THE U.S. NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY HAS RECENTLY AUTHORISED THE RELEASE OF DOCUMENTS BY THE RONALD REAGAN LIBRARY CONCERNING AMBASSADOR SIR LEO EMIL WANTA WHICH SERVE THE PURPOSE OF DEMONSTRATING THAT LEO WANTA IS EXACTLY WHO HE CLAIMS TO BE: A TOP-LEVEL PRESIDENTIAL SPY WHO WORKED FOR MANY YEARS DIRECTLY FOR THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, WHOM HE ADVISED PERSONALLY. RELEASE OF THESE DOCUMENTS EXPOSES THE ONGOING FARRAGO OF DISINFORMATION AND FALSE WITNESS CONCOCTED BY CRIMINAL U.S. INTELLIGENCE CADRES WHOSE LIES, INCLUDING THAT LEO WANTA WAS DEAD, WERE EXPOSED WHEN HE SURFACED IN JULY 2005 AFTER $35,000 WAS PAID TO THE WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS IN ORDER TO SETTLE THE SPURIOUS WISCONSIN CIVIL TAX ASSESSMENT USED TO PERPETUATE HIS 'TAKEDOWN'.

CONFIRMING

LEO EMIL WANTA
In the ‘old’, overt Soviet Union, Party hacks and operatives against whom the Party developed a grudge, or whom a rival wanted out of the way, were arraigned before a kangaroo court, exorcised publicly, made to suffer various painful forms of disgrace, banished to Siberia, or all of the above, at the whim of whichever ‘correlation of forces’ happened to be in the ascendant at the time. The alternative ultimate sanction, liquidation, was always held in reserve, in case torture or harassment had failed to achieve whatever Luciferian purpose the Party or the victim’s tormentor, had in mind.

Kremlinology – the science of identifying, by observing who was standing closest or next to whom during formal appearances of the power elite on the Kremlin Wall, as an indicator of who was in favour, increasing in favour, or falling out of favour – was developed over the years by Western observers, as a makeshift means of trying to make sense of the incessant power struggles that were presumed to be a permanent feature of political life inside the Kremlin. Basically, the further away from the dictator du jour a leadership operative stood, the greater the assumed likelihood that he would be liable to fall off his perch, and vice versa.

Rehabilitation was the process whereby someone in the Kremlin recalled that a previously disgraced Party figure and/or discarded intelligence operative, possessed information, skills or talents that could now be useful to the Party. Under ‘socialist legality’, there was never any substantive need to ‘right past wrongs’. Essentially, such a person would suddenly find himself back in favour, or enticed back by indications that this was the case, and his name would suddenly reappear in the controlled media as though he had either never been absent, or had been engaged in important unannounced work for the Party. Either way, no further questions about the victim’s background or past errors was now permissible, as this previously disgraced individual had, all of a sudden, been ‘rehabilitated’.

THE VERB ‘TO REHABILITATE’
The verb to ‘rehabilitate’ implies something akin to what happens to a ‘retread’ car tyre. The tyre that had previously been split right down the seam, was now fit once again for its purpose again, and could be re-used as new. Rehabilitated Party personnel were treated, by and large, as though nothing untoward had ever happened to them.

Given the close similarity between the way intelligence officers were liable to be mistreated in the overt Soviet Union and the standard behaviour of the US intelligence community when it ruthlessly decides that the services of one of its operatives are no longer required, it is appropriate to enquire as to whether Ambassador Sir Leo Wanta – the top spymaster and taskmaster serving President Reagan personally – is being or has been ‘rehabilitated’ in accordance with the Soviet model.

Under the Soviet model, it has tended to be assumed that the rehabilitee was the beneficiary of the Party’s need to reuse or exploit skills unique to the victim concerned; in other words, the rehabilitation in this sense was represented a ‘voluntary’ act on the part of, say, the Party. But the case of Ambassador Wanta is different.

In the summer of 2005, an early attempt was made by the dominant US criminal intelligence community to ‘rehabilitate’ Leo Wanta on its own terms. Specifically, after or roughly at the same time as the Editor had agreed to provide the funds for the purpose of ending the Ambassador’s illegal probation, Leo Wanta was invited down to the Virginia area to meet with a Black US intelligence outfit calling itself Multi-Sector Crisis Management Consortium.
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[MSCMC] [see box, page 149 of International Currency Review, Volume 31, #3 & 4].

The operatives at MSCMC attempted to persuade and entice the Ambassador, and Michael C. Cottrell, M.S., Executive Vice President and Treasurer of Leo Wanta’s Commonwealth of Virginia-based AmeriTrust Groupe, Inc., to participate in dubious and/or illegal financial operations in collaboration with them and other criminal kleptocracy parties – thereby seeking to leverage his unique expertise for their own purposes. The group further attempted to inveigle Mr Cottrell into dubious arrangements which would have compromised his own firm, Pennsylvania Investments, Inc.

WANTA WALKS ROUND THE TABLE, SEIZES THEIR NOTES, AND TEARS THEM UP

At one meeting which was electronically recorded, and at which various generals and intelligence officers walked in and out, talking on their mobile phones or writing notes, Leo Wanta stood up, walked round the table, removed the notes that various parties had been taking, tore them into shreds and placed them in the trash. At such a level, intelligence officers are supposed to be able to recall everything and to have no need to take notes. On that occasion, too, the Transportation Security Agency (TSA) saw to it that the Ambassador’s suitcase did not arrive at the Washington area airport, so that he was inconvenienced with no toiletries, night clothes or any change of clothing throughout the visit. When he returned to base, his suitcase was revolving on the luggage carousel.

On 14th September 2005, Mr Cottrell issued a Notice of Resignation to Multi-Sector Crisis Management, in which he elaborated: ‘Please be advised that the Private and Proprietary [name of] ‘Funding Proposal’ submitted, in good faith, signed, dated and duly executed under H.R. 3723, via email, to Pennsylvania Investments, Inc., to Mr Neil W. Thompson ... for the Board of Directors’ review and consideration on 10 February 2005, is hereby withdrawn due to bad faith negotiations on the part of MSCMC et al. Further, MSCMC et al, individually and severally, are hereby notified that all members of the Board of Directors/Board of Managers, inter alia, will be held to the Private Agreement of confidentiality, destruction and non-proliferation, and/or use of said proprietary documents, subject to Title 18, USC, Sections 4, 35 and 1970, et seq., and H. R. 3723.

H.R. 3723: THE ECONOMIC ESPIONAGE ACT OF 1996

For the sake of further clarification, the relevant text referencing H.R. 3723, The Economic Espionage Act of 1996, is reproduced here [see also page 163 of International Currency Review, Volume 31, #3 & 4]:

‘Whereas, the President of the United States, having signed H.R. 3723 on October 11, 1996, has protected this transaction, by allowing corporations the right to declare their Contracts, Clients, Internal Procedures and Information, and the transactions they engage in as a Corporate or Trade Secret fully protected under Economic and Industrial Espionage Laws of the United States of America and the International Economic Community’.

‘Inasmuch, the names, identities, bank coordinates and other identifying information of persons or entities that are party to this transaction, contained herein, or learned hereafter, shall be a Corporate Trade Secret that shall not be disseminated other than as provided for herein, or as allowed under applicable law. Any unauthorized Disclosure of this private Transaction, parties to, or other material fact of, shall subject the violators to Criminal Prosecution’.

The attempt by US criminal intelligence to ‘rehabilitate’ Ambassador Wanta for their own purposes therefore failed, after the Ambassador and Mr Cottrell became aware that some of the parties had stolen proprietary information and expertise, which falls under this Statute, from Mr Michael C. Cottrell, M.S., and his private corporation, and from Ambassador Leo Wanta.

In other words, criminal intelligence, realising the process that was in train and that maintaining the lie that Leo Wanta was dead would cause the CIA ever more convoluted problems,
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attempted to ‘rehabilitate’ the Ambassador in bad faith (their normal modus operandi); and the
Ambassador quite properly refused to comply.

Over the subsequent two years, the steadfast resistance by the Ambassador and Michael C.
Cottrell, M.S., to all deceptive overtures, plus the exposures that we have been privileged to
publicise in International Currency Review, in our associated publications and on our website
www.worldreports.org [Home Page, NEWS], have radically altered the situation facing the
criminal kleptocracy. Mesmerised by their own greed for personal gain, and by the pressures of
their secret agenda and associated New [Under]World Order funding requirements – and totally
indifferent to the urgent necessity for The Wanta Plan to be implemented in order to rescue
and refinance the US Treasury and economy – the criminal cadres persisted with the overt and
covert exploitation of the Settlement that had been negotiated with and agreed by Ambassador
Wanta and signed off formally in May 2006, without regard for the cumulative consequences.

These cumulative consequences have included the reality that the Rest of the World’s
Governments and intelligence services have been made more fully aware of what has been
going on, sizeable elements of the intelligentsia in key countries have also been informed, and
Wanta Gate has run out of control while these people were busily enriching themselves.

So many cats have been let out of so many bags that the criminal gangs and classes now
face opposition (which they never anticipated) on an unprecedented scale that has been caus-
ing them real concern and inconvenience. Senior US officials were arrested during the week
ending 15th June 2007, after yet another diversion of the Wanta funds and failure to execute.

PEOPLE WHO COULD HAVE HELPED JUST SAT BACK AND WATCHED

While WantaGate gathered momentum, officials, operatives and legislators in a position to
provide assistance have sat back to watch ‘which side looked like winning’, instead of helping
to procure the necessary outcome and to rescue the US Rule of Law from the Law of the
Jungle, which the criminal classes prefer. So deeply has the criminality, extensively run out of
Chicago, penetrated, that a senior Pentagon spy told this Editor in March 2005 that ‘it’s check
mate’. He was unaware, of course, that steps would be taken later in the year to procure the
release of the Ambassador, who was supposed to be dead, from probation – so that the mul-
tiple lies that the CIA had perpetrated against him, constructed on top of the single lie, dis-
sseminated to the international financial community, that Leo Wanta was dead, would begin
unravelling, exposing the operations of the networks of the financial thieves in the process.
And the pace at which the corruption nexus been unravelling, has accelerated ever since.

So, the original attempt to ‘rehabilitate’ the Ambassador on the criminal kleptocracy’s
own terms having failed, what has actually happened in this regard?

The assessment of the Principals in mid-June 2007 was that the Ambassador’s ‘rehabilitation’,
to the extent that we could discern that it was taking place, has been far from voluntary
on the part of those doing the rehabilititating. On the contrary, the criminal cadres have been
forced by the preponderance of evidence exposing their endless corruption manipulations, to
begin the process of rehabilitating Sir Leo Wanta – not, it should be understood, to exonerate
him, but rather to protect themselves, given the way things are going.

Since many of these people will follow unspecified senior US officials to jail (without bail),
any attempt to make the picture surrounding Leo Wanta look less murky from their perspec-
tive, may seem to be a waste of time. But that is the reality. These people have started to see
the writing on the wall, and it makes disturbing reading for each and every one of them.

Just imagine: every single US operative who has been involved, in one way or another,
including couriers and others, in dimensions of given financial corruption operations, from
the highest level to the lowest, is in real jeopardy as a consequence of Wantagate.

The re-establishment of the Rule of Law in the United States and in the Group of Eight
countries generally – which means, in practice, that stashing stolen or diverted funds result-
ing from the exploitation of other people’s money in offshore bank accounts, with no account-
ability for ‘source of funds’ – is exactly the opposite of the régime that the ‘Chicago’ crooks
and their criminal intelligence associates have been exploiting and relying on all these years.

As a consequence, avalanches of ‘funny money’ have been diverted as the momentum of
Wantagate has accelerated, into dubious collectivised receptacles called ‘Private Equity’ or
Hedge Funds which, by mutually agreed special exemption, remain broadly unaccountable
and unregulated; with further cascades of ‘funny money’ being switched to prospectively
‘non-compliant’ locations such as Albania, Iceland, Poland, Vietnam (the local stock market
of which has risen by 500% since 2003), Northern Cyprus, Dubai and Vanuatu.

These funds represent ‘hot money’ that has been running away from the new, intended
‘Rule of Law’ system which will be re-established as the criminal cadres are progressively
destabilized and forced to step back. They will not have been defeated, but will have been forced
to adopt the Leninist tactic of ‘one step forward, two steps back’, to regroup and replan their
global control strategy. We will have to continue facing these crooks head-on in the future.

GLOBAL CONTROL MOTIVATED BY THE CRIMINAL CLASSES’ OWN INSECURITY
This strategy of total world control, by the way, is fundamentally driven not only by Lucifer
(‘the god of this world’) but by the criminalists’ own insecurity. Their crude assumption is
that, just as they have perverted the US judiciary, they will get to control the international judicial
system as well (Cheney et al have already demonstrated, in the course of Wantagate, their
ability and willingness to bribe International Court of Justice personnel). It is essential, they
figure, for them to ‘own’ the judicial system worldwide, in order to assure their immuni-
ty from prosecution, lifetime jail, and worse.

In reality, of course, this is a delusion, since their mad blueprint for global hegemony
omits to take account of the certainty that power and control will remain the permanent
subject of dispute, so that the New Underworld Order will, by definition, be inherently
unstable, even anarchic – the opposite of their befuddled expectations.

And that inevitable outcome conforms, of course, to the reality that the devil is the
author not of peace, commonsense and good order, but of universal chaos, confusion and
lies. Lying and deceiving, as a way of life, is madness, as all lies decay, like plutonium.

CYNICAL MOTIVATIONS UNDERLYING THE RELEASE OF THESE DOCUMENTS
So, why, then, has the US National Security Agency (NSA) released, at such a very late
stage, the 40 pages of documents concerning Leo Wanta from the Ronald Reagan Library
which we are reproducing in this Supplement?

It has already been pointed out that the objective is not to exonerate the Ambassador (he
and his associates are doing a convincing enough job in that respect themselves) but rather to
alleviate the pain being experienced by the criminal cadres as the truth cascades into the open
and their serial financial corruption and other crimes and lies are exposed to the light of day.

In parallel with the release of these documents, certain lower-level US disinformation oper-
atives, scum of the earth, have nonetheless continued retailing lies and disinformation about
the Ambassador which have no substance. In one instance, lies about Leo Wanta’s operations
in Thailand have been the subject of disinformation. The lies being perpetrated here cannot
be dealt with because the underlying subject matter is classified: which of course is why the
handlers of the particular disinformation operative concerned selected the Thailand context
for the purpose. To those ‘in the know’, such compartmentalised US disinformation agents
condemn themselves and destroy their own credibility through their serial aberrations.

When the Editor asked why the lower-level US counterintelligence disinformation muck-
rakers were being allowed to continue their disinformation and lies, which are simultane-
ously contradicted for instance by the recently released Ronald Reagan Library documents,
the informed response was as follows:

• At strategic level, the criminal intelligence coordinators are being forced to develop a bar-
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rier so as to be able to separate themselves and their past actions from the muck-raking that they have long since ordered their subordinate disinformation operatives to perpetrate.

- The strategists cannot now acknowledge to their subordinate disinformation operatives that the Ambassador exists, that everything he has said and that has been published about him by ourselves is true, and that the strategists know that the underlings are perpetrating and disseminating brazen lies in accordance with their handlers' instructions.

- If they were to 'come clean' with their compartmentalised subordinate disinformation operatives, they would in so doing expose themselves and their own deviousness and lies.

- So the muck-raking disinformation agents are being allowed to continue perpetrating their filthy lies as though nothing has happened and as though the National Security Agency (NSA) has not, finally, authorised the release of key documents revealing that Leo Emil Wanta served the late Ronald Reagan, President of the United States, personally, reported to him directly, and was engaged for many years in Top Secret operations abroad on behalf of the President himself. Specifically, since he was engaged in such international operations, he could not have been, and was not, either resident or taxable in the State of Wisconsin.

COULDN'T BE SEEN PAYING $4.5 TRILLION TO A 'DEAD LIAR AND SCUMBAG'

A further crucial consideration is also felt to be relevant. This is that, having lied for 15 years that Ambassador Wanta was dead, having embroidered innumerable fabrications about him and repeatedly borne false witness against him, and having cynically prepared the disinformation upon which the perjured evidence and false witness fed to the Wisconsin kangaroo court that convicted him was based, the US criminal intelligence officials faced a problem in that paying him the $4.5 trillion that was agreed under the May 2006 Settlement might (so their thinking presumably goes) subject them to severe criticism for remitting such a large sum of money to an operative whom they had previously asserted to be dead, about whom they have consistently lied for 15 years, and who is falsely described as a felon, when he had committed no crime against US or Wisconsin State law or regulations at all, and has in fact been the victim all along of an orchestrated 'takedown' based upon a false State tax demand which this Editor has proved definitively to have been spurious from the outset – with the tax authorities' own paperwork demonstrating the fraudulence of their civil tax assessments and long-range offensive against this man, whom they themselves acknowledge to be a diplomat, and the holder of a recognised Diplomatic Passport (DPP). [See updated section on the Wisconsin Department of Revenue in International Currency Review, Volume 33, #1 & 2, for details].

Given this bind in which they found themselves, someone inside the NSA finally decided that release of the Ronald Reagan Library documents on Leo Wanta would be appropriate. In other words, someone who, like so many others, had been watching to see 'who will win', realised that the time had come to start to build a barrier between the lies and the truth, even though it is far too late for any high-level exoneration.

That, in general terms, is why the Ronald Reagan Library has released these tell-tale documents which prove (not that such proof has ever been needed) that Leo Emil Wanta is exactly who he says he is. In other words, the rehabilitation has been forthcoming due to the pain that the criminals are suffering, not because of a sudden outburst of goodwill towards the Ambassador. Goodwill? The criminal cleptocracy can't even spell the word. ■

ABOUT THE DOCUMENTS

The 40 documents that have been released by the Ronald Reagan Library, following clearance by the National Security Agency (NSA), are displayed now on pages 7-47. A brief caption is given beneath each document to place it in such context as is available to the Editor of this service. In some cases, there is hardly anything on the page. However each page tells its own, and part of, the overall story - which is that because of the provenance of these documents, all lies and disinformation perpetrated about Leo Emil Wanta are hereby definitively discredited. ■
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**Figure 1:** Cover sheet listing documents concerning Leo Wanta carrying the Freedom of Information Act restriction Codes B6 ('Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy') and B7 ('Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes') referencing copies of Leo Wanta's Deputy Sheriff ID Card and Badge.
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Ambassador Leo E Wanta 100543/15134236

Leo E. Wanta & Associates
Consultants to Management
114-703-601
110 NO. EDGEWOOD AVE
APPLETON, WI 54911

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

By Harry

601

02 March 1984

Dear Messrs. James Baker III
Edwin Meese III
Michael Deaver
John Harrington

Subject: Senior Personnel Panel Selections and Commitments.

Reviewing my professional and responsible endeavors to serve our
President, his administration and our country; I respectfully request
your joint recommendation today for the Presidential nomination as
originally outlined during White House interviews with Messrs. Bill
Draper III, Rick Shelby, John Toppings, Alex Aramidate, Ron Hahn,
Tom James, and others per file, in Washington, DC during March and
April, 1981 and continuing as of this morning.

My Presidential personnel file as told to me by White House officials
is "the thickest", but it illustrates my integrity, qualifications,
loyalty to a great President and letters of Congressional support, as
well as, Reagan administration officials to serve now and in the long
future of the Reagan Administration, I also stand tall to accept his
challenges and destiny in a timely and efficient manner.

I sincerely thank each of you for your present and past personal efforts
in this White House matter.

Respectfully yours,

Leo E. Wanta

cc: Honorable Fred Fielding

Figure 2: Letter from Leo Wanta to James Baker III, Edwin Meese III, Michael Deaver and John Harrington, part of his continuing interaction with the White House - ostensibly over Leo's application for a senior position in the Reagan Administration, but in reality to provide a 'correspondence trail' as cover for intelligence operations that Leo was conducting abroad for the President.
Figure 3: Envelope cover addressed to Leo Emil Wanta from The White House, enclosing the letter shown as Figure 4. When reviewing these documents, the critical point to bear in mind is their provenance. The Ronald Reagan Library cannot release such documents without the prior approval of the National Security Agency, which thereby signals that Leo Wanta is genuine.
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON
February 7, 1984

Dear Mr. Wanta:

On behalf of the President, I would like to thank you for your recent letter in which you express an interest in serving in the Reagan Administration.

We regret that we are unable to offer you a position since it is the policy of this Administration to cut back the Federal bureaucracy and work force.

Please be assured that we will keep your resume on file and will contact you should an appropriate opening develop. We truly appreciate your willingness to serve President Reagan.

Sincerely,

Becky Norton Dunlop
Deputy Assistant to the President
Presidential Personnel

Mr. Leo E. Wanta
2101 North Edgewood Avenue
Appleton, WI 54911

Figure 4: Completion of the correspondence relating to Figure 2 of this presentation. By creating such 'correspondence trails', the intelligence handlers create and acquire 'cover legends' for use as evolving circumstances of an operation permit. In authorising the release of these Wanta documents, the National Security Agency is itself engaged in dialectical counterintelligence discourse.
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Figure 5: Such ‘Withdrawal Sheets’ denote the existence of a (Wanta) document or file which is subject to the Freedom of Information Act Restriction Code cited (B6 here: ‘Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy’). The existence of such documents in the late President’s files is proof enough, on its own, of Leo Wanta’s importance and operational status.
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- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-5 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(5) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7)(f) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

*Figure 7: Ronald Reagan Library 'Withdrawal Sheet' signifying the existence at the Library of a two-page letter to Congressman Toby Roth about Leo Wanta. The 'dialectics' being conducted by the National Security Agency is alluded to in the introduction to this presentation. Release of the documents establishes a barrier between the intelligence community and its own hired liars.*
**WITHDRAWAL SHEET**

**Ronald Reagan Library**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection Name</th>
<th>Withdrawer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WANTA, LBO B. (WHORM ALPHABETICAL FILE)</td>
<td>KDB 12/2/2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File Folder</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOIA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box Number</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WANTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOC Document Type</th>
<th>No of pages</th>
<th>Doc Date</th>
<th>Restrictions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LETTER</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3/15/1985</td>
<td>B6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WANTA TO REAGAN

---

**Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]**

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-5 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

*Figure 8* This 'Withdrawal Sheet' reveals the existence in the Ronald Reagan Library files of a 27-page letter from Leo Wanta to President Ronald Reagan dated 15th March 1985. Since this President is deceased, release of this extensive document in which Leo Wanta briefed President Reagan on sensitive intelligence issues, would, the NSA implies, infringe Leo's own privacy.
**WITHDRAWAL SHEET**
Ronald Reagan Library

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection Name</th>
<th>Withdrawer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WANTA, LEO E. (WHORM ALPHABETICAL FILE)</td>
<td>KDB 12/2/2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File Folder</th>
<th>FOIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WANTA</td>
<td>P06-007/01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WANTA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOC Document Type</th>
<th>No of pages</th>
<th>Doc Date</th>
<th>Restrictions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LETTER</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5/19/1985</td>
<td>B6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WANTA TO ROTH (WITH LAST PAGE ATTACHED ON TOP)

---

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [[b](1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel roles and practices of an agency [[b](2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [[b](3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [[b](4) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [[b](8) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [[b](7) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [[b](6) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [[b](9) of the FOIA]

Figure 2: Ronald Reagan Library ‘Withdrawal Sheet’ signifying the existence at the Library of an 11-page intelligence briefing letter from Leo Wanta to Congressman Roth. The NSA is revealing the existence of these documents by designating them B6, thereby making mention of them possible—which of course confirms the ‘legitimacy’ of Leo Wanta, even though this is self-evident.
ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

FILED

IN CIRCUIT COURT

bei

APR - 5 1985

WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRY, LABOR AND HUMAN RELATIONS, ET AL., JAMES DORO,

- VS -

FALLS FOOD & VENDING SERVICE INC., and LEO WANTA,

WAUKESHA CO. WIS.

Defendants.

Plaintiff.

The above-captioned matter is currently calendared for trial to the court, and the Court having been advised by plaintiff's attorney that defendant Falls Food & Vending Service Inc. has filed for bankruptcy in the Eastern District of Wisconsin under Case No. 83-02385, and being further advised that Plaintiff's attorney is satisfied that Leo Wanta is not individually liable for any claimed wages owing to Plaintiff,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above-captioned matter be dismissed without prejudice and without costs to any party at this time.

Dated this ___ day of April, 1985.

Robert T. McGraw, Circuit Judge

Figure 10: This 'Order for Dismissal' issued by Judge Robert T. McGraw dated 4th April 1985, released by the Ronald Reagan Library, reveals that Falls Vending Service had filed for bankruptcy, and that Leo Wanta, being an employee and not the owner (of this FBI's sting) was not liable for unpaid wages. Thus he has no tax liability re Falls Vending, as the State Tax Gestapo claims, either.
In Re: FALLS VENDING SERVICE, INC., O R D E R
Debtor.

C.A. No. 84-C-359

The matter under consideration began when Leo E. Wanta, designating himself as "stipulated petitioner for Falls Vending Service, Inc.," filed various motions challenging actions taken by the United States Bankruptcy Court for this district in a case entitled In Re: Falls Vending Service, Inc., Debtor (Case No. 83-02385). Wanta's motions are resisted by the Farmers and Merchants Bank of Menomonew Falls, a secured creditor in the bankruptcy action. Magistrate Robert L. Bittner, after a thorough examination of the matter, has recommended dismissing the action because Wanta lacks standing and also has failed to properly invoke this court's jurisdiction. I concur in the magistrate's analysis and adopt his recommendation in full.

In his written objections, Wanta contends that the magistrate's analysis of his standing is faulty because Falls Vending Service is not a legal and valid corporation, and therefore, cases holding that a corporation can only be represented by
licensed counsel do not apply to this matter. Even if Falls Vending Service is not a corporation, Wanta lacks standing because his affidavit testimony indicates he is only an employee of the company. The owner of a company cannot confer standing on a non-lawyer employee by stipulation or otherwise.

Wanta's objections do not address his failure to properly invoke this court's jurisdiction. No complaint has been filed. Wanta failed to follow the proper procedures to perfect an appeal pursuant to Part 8, Rule 8001 et seq. of the United States Bankruptcy Rules. The matter must be dismissed sua sponte for lack of jurisdiction.

THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED that the action is hereby dismissed.

Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this 27th day of

[Signature]

BY THE COURT:

John W. Reynolds
Chief U.S. District Judge

Figure 12: Second page of the Order by Judge John W. Reynolds, Chief US Eastern District Court Judge referenced re Figure 11, in which the reality that Falls Vending Service is not a legal and valid corporation is not challenged, as is appropriate given that it was an FBI 'sting' entity being used by Leo Wanta for investigation/law enforcement purposes. See Wisconsin Tax Gestapo reports.
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