of 1st June 1993 [see narrative], Leo Wanta's jury trial in May 2005 and later his sentencing on 20th November 1995 were held in Dane County, 'enabling' the controllers of this 'takedown' operation to withhold the tax discharge certified by Outagamie County Circuit Court, from the Dane County Court. Indeed, a 'game' played throughout has been to withhold court information generally: for instance, Ambassador Wanta was never informed of the decision of the Wisconsin Supreme Court dated 30th December 2005, referenced



in the correspondence from Gregg T. Frazier, Chief, Central Audit Section. Wisconsin State Department of Revenue, dated 30 October 2006. **A culture of official State deceit, double-mindedness, perjury and subornation of perjury has prevailed, a component of which has been the device of withholding key court and Appeal Tribunal decisions both from the Dane County Court, and from the target, Leo Wanta. In other words, the Dane County kangaroo court jury trial in May 2005 was rigged, as in the USSR.**

(33) A final important point to note is that the Outagamie Circuit Court- and Notary-certification that 'Delinquent Tax Warrant' # 44-00162088 had been 'fully satisfied' and was discharged on 1st June 1993, occurred two years PRIOR to the Dane County kangaroo court jury trial, which was staged in May 2005. The action of the WI Outagamie County Circuit Court in discharging 'Delinquent Tax Warrant' # 44-00162088, was withheld from the Dane County Court, this being just one of many instances of subornation of perjury and prosecutorial misconduct in this miscarriage of justice.

**LAWS BREACHED BY CRIMINAL OPERATIVES WHO HAVE HIJACKED AMBASSADOR SIR LEO WANTA'S TAGGED \$4.5 TRILLION SETTLEMENT AGREED AT HIGHEST U.S. LEVELS IN BAD FAITH [REFLECTING DOUBLE-MINDEDNESS] IN MAY 2006, AND HAVE CONTINUED THEIR SERIAL CRIMES EVER SINCE:**

- Annunzio-Wylie Anti-Money Laundering Act
- Anti-Drug Abuse Act
- Applicable international money laundering restrictions
- Bank Secrecy Act
- Conspiracy to commit and cover up murder.
- Crimes, General Provisions, Accessory After the Fact [Title 18, USC]
- Currency and Foreign Transactions Reporting Act
- Economic Espionage Act
- Hobbs Act
- Imparting or Conveying False Information [Title 18, USC]
- Maloney Act
- Misprision of Felony [Title 18, USC] (1)
- Money-Laundering Control Act
- Money-Laundering Suppression Act
- Organized Crime Control Act of 1970
- Perpetration of repeated egregious felonies by State and Federal public employees and their Departments and agencies, which are co-responsible with the said employees for ONGOING illegal and criminal actions, to sustain fraudulent operations and crimes in order to cover up criminal activities and High Crimes and Misdemeanours by present and former holders of high office under the United States
- Provisions pertaining to private business transactions being protected under both private and criminal penalties [H.R. 3723]
- Provisions prohibiting the bribing of foreign officials [F.I.S.A.]
- Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act [R.I.C.O.]
- Securities Act 1933
- Securities Act 1934
- Terrorism Prevention Act
- Treason legislation, especially in time of war

This list shows to what extent the Bush II Administration condones one Rule of Law for the Rest of Us, and absolute contempt for domestic and international law for the officials and bankers who are illegally diverting and exploiting Sir Leo Wanta's funds.

The Directors and others listed in Part 1 of the Wantagate Listing of Institution Directors and others posted on 11th June may likewise be Accessories to the Fact of, and/or co-conspirators in, wittingly or unwittingly, the egregious violation of the laws itemised above.

**U.S. CODE, TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 1, SECTION 4: MISPRISION OF FELONY:**

'Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some Judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.'





## AMBASSADOR WANTA DEMANDS PAYMENT OF HIS ILLEGALLY DIVERTED \$4.5 TRILLION

The deadline for the named Respondents to meet their obligations to Ambassador Wanta fell 60 days after the filing of the Ambassador's Petition [see facsimiles on pages 76-79]. The text of the Petition was posted on our website on 9th August 2007. The Petition requested payment of the hijacked \$4.5 trillion and appropriate 'extraordinary relief'.

### MANDAMUS COURT SUMMONSES + RETURNS OF SERVICE HIGH U.S. OFFICIALS AND RICHMOND FED SUMMONSED

9th August 2007: See [www.worldreports.org](http://www.worldreports.org): ARCHIVE for full text.

### PAULSON, KIMMITT, WILKINSON, CHERTOFF, GONZALES AND FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF RICHMOND HAVE ALL BEEN SERVED AND THEIR RETURNS OF SERVICE WERE FILED IN ALEXANDRIA ON 27 JULY 2007

#### WRIT OF MANDAMUS PETITION SUMMONSES AND RETURNS OF SERVICE

The Editor of *International Currency Review* has received copies of the Summonses filed by Ambassador Leo E. Wanta in the United States Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division, in respect of his Petition for a Writ of Mandamus demanding transfer of the \$4.5 trillion paid to him in 2006 by the Peoples Bank of China, which has been illegally diverted and exploited since June 2006, as reiterated by the Ambassador's authority in these website postings.

The Editor also holds copies of the Return of Service from each Respondent, all of which were filed with the Summonses. The Summonses were of course accompanied by the Ambassador's Complaint, the text of which is archived as stated below. As a special service, the Editor will send an email in response to requests for facsimile copies of the Summonses, the Returns of Service, and the Complaint itself. Send an email to [cstory@worldreports.org](mailto:cstory@worldreports.org) labelled 'Please send Mandamus'.

The text of the Petition, which was posted here on 24th June 2007, may be accessed from our ARCHIVE [Press Archive or the Archive button on the Home Page]. The text of the Complaint, together with a facsimile thereof, will be published on pages 137-160 of *International Currency Review* [Volume 33, #s 1 and 2], by way of a permanent record which will be lodged with financial institutions, central banks, government and intelligence agency document centres, state libraries and other places of permanent record, all over the world, so that it will be impossible for 'revisionists' in the future to airbrush Wantagate from the record. [The journal was duly published / mailed from London on 14th September].

#### AMBASSADOR SIR LEO WANTA'S EMAIL TO PRESIDENT BUSH AND HIGH U.S. OFFICIALS

On 8 and 9 August 2007, the Ambassador sent outline details of the Summonses and the Returns of Service to high-level recipients in the US Government, the Republican Party and other interested parties, for their information. The Summonses and the obtaining of the Returns of Service were organised by one of the most fearsome of US intelligence operatives, Colonel Dana Wilcox (of the Office of Naval Intelligence). This fact is revealed here because Colonel Wilcox's name appears at the foot of the faxed copies of the Summonses and Returns of Service which are contained in the email attachments that the Editor will forward on request [see attachments]. Subscriptions to *International Currency Review* and to any of our linked intelligence services may be entered via this website.

Our intelligence books, including *'The New Underworld Order'*, this Editor / Author's latest work, may also be ordered from this website, by accessing the Books section. Or go to [www.edwardharle.com](http://www.edwardharle.com).



**THE BIGGEST FINANCIAL SCANDAL IN WORLD HISTORY:**

**Here are the main references for Case #: 1:2007cv00609-TSE-BRP in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division:**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION: Case Number: 1:2007cv00609 – TSE – BRP**

*Filed:* 20th June 2007

*Petitioner:* Lee E. Wanta

*Respondents:* Henry M. Paulson, Jr., Robert M. Kimmitt, James R. Wilkinson, Michael Chertoff, Alberto R. Gonzales and Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond

*Court:* Virginia Eastern District Court

*Office:* Alexandria Office

*County:* Richmond

*Presiding Judge:* District Judge T. S. Ellis III

*Referring Judge:* Magistrate Judge Barry R. Poretz

*Nature of Suit:* Other Statutes: Securities/Commodities/Exchanges

*Cause:* 28: 1361 Petition for Writ of Mandamus

*Jurisdiction:* U.S. Government Defendant

*Jury demanded by:* None

*Note:* This case cannot be sealed until Ambassador Leo E. Wanta has been paid the \$4.5 trillion of his Settlement diverted and exploited illegally since June 2006.

**LAWS BREACHED BY CRIMINAL OPERATIVES WHO HAVE HIJACKED AMBASSADOR SIR LEO WANTA'S TAGGED \$4.5 TRILLION SETTLEMENT AGREED AT HIGHEST U.S. LEVELS IN BAD FAITH [REFLECTING DOUBLE-MINDEDNESS] IN MAY 2006, AND HAVE CONTINUED THEIR SERIAL CRIMES IN AN UNPRECEDENTED DISPLAY OF ARROGANCE EVER SINCE:**

- Annunzio-Wylie Anti-Money Laundering Act
- Anti-Drug Abuse Act
- Applicable international money laundering restrictions
- Bank Secrecy Act
- Conspiracy to commit and cover up murder.
- Crimes, General Provisions, Accessory After the Fact [Title 18, USC]
- Currency and Foreign Transactions Reporting Act
- Economic Espionage Act
- Hobbs Act
- Imparting or Conveying False Information [Title 18, USC]
- Maloney Act
- Misprision of Felony [Title 18, USC] (1)
- Money-Laundering Control Act
- Money-Laundering Suppression Act
- Organized Crime Control Act of 1970
- Perpetration of repeated egregious felonies by State and Federal public employees and their Departments and agencies, which are co-responsible with the said employees for ONGOING illegal and criminal actions, to sustain fraudulent operations and crimes in order to cover up criminal activities and High Crimes and Misdemeanours by present and former holders of high office under the United States
- Provisions pertaining to private business transactions being protected under both private and criminal penalties [H.R. 3723]
- Provisions prohibiting the bribing of foreign officials [F.I.S.A.]
- Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act [R.I.C.O.]
- Securities Act 1933
- Securities Act 1934
- Terrorism Prevention Act
- Treason legislation, especially in time of war



## WRIT OF MANDAMUS PETITION SUMMONSES AND RETURNS OF SERVICE

Tue, August 07, 2007 02:22 pm Ambassador Leo E Wanta 10054315134235 p.01

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 District of Virginia  
 Leo E. Wanta, Leo E. Wanta, Ambassador  
 Leo E. Wanta (Individually and as sole and  
 exclusive owner of the United States  
 Treasury, Inc., a corporation of  
 Virginia, Respondent (as Petitioner))  
 vs.  
 Henry M. Paulson, Jr., et al.  
 CASE NUMBER: 1:07cv009  
 TSE/DEP

TO: (Name of addressee)  
 The Hon. Henry M. Paulson, Jr.  
 Secretary of the Treasury  
 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
 Washington, DC 20220; et al.  
 YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear in person at the Court on the date and time specified below for the purpose of showing cause why you should not be compelled to comply with the writ of mandamus sought by the Petitioner.

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear in person at the Court on the date and time specified below for the purpose of showing cause why you should not be compelled to comply with the writ of mandamus sought by the Petitioner.

RETURN OF SERVICE  
 Service of the summons and complaint was made by me on: July 24, 2007 at 2:12 p.m.  
 Name of server: Clark J. Reynolds  
 Title: Private Process Server  
 Check one box below to indicate method of service:  
☐ Served personally upon the defendant or defendant. Please attach page(s) of the summons and complaint.  
☐ Left copies of the summons and complaint at the residence or place of business of the defendant with a person of suitable age and discretion who residing there.  
☐ Delivered to the defendant's attorney.  
☒ Other (specify): Personal Computer Service, Return Service, Attorney Referral, authorized by 28 USC 5302(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.  
 STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES  
 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information is true and correct.  
 Executed on July 24, 2007  
 Clark J. Reynolds  
 3005 Richmond Ave., Fairfax, VA

1  
 21/00 2004  
 0-1  
 0020-010-008 0070  
 R/SHOREL 0011 0002/10/00  
 0011 0002 0011 00 10 000

Tue, August 07, 2007 02:22 pm Ambassador Leo E Wanta 10054315134235 p.02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 District of Virginia  
 Leo E. Wanta, Leo E. Wanta, Ambassador  
 Leo E. Wanta (Individually and as sole and  
 exclusive owner of the United States  
 Treasury, Inc., a corporation of  
 Virginia, Respondent (as Petitioner))  
 vs.  
 Henry M. Paulson, Jr., et al.  
 CASE NUMBER: 1:07cv009  
 TSE/DEP

TO: (Name of addressee)  
 The Hon. Henry M. Paulson, Jr.  
 Secretary of the Treasury  
 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
 Washington, DC 20220; et al.  
 YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear in person at the Court on the date and time specified below for the purpose of showing cause why you should not be compelled to comply with the writ of mandamus sought by the Petitioner.

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear in person at the Court on the date and time specified below for the purpose of showing cause why you should not be compelled to comply with the writ of mandamus sought by the Petitioner.

RETURN OF SERVICE  
 Service of the summons and complaint was made by me on: July 24, 2007 at 2:12 p.m.  
 Name of server: Clark J. Reynolds  
 Title: Private Process Server  
 Check one box below to indicate method of service:  
☐ Served personally upon the defendant or defendant. Please attach page(s) of the summons and complaint.  
☐ Left copies of the summons and complaint at the residence or place of business of the defendant with a person of suitable age and discretion who residing there.  
☐ Delivered to the defendant's attorney.  
☒ Other (specify): Personal Computer Service, Return Service, Attorney Referral, authorized by 28 USC 5302(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.  
 STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES  
 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information is true and correct.  
 Executed on July 24, 2007  
 Clark J. Reynolds  
 3005 Richmond Ave., Fairfax, VA

2  
 21/00 2004  
 0-1  
 0020-010-008 0070  
 R/SHOREL 0011 0002/10/00  
 0011 0002 0011 00 10 000

Tue, August 07, 2007 02:22 pm Ambassador Leo E Wanta 10054315134235 p.03

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 District of Virginia  
 Leo E. Wanta, Leo E. Wanta, Ambassador  
 Leo E. Wanta (Individually and as sole and  
 exclusive owner of the United States  
 Treasury, Inc., a corporation of  
 Virginia, Respondent (as Petitioner))  
 vs.  
 Henry M. Paulson, Jr., et al.  
 CASE NUMBER: 1:07cv009  
 TSE/DEP

TO: (Name of addressee)  
 Mr. Robert M. Kimmitt  
 Deputy Secretary of the Treasury  
 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
 Washington, DC 20220; et al.  
 YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear in person at the Court on the date and time specified below for the purpose of showing cause why you should not be compelled to comply with the writ of mandamus sought by the Petitioner.

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear in person at the Court on the date and time specified below for the purpose of showing cause why you should not be compelled to comply with the writ of mandamus sought by the Petitioner.

RETURN OF SERVICE  
 Service of the summons and complaint was made by me on: July 24, 2007 at 2:17 p.m.  
 Name of server: Clark J. Reynolds  
 Title: Private Process Server  
 Check one box below to indicate method of service:  
☐ Served personally upon the defendant or defendant. Please attach page(s) of the summons and complaint.  
☐ Left copies of the summons and complaint at the residence or place of business of the defendant with a person of suitable age and discretion who residing there.  
☐ Delivered to the defendant's attorney.  
☒ Other (specify): Personal Computer Service, Return Service, Attorney Referral, authorized by 28 USC 5302(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.  
 STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES  
 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information is true and correct.  
 Executed on July 24, 2007  
 Clark J. Reynolds  
 3005 Richmond Ave., Fairfax, VA

3  
 21/00 2004  
 0-1  
 0020-010-008 0070  
 R/SHOREL 0011 0002/10/00  
 0011 0002 0011 00 10 000

Tue, August 07, 2007 02:22 pm Ambassador Leo E Wanta 10054315134235 p.04

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 District of Virginia  
 Leo E. Wanta, Leo E. Wanta, Ambassador  
 Leo E. Wanta (Individually and as sole and  
 exclusive owner of the United States  
 Treasury, Inc., a corporation of  
 Virginia, Respondent (as Petitioner))  
 vs.  
 Henry M. Paulson, Jr., et al.  
 CASE NUMBER: 1:07cv009  
 TSE/DEP

TO: (Name of addressee)  
 Mr. Robert M. Kimmitt  
 Deputy Secretary of the Treasury  
 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
 Washington, DC 20220; et al.  
 YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear in person at the Court on the date and time specified below for the purpose of showing cause why you should not be compelled to comply with the writ of mandamus sought by the Petitioner.

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear in person at the Court on the date and time specified below for the purpose of showing cause why you should not be compelled to comply with the writ of mandamus sought by the Petitioner.

RETURN OF SERVICE  
 Service of the summons and complaint was made by me on: July 24, 2007 at 2:17 p.m.  
 Name of server: Clark J. Reynolds  
 Title: Private Process Server  
 Check one box below to indicate method of service:  
☐ Served personally upon the defendant or defendant. Please attach page(s) of the summons and complaint.  
☐ Left copies of the summons and complaint at the residence or place of business of the defendant with a person of suitable age and discretion who residing there.  
☐ Delivered to the defendant's attorney.  
☒ Other (specify): Personal Computer Service, Return Service, Attorney Referral, authorized by 28 USC 5302(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.  
 STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES  
 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information is true and correct.  
 Executed on July 24, 2007  
 Clark J. Reynolds  
 3005 Richmond Ave., Fairfax, VA

4  
 21/00 2004  
 0-1  
 0020-010-008 0070  
 R/SHOREL 0011 0002/10/00  
 0011 0002 0011 00 10 000

Figure A: Ambassador Wanta's Petition for a Writ of Mandamus filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia on 27th July 2007, presented on 28th June 2007, demanding payment of his \$4.5 trillion Settlement, hijacked by Paulson et al from June 2006 onwards. The Summonses and Returns of Service were handled and filed all at once by Colonel Dana Wilcox (Office of Naval Intelligence): 1: Summons filed against Henry M. Paulson, Jr., Secretary of the United States Treasury; 2: Return of Service on behalf of the US Secretary of the Treasury; 3: Summons filed against Robert M. Kimmitt, Deputy Secretary of the Treasury; 4: Return of Service on behalf of the Deputy US Secretary of the Treasury. The Private Process Server was Clark J. Reynolds, of Fairfax, Virginia.



WRIT OF MANDAMUS PETITION SUMMONSES AND RETURNS OF SERVICE

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

of the Eastern District of Virginia

Leo E. Wanta, Leo E. Wanta, Ambassador  
Leo Wanta (individually and as sole and  
exclusive assignee of the right, title and  
interest in the copyright in the  
Virginia Registered Copyright)

vs.

Henry M. Paulson, Jr. et al.

TO: Mr. James R. Wilkinson  
Chief of Staff, U.S. Treasury Dept.  
1500 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20220; et al.

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear in court on 27th July 2007 at 10:00 a.m. to answer the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within 60 days after service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you want to file in this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

FORWARDED OUTSIDE, Clerk

DATE: June 28, 2007

CLERK: [Signature]

5

RETURN OF SERVICE

Service of the Summons and complaint was made by me on: July 26, 2007 at 2:12 p.m.

NAME OF SERVER (PRINT) Clark J. Reynolds

Clerk of the Court

Check one box below to indicate the method of service:

☐ Served personally upon the defendant or defendant. Place where served: 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, D.C.

☐ Left copies thereof at the defendant's dwelling house or usual place of abode with a person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein.

☐ Returned uncollected.

☒ Other (specify): Federal Government Building, Arlington County, Virginia, delivered to the person in charge of the building.

STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEE

DECLARATION OF SERVER

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Service Fee is true and correct.

Signed on: July 26, 2007

Signature: [Signature]

3805 Richmond Ave., Fairfax, VA

6

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

of the Eastern District of Virginia

Leo E. Wanta, Leo E. Wanta, Ambassador  
Leo Wanta (individually and as sole and  
exclusive assignee of the right, title and  
interest in the copyright in the  
Virginia Registered Copyright)

vs.

Henry M. Paulson, Jr. et al.

TO: The Hon. Michael Chertoff  
Secretary of Homeland Security  
The White House  
1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20503; et al.

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear in court on 27th July 2007 at 10:00 a.m. to answer the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within 60 days after service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you want to file in this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

FORWARDED OUTSIDE, Clerk

DATE: June 28, 2007

CLERK: [Signature]

7

RETURN OF SERVICE

Service of the Summons and complaint was made by me on: July 24, 2007 at 4:09 p.m.

NAME OF SERVER (PRINT) Clark J. Reynolds

Clerk of the Court

Check one box below to indicate the method of service:

☐ Served personally upon the defendant or defendant. Place where served: Department of Homeland Security, White House Building.

☐ Left copies thereof at the defendant's dwelling house or usual place of abode with a person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein.

☐ Returned uncollected.

☒ Other (specify): Federal Government Building, Arlington County, Virginia, delivered to the person in charge of the building.

STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEE

DECLARATION OF SERVER

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Service Fee is true and correct.

Signed on: July 26, 2007

Signature: [Signature]

3805 Richmond Ave., Fairfax, VA

8

Figure B: Ambassador Wanta's Petition for a Writ of Mandamus filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia on 27th July 2007, presented on 28th June 2007, demanding payment of his \$4.5 trillion Settlement, hijacked by Paulson *et al* from June 2006 onwards. The Summonses and Returns of Service were handled and filed all at once by Colonel Dana Wilcox (Office of Naval Intelligence): 5: Summons filed against James R. Wilkinson, Chief of Staff at the US Treasury; 6: Return of Service on behalf of Mr James R. Wilkinson; 7: Summons filed against Michael M. Chertoff, US Secretary of Homeland Security; 8: Return of Service on behalf of the Secretary of Homeland Security, Mr Chertoff. The Private Process Server was Clark J. Reynolds, of Fairfax, Virginia.



## WRIT OF MANDAMUS PETITION SUMMONSES AND RETURNS OF SERVICE

Tue, August 07, 2007 02:22 pm Ambassador Leo E Wanta 10054315134235 p.09

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
District of Virginia

For the Court  
Leo E. Wanta, Leo E. Wanta, Ambassador  
Leo Wanta (individually and as sole and  
exclusive assignee of his right, title and  
interest in the commonwealth of  
Virginia Registered Corporation)  
vs.  
CASE NUMBER: 1:07cv009  
Henry M. Paulson, Jr. et al. TSE/JPB

TO: (Name and address of Defendant)  
The Hon. Alberto R. Gonzales, A.G.  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.  
Washington, DC (20530-0001); ETAL.

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear as PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY on or before  
Leo E. Wanta, Leo E. Wanta, Ambassador Leo Wanta  
5516 Palmyra Street  
Suite 108  
Richmond, VA 23230

as return to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within 60 days after service  
of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you  
for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the  
Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

Fernando Galindo, Clerk  
DATE: June 28, 2007  
Signature: [Signature]

9

Tue, August 07, 2007 02:22 pm Ambassador Leo E Wanta 10054315134235 p.10

RETURN OF SERVICE

Service of the Summons and complaint was made by me on: DATE: July 24, 2007 at 2:45 p.m.

NAME OF SERVICE (FACIT) Clark J. Reynolds TITLE Private Process Server

Check one box below to indicate completion method of service

☐ Served personally upon the individual defendant. Place where served: U.S. Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

☐ Left copies thereof at the defendant's dwelling house or usual place of abode with a person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein.

☐ Name of person with whom the summons and complaint were left: \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Returned unaccepted: \_\_\_\_\_

☒ Other (specify): Federal Government Service, U.S. (DOJ) (Internal document) authorized to accept service on behalf of the Attorney General, Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530-0001.

STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES

TRAVEL: \_\_\_\_\_ TOTAL: \_\_\_\_\_

DECLARATION OF SERVER

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Petition of Service and Summons of Service is true and correct.

Signed on: July 28, 2007 Date: [Signature]

2006 Richmond Ave., Fairfax, VA  
[Signature]

10

Tue, August 07, 2007 02:22 pm Ambassador Leo E Wanta 10054315134235 p.11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
District of Virginia

For the Court  
Leo E. Wanta, Leo E. Wanta, Ambassador  
Leo Wanta (individually and as sole and  
exclusive assignee of his right, title and  
interest in the commonwealth of  
Virginia Registered Corporation)  
vs.  
CASE NUMBER: 1:07cv009  
Henry M. Paulson, Jr. et al. TSE/JPB

TO: (Name and address of Defendant)  
Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond  
701 East Byrd Street  
Richmond, Virginia (23219); ETAL.

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear as PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY on or before  
Leo E. Wanta, Leo E. Wanta, Ambassador Leo Wanta  
5516 Palmyra Street  
Suite 108  
Richmond, VA 23230

as return to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within 60 days after service  
of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you  
for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the  
Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

Fernando Galindo, Clerk  
DATE: June 28, 2007  
Signature: [Signature]

11

Tue, August 07, 2007 02:22 pm Ambassador Leo E Wanta 10054315134235 p.12

RETURN OF SERVICE

Service of the Summons and complaint was made by me on: DATE: 7/24/07 2:45 PM EST

NAME OF SERVICE (FACIT) Dana Wilcox TITLE Private Process Server

Check one box below to indicate completion method of service

☐ Served personally upon the individual defendant. Place where served: \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Left copies thereof at the defendant's dwelling house or usual place of abode with a person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein.

☐ Name of person with whom the summons and complaint were left: \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Returned unaccepted: \_\_\_\_\_

☒ Other (specify): William M. Conway, Jr. Attorney General, 1319 Attorney Row, Richmond, VA 23219.

STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES

TRAVEL: \_\_\_\_\_ TOTAL: \_\_\_\_\_

DECLARATION OF SERVER

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Petition of Service and Summons of Service is true and correct.

Signed on: 7/24/07 Date: [Signature]

1319 Attorney Row  
Richmond, VA 23219  
[Signature]

12

Figure C: Ambassador Wanta's Petition for a Writ of Mandamus filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia on 27th July 2007, presented on 28th June 2007, demanding payment of his \$4.5 trillion Settlement, hijacked by Paulson *et al* from June 2006 onwards. The Summonses and Returns of Service were handled and filed all at once by Colonel Dana Wilcox (Office of Naval Intelligence): 9: Summons filed against Alberto R. Gonzales, (former) US Attorney General; 10: Return of Service on behalf of Alberto M. Gonzales; 11: Summons filed against the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond; 12: Return of Service on behalf of the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond (Assistant General Counsel). The Private Process Server was Clark J. Reynolds, of Fairfax, Virginia.



## WRIT OF MANDAMUS PETITION SUMMONSES AND RETURNS OF SERVICE

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
Eastern District of Virginia

Leo E. Wanta, Ambassador  
Leo Wanta (Individually and as sole and  
exclusive beneficiary of the People's  
Republic of China, Plaintiff) vs. SUMMONS IN WRIT OF HABEAS  
CORPUS, A.Y. (Case No. 07-101609)  
Virginia Department of Corrections (Defendant)

Case No. 07-101609  
135 1009

Honey M. Paulson, Jr. et al.

TO: (Name and address of defendant)  
Chuck Rosenberg  
US Attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia  
Alexandria, VA 22304

YOU ARE HEREBY summoned and required to serve on PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (Name and address)  
Leo E. Wanta, Leo E. Wanta, Ambassador, Leo Wanta  
5516 Falmouth Street  
Suite 108  
Richmond, VA 23230

on or before the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within 60 days after service  
of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you  
for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the  
Clerk of the Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

Forwaded (Signature, Date)  
DATE: June 28, 2007

13

NOTE: LEO EMIL WANTA DID NOT FILE AGAINST ROSENBERG, AND DOES NOT KNOW WHY THIS ADDITIONAL SUMMONS WAS EXECUTED...

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
Eastern District of Virginia

Leo E. Wanta, Ambassador  
Leo Wanta (Individually and as sole and  
exclusive beneficiary of the People's  
Republic of China, Plaintiff) vs. SUMMONS IN WRIT OF HABEAS  
CORPUS, A.Y. (Case No. 07-101609)  
Virginia Department of Corrections (Defendant)

Case No. 07-101609  
135 1009

Honey M. Paulson, Jr. et al.

TO: (Name and address of defendant)  
Chuck Rosenberg  
US Attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia  
Alexandria, VA 22304

YOU ARE HEREBY summoned and required to serve on PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (Name and address)  
Leo E. Wanta, Leo E. Wanta, Ambassador, Leo Wanta  
5516 Falmouth Street  
Suite 108  
Richmond, VA 23230

on or before the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within 60 days after service  
of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you  
for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the  
Clerk of the Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

Forwaded (Signature, Date)  
DATE: June 28, 2007

14

Figure D: Ambassador Wanta's Petition for a Writ of Mandamus filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia on 27th July 2007, presented on 28th June 2007, demanding payment of his \$4.5 trillion Settlement, hijacked by Paulson et al from June 2006 onwards. The Summonses and Returns of Service were handled and filed all at once by Colonel Dana Wilcox (Office of Naval Intelligence): 13: Summons filed against Chuck Rosenberg, US Attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia at Alexandria 14: Return of Service on the US Attorney's Office, Legal Department, authorised to accept service for Chuck Rosenberg, US Attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia at Alexandria. The Private Process Server was Clark J. Reynolds, of Fairfax, Virginia, 22031, USA.

## AMBASSADOR LEO WANTA'S PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS

Paragraph 4 of the Ambassador's Petition, which the Court gave the Respondents 60 days to answer, reads as follows: 'Petitioner has attempted to access monies that were transferred through international bank monetary clearing systems to financial institutions located in the United States of America. The remitting party was the People's Republic of China, People's Bank. The remitting party designated that the transferred funds were for the sole and exclusive use and benefit of Petitioner. The foreign entity that originated the inward remittance designated Petitioner as sole and exclusive recipient for the transferred money/financial instruments'.

'Irrespective of efforts proffered by Petitioner and/or agents and representatives of Petitioner, private and public individuals and entities, prevent Petitioner from exercising Petitioner's legal right to the use, transfer and unrestricted ability to freely disburse said financial assets. The acts and/or omissions to act by named and unnamed Respondents prevent the Petitioner (and others who are ancillary to this cause of action) from paying their respective tax liabilities to both State and Federal taxing authorities'.

Paragraph 13 of the Petition reads: 'In May of 2006, the People's Republic of China caused a free and unrestricted transfer of \$4.5 Trillion United States dollars through international bank fund transfer facilities to an account at Bank of America located at Richmond, Virginia. The designated beneficiary of the transferred funds from the People's Republic of China was Petitioner herein. This transfer was made by the People's Republic of China solely and exclusively as a requirement under the mentioned settlement agreement'. Leo Wanta, in collaboration with his deceased Chinese colleague, Howe Kwong Kok, had accumulated funds in the course of his Financial Warfare operations against the USSR, which had been retained in China following his illegal 'takedown' on 7th July 1993. When provided with proof that the CIA's allegation that he was dead was a lie, the Chinese authorities acted impeccably, releasing the \$4.5 trillion funds that the US authorities had agreed should be paid to Leo Wanta by way of his Settlement, which would release his latent claim on the remaining \$23.0 trillion of the funds he had accumulated and which remain booked to bank accounts owned by his Title 18, Section 6 USG intelligence corporations. ■





## THE SITUATION IN SEPTEMBER IMMEDIATELY AHEAD OF THE MANDAMUS WRIT DEADLINE

For technical reasons, this publication cannot easily exceed 80 pages! Therefore we must truncate the narrative here. For subsequent reports concerning the international and US financial corruption crisis arising out of the illegal diversion of Ambassador Wanta's \$4.5 trillion, please now refer to our website Home Page/NEWS/ARCHIVE: [www.worldreports.org](http://www.worldreports.org).

### IF PAULSON HAD PAID WANTA, THE DEBT LIMIT WOULDN'T NEED RAISING

Having double-crossed Ambassador Leo Wanta from June 2006 onwards by hijacking his \$4.5 trillion Settlement, the Respondents to the Writ of Mandamus, and the other parties listed in the Petition, prevented Leo Wanta from remitting \$1.575 trillion in tax (35% of \$4.5 trillion). On 18th September 2007, Henry M. Paulson requested that Congress raise the Statutory Debt Limit, so that the business of the US Federal Government can continue beyond 30th September 2007.

● If Paulson had not hijacked the \$4.5 trillion Wanta Settlement in June 2006, the on-the-books capital markets operations that Ambassador Wanta would have been conducting through his Commonwealth of Virginia-based AmeriTrust Groupe, Inc. with the investment banking firm owned by Michael C. Cottrell, M.S., and six or eight financial institutions, would, by September 2007, have generated at least \$8 trillion in windfall tax accruals to the Treasury, so that the Statutory debt Limit would not have needed raising at all. See Figures 1 and 2 on page 1.

● In calling upon the US Congress to authorise the raising of the Statutory Debt Limit, the US Treasury Secretary invoked 'the Full Faith and Credit of the United States, to which the United States remains committed'. In earlier reports necessitated by the ongoing Wantagate crisis, we specifically and repeatedly pointed out that, by his illegal actions, Henry M. Paulson had knowingly destroyed what remained of the 'Full Faith and Credit of the United States' by his illegal, reprobate and treasonable actions in hijacking, exploiting and abusing Ambassador Wanta's funds.

● Far from being committed to the 'Full Faith and Credit of the United States' therefore, Paulson is the primary cause of its decay. But we should not be surprised at his open-ended hypocrisy. After all, these people are all double-minded: they say one thing, do another, and *vice versa*. That is an absolutely indelible rule – their normal *modus operandi*.

### PARTIES LISTED IN THE AMBASSADOR'S MANDAMUS WRIT PETITION

The full text of Ambassador Wanta's Petition for a Writ of Mandamus may be read at [www.worldreports.org](http://www.worldreports.org), and also on pages 137-160 of *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, Numbers 1 and 2, published on 14th September 2007, and mailed from Central London on that date. The text includes an Affidavit. A facsimile of the text is appended in the journal. Other parties referred to are listed in Paragraph 5, which reads as follows:

'Upon best information and belief the organizations, entities, departments and individuals that prevent and/or restrict Petitioner's lawful access to said money and securities include but are not necessarily limited to the following:

- The Secretary of the Treasury • The Attorney General of the United States of America • Bank of America • J. P. Morgan Chase • CITIBANK/CITIGROUP/NYC including but not limited to Mr Charles O. Prince, CITIGROUP Chief Executive Officer • Goldman Sachs *et al* including but not limited to past and present management and executive officers and members of the Board of Directors • The United States Department of the Treasury including but not limited to Secretary Paulson, Deputy Secretary Kimmitt and other known and/or unknown parties working directly or under contract with the United States Department of the Treasury • Secretary Chertoff, Department of Homeland Security and other known and/or unknown parties working directly or under contract with the United States Department of Homeland Security • One or more known and/or unknown "compliance officers" that act directly or under contract with private bank and/or security brokerage firms to observe rules and regulations of the United States Department of the Treasury and/or other USG investigative and reporting entities • The Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, Virginia.

As reported on page 79, the United States Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division, gave the Respondents, unusually, 60 days to respond to the Ambassador's Petition, taking the absolute deadline to 27th September 2007. In the event of the Respondents failing to comply with the Ambassador's legitimate request, the prospect of a Default Ruling loomed over the Respondents. All the signs at our press date, however, were that the 60-day delay had been handed down in order to coincide with the new arrangements scheduled to take effect from 1st October 2007. ■



This is a private subscription-based intelligence and economic commentary published by **World Reports Limited** at the addresses listed on pages 1 and 2. The purpose of this publication is to provide economic and financial intelligence in the context of political analysis: in other words, it is concerned with political economy. Economics without political content can be meaningless. Other intelligence services published in parallel with this service include: *International Currency Review*, the journal of the world financial community; *The Latin American Times*, which provides economic, financial, political and intelligence information on the region; *Arab-Asian Affairs*, a geopolitical report specialising in the monitoring of Great Power rivalry in the Middle East region; and *Soviet Analyst*, an intelligence commentary, which is concerned with exposure of continuing 'post'-Soviet Leninist strategic deception operations. Subscriptions are continued until countermanded in writing. © **World Reports Limited**, London and New York, 2007. [www.worldreports.org](http://www.worldreports.org)