

SCAN #6

YAHOO! MAIL



Print - Close Window

powered by
OUTSIDE IN®
HTML EXPORT

'The masses must... be brought to accept such a thing as Income Tax, a Marxist principle smoothly slid into [the] capitalist framework in 1909 in the United States. This, even though the Basic Law [i.e., the Constitution - Ed.] of the United States forbade it and even though Communism had at that time been active only for a few years in America'.

'The Communist agent skilled in economics has as his task the suborning of tax agencies and their personnel to create the maximum disturbance and chaos'.

From: the Communist Manual of Instructions on Psychopolitical Warfare' exposed by Kenneth Goff, a.k.a. John Keats, a former dues-paying American (CPUSA) Communist; Volume 9 of the Congressional Record, 1939. Note: Nazism (National Socialism) and Communism are dialectical 'opposites' with a documented common origin that are manipulated for World Revolution and global hegemony purposes. For background, see: Soviet Analyst, Volume 30, 1-3 and other intelligence sources published recently by World Reports Limited, London and New York.

WANTA BETRAYED BY ENVIOUS, JEALOUS AND CORRUPT COLLEAGUES

One of the most unpleasant characteristics of intelligence communities is their ingrained habit of treating their own operatives worse than the agent enemies with which they often cooperate.

At any stage of his career, an intelligence operative may find himself betrayed by his own supposed colleagues, for one of two reasons: either because he has 'messed up', or else because he has been too successful, and professional jealousy and rivalry have taken over.

That, in a nutshell, is what happened to the United States' greatest living Financial Warfare genius and patriot, Leo Emil Wanta. Following the spectacular Financial Warfare operation, which he masterminded, to destabilise the Soviet Union, in cooperation with Mikhail Gorbachev, Boris Yeltsin, Prime Minister Yegor Gaidar and Vladimir Vladimirovich Putin - all of whom (with others) accepted what are politely known in the trade as 'facilitation payments', ensuring the 'success' of the 'takedown' - Leo Wanta, in his turn, became the victim of a vicious 'takedown', or the 'switch' component of a 'bait and switch' operation, himself.

U.S. INTELLIGENCE HELPED WITH SOVIET 'COLLAPSIBLE COMMUNISM'

Before we examine how this was achieved, and what followed, two crucial observations are inserted here. First, the Soviets had formulated and perfected a complex scheme which the Editor calls 'collapsible Communism', and which was precisely outlined and predicted by Anatoliy Golitsyn in his two classic exposures of Soviet deception strategy, 'New Lies for Old' and 'The Perestroika Deception' (edited by this Editor: see the Edward Harle (books) section of this website). Either knowingly or otherwise, US intelligence actually assisted the Soviets with the implementation of this long-range strategic deception operation.

THE 'BAIT AND SWITCH' TECHNIQUE

Secondly, the most basic (and odious) of all standard intelligence techniques is known as the 'bait and switch' technique, which is self-explanatory. You gain the confidence of your target, entrap him, through greed, blackmail or the application of any of the 'Black Arts', and when he is well and truly cooked, you turn on him, strip him of his assets, and destroy him. Prime examples: Saddam Hussein and Slobodan Milosevic. It stinks, but that's what many of these people do.

Leo Wanta duly became the victim of the 'switch' end of this standard intelligence operating technique. And just as Gorbachev, Putin et al had cooperated with him to facilitate (for their own strategic reasons) their 'collapsible Communism' ruse, the fake 'post'-Soviets now collaborated with high-level US operatives to 'take down' Ambassador Leo Wanta.

The primary characters involved in this 'switch' operation against Wanta were Marc Rich/Reich (the fugitive from US justice resident in Switzerland subsequently exposed by the Editor as the DVD operative Hans Brand (1)), Boris Yeltsin, William Jefferson and Hillary Clinton, George Bush Sr., and Tommy Thompson, the former Governor of Wisconsin.

DARK MOTIVES FOR THE 'SWITCH' AGAINST LEO WANTA

Each of these operatives had their own dark motives for collaborating in this cynical venture, the primary outcome of which was to facilitate unlawful access to global monetary funds aggregating \$27.5 trillion (2) of which Leo Wanta was and remains the sole Principal and Trustor.

These funds were and remain lodged with numerous bank accounts held by USG Title 18, Section 6 corporations of which Leo Wanta is the sole owner. Under President Reagan's Executive Order 12333 (January 1981), US intelligence operatives were given freedom to establish corporations, which they could own, for the purposes of conducting intelligence and counterintelligence business on behalf of Uncle Sam under contract. The way such corporations are organised means that the operative who sets them up OWNS the assets that they hold in the associated bank accounts. Leo Emil Wanta reported annually on the assets of his Title 18, Section 6 corporations to the General Accounting (now 'Accountability') Office in Washington DC, and stood ready at all times to pay the necessary tax on those assets when repatriated, in strict conformity with US tax legislation.

This is not the place to debate the wisdom of such an arrangement. However the Editor permits himself the observation that to allow one's intelligence agents such freedom is asking for trouble: and trouble, in spades, is what the United States has got as a longer-term consequence of this device – which, by the way, was copied by the Gorbachev-era Politburo in 1990, when it passed a resolution authorising (under Soviet 'socialist legality') the rapid setting up of similar intelligence corporations clearly modelled on the US precedent (although the Soviets had in fact been using intelligence corporations, disguised as trading organisations, ever since the establishment of Amtorg in New York back in the 1920s).

NO USE IF INTELLIGENCE OPERATIVES CAN'T BE TRUSTED

Executive Order 12333 was all very well if the President of the United States could ever trust his operatives. But President Reagan trusted none of them, with one exception: Leo Emil Wanta, whom he called his 'junkyard dog'. And Reagan trusted Leo Wanta for the impeccable reason that he could indeed be trusted: in fact, as it has turned out, he was and almost certainly remains the ONLY US intelligence officer at high level who could and can be trusted, as recent events have reconfirmed.

Which is precisely why he was 'taken out'. He stood squarely in the way of the greed of certain 'Big Intelligence Barons', led by George Bush Sr. and the Clintons (collectively referred to, with their multifarious associates, as 'the Box Gang'). For these operatives lusted after the \$27.5 trillion that Leo personally controlled and of which he remains, to this day, the sole Principal and Trustor (in case anyone has still missed this point). Furthermore, following his operation against the Soviet Empire, he was awarded control of United Nations contract #4 worth \$5.0 trillion. In a telephone conversation with the Editor last year, Leo Wanta confirmed that this was stolen/diverted from him by the Clintons, a fact that appeared in International Currency Review, Volume 31, 3/4 (3).

AMBASSADOR OF SOMALIA + CHAIRMAN-DESIGNATE OF ITS CENTRAL BANK

On 11th April 1993, Sir Leo Emil Wanta was appointed by the President of Somalia to serve as Ambassador of the Somali Republic to Canada. On 19 June 1993, he was further appointed Ambassador of the Somali Republic to Switzerland.

While en route to Switzerland in July 1993, Leo Emil Wanta was confirmed at a ceremony in Paris,

http://us.f819.mail.yahoo.com/ym/ShowLetter?box=Inbox&MsgId=4130_32513750_792623... 3/21/07

presided over inter alia by the French Foreign Minister of the day, Alain Juppe, as Ambassador of Somalia to Switzerland and Canada, on the instructions of the President of Somalia. Under this arrangement, sanctioned of course by the White House, Leo Wanta, who had also been appointed Chairman-designate of the Somali Central Bank, was to mastermind and direct the transformation of Somalia by the United States into a modern economy, equipped with new ports, airports, military bases and other infrastructure, and using the US dollar instead of the decayed Somali dinar.

07 JULY 1993: WANTA UNLAWFULLY SEIZED BY SWISS AUTHORITIES

On 7th July 1993, despite having full diplomatic immunity from arrest, Leo Wanta was seized illegally in Lausanne by Swiss authorities claiming that they were acting response to a 'delinquent State tax request' (via telephone) originated by the US State of Wisconsin, which of course has no international jurisdiction.

The Swiss admitted that they had no paperwork but advised that it would be forthcoming.

Leo Wanta subsequently received papers asserting that he had been charged with a Federal violation and that the Wisconsin United States District Court had issued a warrant for his arrest, even though, as an accredited diplomat, he possessed diplomatic immunity from arrest. He was not arrested by the Swiss authorities for any violation of Swiss law and nor was he at any time charged with committing fraud under Swiss law. On being detained, his diplomatic briefcase, diplomatic pouch/satchel and luggage were illegally confiscated, contrary to international law governing the treatment of diplomats, and also contrary to the Swiss/USA Treaty on Tax Evasion.

18 HIGH-VALUE U.S TREASURY INSTRUMENTS IN DIPLOMATIC BRIEFCASE

Given his intended highest-level work on behalf of the Somali and US Governments, Ambassador Leo Wanta (as he has remained designated, and as he is universally acknowledged at the US State, Federal and intergovernmental levels) carried in his sealed diplomatic briefcase 18 high-value US Treasury instruments, for a total face value of \$18.0 billion. Please retain this information in mind for future reference.

Another purpose of the Lausanne visit had been to arrest Marc Rich (Reich), a fugitive from US justice, on the instructions of the then Director of the FBI, William Sessions. This covert operation failed as a direct consequence of obstruction of justice perpetrated 'by others', and Sessions was abruptly dismissed by Clinton with no explanation. It is the Editor's view that Marc Rich (Hans Brand) was 'activated' by Clinton to procure Wanta's arrest by the Swiss authorities, explaining Clinton's controversial 'pardon' of this long-term DVD (4) operative. However Rich/Brand remains unable to enter the United States, since he would be arrested on arrival, as such a pardon can only be validated by the Court, which may have other charges to consider.

SWISS ATTEMPTED TO MURDER LEO IN THEIR FILTHY DUNGEON

The Ambassador was thrown into a stinking Swiss dungeon, where the plumbing did not function, and where he languished for 134 days and where an attempt was made by the Swiss authorities to have him poisoned. Leo was offered some cheese, but as he does not eat cheese, he gave it to a fellow prisoner, who unfortunately died because the cheese contained an inserted poisonous substance that reacts in the body with the fat in the cheese. Subsequently a contract doctor servicing the 'facility' advised him which food was safe to eat, adding that the Swiss authorities were in the habit of murdering prisoners in that establishment. While in the Swiss dungeon, he learned that his friend and associate Vince Foster had been 'suicided' in the Washington DC area.

Following an intervention by Israeli Prime Minister Yizhak Rabin (who was subsequently himself murdered), Leo Wanta was removed in shackles on 17th November 1993 to Geneva airport, flown to New York and arraigned before US Judge Allyce Ross in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. In May 2005, the Ambassador's eyes filled with tears as he described to the Editor his humiliation and shame at being marched through Kennedy in shackles and treated like a felon when (see below) he had of course committed no offence whatsoever.

U.S. JUDGE ALLYCE ROSS DISMISSES ALL CHARGES, SETS WANTA FREE

At the immediate hearing, several items that were in his diplomatic briefcase when it was unlawfully removed from him in Switzerland, were brought to the attention of the US Court presided over by Judge Allyce Ross. The Judge, who held the Ambassador's two Somali diplomatic passports, made reference to a US Treasury financial instrument with a face value of \$1.0 billion, one of the 18 instruments aggregating \$18 billion, which were to have been used by Ambassador Wanta as Chairman-designate of the Somali Central Bank to help refinance the Somali Republic and its intended dollarised financial system.

These financial instruments and the original documents pertaining to Leo's official investiture and appointment as Somali Ambassador were present inside his diplomatic briefcase at all times up to his unlawful 'Wisconsin Tax' arrest in Switzerland, remaining always under his control, and were last seen by him when taken from him illegally by Swiss authorities.

When the Judge asked Leo Wanta why he had been carrying one particular high-value US Treasury instrument in his diplomatic briefcase, which had been opened by FBI agents upon his arrival at Kennedy Airport, the Assistant US Attorney (prosecuting) jumped up and asked that Her Honor immediately dismiss all the 'charges' against the Ambassador.

Accordingly, the Judge dismissed all the 'charges' and told Leo that he was 'free to leave'. However none of the items that had been removed from him in Switzerland, including the diplomatic briefcase and satchel, were returned to him by the Court.

UNLAWFULLY REARRESTED ON COURTHOUSE STEPS WITHOUT A WARRANT

On leaving the Brooklyn Courthouse, Leo was unlawfully detained without a warrant by New York policemen who apologetically explained that they were 'doing Wisconsin a favour' and were acting on the basis of a warrant for his arrest for alleged failure to pay State tax and vague tax evasion charges imposed by the State of Wisconsin. However the policemen had no warrant and Leo was never shown any warrant or provided with a copy of any charges filed by the State of Wisconsin at that time. He then remained illegally incarcerated without a warrant in the State of New York until 13th December 1993, when law enforcement officers from Wisconsin finally extradited him unlawfully to that State, where he had not resided for many years.

Moreover, since 1985, he had been working abroad on high-level US Government Presidential business, while in June 1988 he had obtained formal authorisation from the Vienna Court to reside in Austria (permanent Austrian residency can only be provided by legal process in Court).

The pretext for Ambassador Leo Emil Wanta's unlawful detention turned out to be that he owed Wisconsin State tax of \$14,129 dating from 1982 and 1988. However he had already paid (in 1992) this unlawfully demanded State tax assessment not once, but twice: and as will be seen below, the Editor has proof of payment in both cases.

The Editor further has in his possession a copy of a State of Wisconsin INCOME TAX REFUND addressed to Leo E. Wanta, dated 11 May 1984 for the WI State tax year 1983, in the amount of \$2,053.00 (Form 1099-G, issued by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue, PO Box 8903, WI 53708), citing Leo's Social Security Number correctly. Manifestly, therefore, Leo could not have had any State tax outstanding from 1982, otherwise he would not have received this refund.

Moreover he had not been resident in Wisconsin after 1985 and had since June 1988 been a resident of Vienna, Austria, where he operated inter alia as Director-General of New Republic/USA Financial Group, GES.m.b.H., one of his USG Title 18, Section 6 intelligence corporations, from Karntnerstrasse 28/15, A-1010 Wien. The State tax levied against him was and remains fraudulent (even though the same tax was paid multiple times: see below). Furthermore, no foreigner can be owner and Chairman of an Austrian corporation without being a permanent resident of the Republic of Austria.

U.S. TREASURY INSTRUMENTS MISSING FROM BRIEFCASE INVENTORY

On 11th March 1994, Dennis M. Mangelt, Special Agent, Division of Criminal Investigation, Wisconsin, produced and signed a STALE 'Return of Search Warrant', detailing the results of his illegal search of Ambassador Leo Wanta's previously sealed combination lock diplomatic briefcase in which he stated as follows: 'I hereby certify that by virtue of the Search Warrant signed on March 10, 1994 by the Honorable Stuart A. Schwartz, Dane County Circuit Court Branch 15, I searched the within named black combination lock-type briefcase, and found the following: 3 envelopes containing correspondence from CitiBank, Singapore; 1 envelope containing correspondence reference Citibank N.A. from Yeo Leong and Fah, Advocates and Solicitors, Commissioner for Oaths; 1 envelope containing correspondence from Bank of America, Newport Beach, California; 1 brown unbound checkbook from Zentralsparkasse und Kommerzbank, Wien, containing 6 unsigned checks (eurocheque), Tel 34 45 20; 1 box of business cards of MiApollo Productions, Ltd; 2 envelopes containing correspondence from East Asia Tax Management Services Limited; 2 envelopes containing correspondence from Morgan Stanley & Company, Brooklyn, New York; Numerous airline tickets and itineraries; Several paperback religious books; Several softcover pocket calendars and address books; 1 envelope containing 5 black and white 8 x 10 photographs of unidentified female; 1 envelope containing personal and business papers; Numerous business and personal correspondence; Numerous bank documents; 1 letterhead stationery, blank - Ministry of Foreign Affairs; 1 photocopy of Wanta's passport; 1 Intertronic calculator; 1 Hewlett Packard calculator; 1 pair dark glasses; 1 money clip; 1 key ring with four keys; 1 envelope with small photos; 1 envelope marked 12 passport photos; 3 softcover books/magazines; Numerous pages of miscellaneous notes and writings; and have the same now in my possession subject to the disposition of the Court. Dated this 11th day of March 1994, at Madison, Wisconsin: [Signed] Dennis M. Mengelt, Special Agent, Division of Criminal Investigation'.

Conspicuously absent from this list was any reference to either the \$1.0 billion face value US Treasury instrument that had been drawn to the attention of Judge Allyce Ross in the US Court in New York, or to the 17 other high-value US Treasury instruments, for an aggregate face value of \$18 billion, contained in his diplomatic briefcase and intended for the furtherance of the legitimate business of the Presidents of the United States and Somalia. Neither the diplomatic briefcase nor the other items illegally seized from the Ambassador in Switzerland have been returned to him. The reason that they have not been returned to him, obviously, is that if the diplomatic briefcase were given back to him, it would need to contain the 18 high-value US Treasury instruments of which he was and remains the sole custodian in his official capacity working for both the US and the Somali Governments.

The only possible conclusion to be drawn from this is that the 18 high-value US Treasury instruments have been stolen, and used by corrupt US parties at corrupt banks as collateral, for illegal untaxed hypothecation and fiat money creation purposes.

FAMILY HOME SOLD BEHIND HIS BACK, PROCEEDS STOLEN

To add to Leo's injuries, as soon as he was "imprisoned" inside the GULAG, the ruthless Wisconsin authorities sold his former Wisconsin family home, behind his back and without his permission, for a knock-down price of around \$60,000, and kept the proceeds. All attempts to procure a proper accounting of this theft have failed (so far). The property is now lived in by a State of Wisconsin Attorney.

By March 2004, Leo Wanta had already languished for nine months in jails in Switzerland and the US GULAG, which distinguished itself at the outset by forcing him to be subjected to a strip-search in the snow - behaviour indistinguishable from what happens in the Soviet GULAG, about which the US State Department affected such outrage for decades.

Moreover the suffering inflicted on Leo was not even 'justified' on the fraudulent grounds that he owned \$14,129, because:

1. In order to dispose of this illegal 'nuisance' State tax assessment demand, a remittance of \$14,129 had been conveyed by Leo Wanta from Singapore, where he was working at the time on USG business, on 15th May 1992. Specifically, a Telegraphic Transfer of \$14,129 was effected by Malaysian Banking Berhad on behalf of Leo Wanta in favour of Wanta's Wisconsin Attorney, Thomas Wilson, to his Attorney Trust Account with Bank One, Appleton, Wisconsin 54911, account #414780, being the proceeds from Singapore dollars 23,281.33, on which the bank charged its commission of S\$29.17 plus the cable cost of a further S\$20.40. The falsely demanded \$14,129 was duly paid over by Attorney Wilson under protest

to the Wisconsin Department of Revenue.

2. Notwithstanding this payment, a further State civil tax assessment demand for the same \$14,129 was received by Leo Wanta almost immediately. This second illegal and fraudulent State civil tax assessment was likewise pragmatically settled – again, in order to dispose of this nuisance – by means of Trust Account check number 6992 drawn by Bachman, Cummings, McKenzie, Hebbe, McIntyre & Wilson, S.C., Attorneys at Law, 211 E. Franklin Street, P.O. Box 1155, Appleton, WI 54912. This second Attorney Client Trust Account check, marked FUNDS OFFERED IN COMPROMISE FOR LEO E. WANTA and citing his correct Social Security, was banked by the State Treasurer of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, on 6th June 1992, as shown on the reverse of the cleared check per clearing reference 075000 022, a copy of which, along with other documents cited here, is in the Editor's possession.

Hence, over a year before Leo Wanta was unlawfully arrested in Switzerland on trumped-up tax charges, he had already paid the fraudulently raised Wisconsin State civil tax assessment demand of \$14,129 not once, but TWICE. Far from being tax delinquent, he had paid the State's illegal extortion demands TWICE, even though he actually owed NOTHING.

Already, therefore, a gross uncorrected miscarriage of justice had taken place, while the Wisconsin Department of Revenue had fraudulently received and banked the same tax assessment payment TWICE. Subsequent research has established that since this corrupt Department appears to keep two sets of books – one in Madison, and the other in Milwaukee – the duplication of the fraudulent tax demand may have been nothing out of the ordinary.

But it soon got much worse.

WANTA SHIFTED ENDLESSLY AROUND THE U.S. AND WISCONSIN GULAG

Leo Wanta was moved around the US GULAG, spending many months, for instance, at a GULAG maximum facility in the State of Oklahoma. During that period, he was regularly removed from his cell, his cell was searched, items were taken from his cell and they were not returned. The papers taken from his cell included legal documents, files, and papers from Attorneys. Institution authorities from the State of Oklahoma informed him that they lacked certain background information on him, and requested his cooperation in obtaining the information.

So Leo provided the requested cooperation and learned from institution personnel that they had queried the Wisconsin State Department of Corrections, which had confirmed that no criminal background report was available on him.

DEPUTY SHERIFF TRIES TO MURDER WANTA IN PRISON WASHROOM

A routine was now established whereby Leo was deliberately moved around the Wisconsin/US GULAG, so that his mail always lagged behind his latest movements, and/or failed to catch up with him. At least four attempts were made to murder him inside the US GULAG. Of these, the most outrageous was the behaviour of a Deputy Sheriff, who drove up to the Kettle Moraine Corrections Facility in Wisconsin, changed into prisoner's clothing, established proximity to Leo and attempted to murder him in the washroom. On that occasion, his life was saved by Duty Sergeant Randy Miller who dragged the deputised murderer out of the washroom and the prisoners' quarters.

The intruder then fled back to the administration area, took off his false prisoner's clothing, dressed back in his Deputy Sheriff's uniform, and made off in his County car.

When these successive Soviet-style liquidation attempts failed, the Soviet technique of trying to have Leo certified insane, was attempted. At the seventh attempt, his fate was sealed when a doctor, Dr Connie Lee, Chief Psychiatrist for the State of Wisconsin, mindful of such abuses, and fully satisfied that Leo was mentally stable and proficient, refused to go along with the State's conspiracy and certified Leo to be of sound mind.

After that episode, attempts to delete him from history ceased.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY LIED THAT HE WAS DEAD

Meanwhile the Central Intelligence Agency had put the word about that Leo Emil Wanta was dead. This false information was disseminated among strictly compartmentalised agents and cadres, with poor knowledge of the broader picture, and throughout the relevant sectors of the international financial community. Given this 'tabula rasa' situation, the coast was clear (or so the criminalists assumed) to ransack, steal, misappropriate, misuse, divert, claim, usurp, collateralise, hypothecate and otherwise unlawfully exploit the \$27.5 trillion of which Wanta was and remains the sole Principal and Trustor. All concerned were happy with this illegal state of affairs – which has been continued without ceasing using also the Ambassador's \$4.5 trillion compromise funds Settlement agreed in May 2006. Specifically:

** The international banks holding Wanta's Title 18, Section 6 bank accounts were delighted that they held vast sums with no apparent impediment to the banks using the funds for their own collateralisation, hypothecation and manipulation purposes, without any prospect (so they assumed) of having to account for the funds, or ever being called upon to release them. Some of these criminal banks may shortly face their overdue day of reckoning.

** US criminal intelligence operatives and their highest-level 'Barons' looked upon this cornucopia of 'free funds' as an unprecedented opportunity (a) for untaxed offshore self-enrichment and (b) for the financing of their mad New World Order operations, which, as the whole world has seen, are leading the world not towards a new earthly utopia, but rather at high speed towards a new hell on earth.

WANTA BANK ASSETS PLACED IN PERSONAL NAME OF JAN MORTON HEGER

The Editor is aware of one case, concerning assets owned by Ambassador Leo Wanta that are located in the United Kingdom, and which have been lodged with a British bank branch in the personal name of a US Attorney who was at one time employed by Leo Wanta and the CIA. His name is Jan Morton Heger. The account in question has been held at Lloyds Bank PLC, Market Square Aylesbury Branch, 1 Market Square, Aylesbury, Buckinghamshire HP20 1TD (5).

MOVEMENTS OF THE \$27.5 TRILLION AND THE \$4.5 TRILLION RECORDED

What the corrupt manipulators and exploiters of Ambassador Wanta's funds appear to have overlooked throughout is the pertinent fact that, for the past several years, every single movement of the funds of which Leo Wanta is the sole Principal and Trustor have been monitored and recorded by secret electronic means, using enhancements of PROMIS software. Hence, all who have been making hay while they thought the sun shone are liable to be deprived, as they wake up to the situation, of a good night's sleep for the rest of their lives. Indeed, the more they manipulate and engage in corrupt financial transactions based on Leo Wanta's funds, the tighter is the noose with which they are hanging themselves, being tied. At a given stage of this crisis, all of a sudden large numbers of these people will find themselves at the receiving end of legal processes – as a result of which many will be liable to become familiar with the atrocious US GULAG conditions suffered by Ambassador Leo Wanta without a cause.

CARELESS CIA LIE FACTORY TRIPS OVER ITS OLD LIES

While the CIA was lying that Leo Wanta was dead, it was inconsistently maintaining the opposite as late as 5 November 2003, the date of a letter from the CIA's John T Martinez, Attorney Adviser, to Thomas E Henry, a lawyer appointed to serve Leo Wanta from time to time. In this letter, the CIA apparatchik referred to Leo Wanta not as someone who was long since dead, as the CIA itself was separately insisting, but rather in the present tense, as a living person. Mr Martinez lied in his second paragraph that 'CIA has no information that Mr Wanta ever has had any relationship with the CIA'. The letter concluded with the following typical CIA apparatchik cop-out, which is included here in passing: 'Lastly, the Director of Central Intelligence is charged with protecting intelligence sources and methods from unauthorised disclosure. The discharge of this responsibility often requires that the CIA decline to confirm or deny the existence or non-existence of CIA information on the subject matter of a particular

request'.

Given this opaque language, it was hardly necessary for the apparatchik to lie that the CIA 'has no information that Mr Wanta never has had any relationship or affiliation with the CIA'. But since lies are what the CIA does, Mr Martinez evidently considered it necessary to include the gratuitous lie identified here. His letter would have been incomplete without it.

FBI FABRICATIONS USED AS PERJURED EVIDENCE IN KANGAROO COURT

The CIA's lies were complemented by a completely separate batch of lies perpetrated and fed to the Court ostensibly by the FBI – specifically by a John A. Hartingh, Section Chief, Information Resources Section, Information Resources Division. In a letter dated 8th September 1995 to Douglas Haag, Assistant Attorney General, Department of Justice, State of Wisconsin, 123 Washington Avenue, P.O. Box 7857, Madison, WI 53707-7857, this apparatchik retailed a concoction of lies, half-truths and diversionary claptrap which was then used by Mr Haag to mislead Judge Michael B. Torphy, Circuit Judge, at the Wisconsin Department of Justice, Branch 2, Circuit County Building, Room 214, 210 Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard, Madison, WI 53709-0001. This was done via a hand-delivered letter from Mr Haag to Judge Torphy dated 12th September 1995.

In the ensuing kangaroo court hearing, the Judge was lied to on the basis of this purported FBI document, and false witness was borne against Leo Wanta by Douglas Haag and Wisconsin Attorney General (now Governor) James Doyle in flagrant disregard of the truth, which was turned upside down by the FBI letter signed by John A. Hartingh. When Thomas Henry, Attorney for Leo Emil Wanta, later enquired of the FBI whether this letter was genuine, he received a strange, equivocal and meandering response, implying that suspicions that the letter is a forgery and/or a rogue document, may be accurate. This is likely for a number of reasons.

First, several different typewriters or word processors appear to have been used, with different spacing and typeface variations. Secondly, the letter diverges conspicuously from the FBI's own rigorously enforced standards for such correspondence, which are laid down in regulations from which agents may not depart under any circumstances. And thirdly the letter from Mr Hartingh to Assistant Attorney General Douglas Haag concluded with the following language:

'The information in this document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency. It and its contents ARE NOT TO BE RELEASED TO WANTA nor is it to be released under the Wisconsin Open Records Act' [Editor's emphasis]. Hence Leo Wanta was precluded from seeing the document that was used in order to provide the Judge with perjured evidence and to perpetrate fraud upon the Court and the Ambassador.

DENIAL OF STANDARD OF JUDICIAL PRACTICE OF 'OPEN DISCOVERY'

This extraordinary demand also flew in the face of the system of mandatory legal open discovery which requires that under due process and disclosure rules, all documents pertinent to a court case are accessible, so that the Judge and jury, and both sides of the case, are fully informed in order for the judicial system to function properly. The deliberate suppression of a document from the defendant was itself a felony and a grave fraud against the Court, since it was used specifically to misinform the Judge and to bear false witness against Leo Wanta to the Court, which accordingly reverberated with perjury and lies: hence our use of the term 'kangaroo court'.

When asked, as noted above, whether this letter was genuine, or whether it represented a rogue, fraudulent document (fabricated to implicate Leo Wanta and to mislead the Court), the FBI refused to give a direct answer, implying that it is indeed a fabrication.

But since the FBI has neither confirmed nor denied that it is a rogue document, and indeed ceased to communicate with Mr Henry when he pressed them on the matter, the Ambassador is entitled to continue to assume that the FBI was responsible for perpetrating the lies and misinformation contained in Mr Hartingh's letter to the Assistant Attorney General of Wisconsin.

COMPETING, INCONSISTENT CIA/FBI LIES MAKE A MOCKERY OF U.S. 'JUSTICE'

The purported FBI apparatchik's deceitful and now supposedly fabricated rogue letter took no account of the parallel lies being perpetrated by the CIA, including the MASTER LIE that Leo Wanta was dead. In other words, the CIA and FBI lie factories are so permanently at loggerheads with each other that they cannot even be bothered to coordinate their lies, to avoid the kind of ridicule and odium that is now being heaped upon them because of the crude injustices that have been cynically perpetrated against Ambassador Leo Wanta, thanks to their amoral behaviour.

The FBI's unwillingness to authenticate the letter signed by Hartingh which formed the basis of the farrago of lies retailed to Judge Michael B. Torphy, resulting in Leo Wanta's incarceration, house arrest and probation, further illuminates the high level source of the miscarriage of justice that is in the process of unravelling before our eyes. As this avalanche breaks loose, it will engulf many official co-conspirators who have spent years under the illusion that their merciless past crimes would remain buried forever. That is no long even on the cards.

Citing the CIA 'hatchet job' 'Thieves' World', a book by the late Claire Sterling, a CIA operative (6), which contained disinformation and lies about Leo Wanta, and adding gross new lies to the old ones, Hartingh's 'fabricated' letter misinformed Haag and thus Judge Torphy that 'Wanta was arrested by the Vaud Cantonal Police on July 7, 1993, in Lausanne, Switzerland, for attempted bank fraud in the amount of \$1 million and for the use of false documents'. These statements were fabrications and wholly without any foundation in fact. The Swiss authorities cited no breach of Swiss law either when they falsely arrested Ambassador Wanta, in breach of his diplomatic status, or at any time thereafter.

The FBI's letter then retailed (from 'Thieves' World') that 'Wanta was described as a well-known con artist' – omitting to explain that the person who 'described' Wanta thus was the CIA disinformation agent Claire Sterling. She had her tragic come-uppance when she died suddenly and unexpectedly after a second interview with the FBI following her book's appearance.

It later became known to the Editor, from two separate sources, that President Clinton had ordered all copies of 'Thieves' World' to be seized. However, after the Editor obtained his own copy from the second-hand book market, a US intelligence operative with knowledge of this fact commented: 'I thought we'd got them all'. No doubt one reason (but far from the only one) for the book being seized was to cover up the source of the disinformation that the FBI was now using in fulfilment of the Clinton White House's incompetent and messy ongoing backfilling operation to discredit the Ambassador, to steal his assets, to perpetrate fraud against him and the Wisconsin Court and, if at all possible, to erase him from history.

John A. Hartingh's deceitful letter elaborated that this 'con artist' 'claimed to have high level connections in the US Government, including the White House, the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), the US Secret Service, the US Customs Service, as well as the FBI. Wanta also claimed to be a close friend of both Presidents Reagan and Bush and to be a CIA operative responsible for operations in Singapore. Each of the claims proved spurious'.

AMBASSADOR'S CLAIMS ALL TRUE, FBI'S CLAIMS WERE ALL FALSE

In reality, these claims were all true, every single one of them; and the unsupported, dogmatic statement that they were spurious was an egregious lie, designed to mislead and commit fraud against the Court and to ensure the completion of a conspiracy, coordinated by the White House, to procure the erasure of all traces of Leo Wanta from the record, for an originally intended period of 22 years. However Leo never ceased to resist this avalanche of lies, injustice and false witness, sustained by his remarkable faith – and certain that, in the end, he would be vindicated. It took 14 years for his steadfast faith to begin to be rewarded.

In the meantime, however, the Wisconsin Department of Revenue compounded its criminal errors, as will now be explained. Indeed, it is continuing to do so, not least because if it were belatedly to acknowledge its serially fraudulent and unlawful behaviour, the conviction of Ambassador Wanta would have to be formally reversed, with immense judicial and financial consequences for the State of

Wisconsin, and for many well-known figures there and 'inside the Beltway'. The irony, of course, is that the march of events is making this outcome inevitable anyway, as will come to be widely appreciated as this year progresses.

WHEN TAXMEN COMMIT FRAUD, THEY CERATE LONG-TERM PROBLEMS

If fraud is committed inside a tax department, it is liable to have to be perpetuated from year to year – so that internal measures have to be taken on a continuing and expanding basis, to cover up or to obfuscate the fraud, given extensive auditing and the possibility of exposure or whistleblowing by disaffected staff members at any time. As will be recalled, the Wisconsin Department of Revenue had received and banked two amounts of \$14,129 paid by Leo Wanta in 1992, over a year prior to his unlawful arrest in Lausanne for not having paid the illegally demanded \$14,129. Hence, years before the same \$14,129 was paid for the third time in 2005 (see below), the Wisconsin Department of Revenue therefore faced the problem of how to contrive to obfuscate this prospectively dangerous state of affairs (from their own twisted perspective).

One method adopted to obfuscate matters was predicated upon maintaining that Leo and Joanne Wanta filed their tax returns in Wisconsin jointly. However the two had been separated, and then divorced, years earlier. The Wisconsin Department of Revenue chose to disregard this reality, just as it chose to disregard the fact that Leo Wanta had not been resident in Wisconsin since 1985 and owed no tax from previous years, as confirmed by the refund of \$2,053.00 dated 11th May 1984, to which reference has been made. But US State tax authorities are required to reflect the status of taxpayers as recognised by the Internal Revenue Service, which wrote from Kansas City on 23 April 1999 per LTR 2358C, signed by Dorothy G Smith, Collection Branch, to Leo E. & Joanne Wanta (jointly) with reference to Tax Period ending 31st December 1988:

'Dear Taxpayer (in the singular): based on our information, you are not liable for filing a tax return for this period' [December 1988].

Now it follows that if no Federal Tax is payable (because no filing is required) for a given period, it has not been charged; and if Federal Tax is not chargeable, then State Tax cannot be chargeable either, since it is impossible to live in a State of the Union without simultaneously living in the Federation itself. Therefore, the IRS was maintaining that Leo and Joanne Wanta filed their taxes together, while also stating specifically that the 'Taxpayer' did not need to file a tax return for the period ending 31st December 1988. That applied of course to Leo Wanta, since, being officially resident in Vienna and having been operating for USG abroad since 1985, and since his personal expenses were paid by his foreign-based Title 18, Section 6 corporations, he had no State taxable income and was subject neither to Federal nor to State tax for any year after 1985. However Joanne Wanta, long since estranged from and out of contact with, Leo Wanta, was subject to both.

At all events, the first anomaly that the Wisconsin Department of Revenue now exploited for the purposes of obfuscation was the joint filing of tax returns by Joanne and Leo Wanta. Although the illegally raised State tax assessment demand had been paid TWICE under protest by Leo Wanta in 1992, as already reported, the State chased them jointly for both \$14,129 AND interest of \$10,358.54, for a total of \$25,082.54, as per a Wisconsin Department of Revenue 'Worksheet' dated 1st September 1996.

This overlooked the fact that the Wisconsin Department of Revenue had issued DELINQUENT TAX WARRANT #44-00162088 against Joanne E. Wanta on 1st June 1993, which was formally declared to be fully satisfied by the State of Wisconsin Outagamie County Circuit Court's Satisfaction of Delinquent Tax Warrant filed on 4th June 1993 at Outagamie County by Ruth H. Janssen, Clerk of Courts. The text of this document reads:

'This Warrant has been fully satisfied and the Clerk of said Court is authorised to satisfy and discharge said Tax Warrant pursuant to S. 71.91(5)(f) of the Wis. Stats'.

A Delinquent Tax Warrant can be 'fully satisfied' only ONCE. Or, to be even more precise, it is impossible, is it not, to satisfy a Delinquent Tax Warrant by more than 100%. At least, that is the universally recognised legal and mathematical state of affairs, not least given that satisfaction of a

Delinquent Tax Warrant takes precedence in relevant law over all other claims.

TAX GESTAPO CREATES TWO TAX WARRANTS WITH THE SAME NUMBER

Everywhere, that is, other than in the State of Wisconsin, which prefers its Delinquent Tax Warrants to be satisfied by 200%.

Let us explain how they seek to achieve this, from the Wanta case record.

The Editor possesses a copy of Delinquent Tax Warrant #44-00162088 issued on 9th May 1991 by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue for \$10,398.00 (representing a notional assessment which, a year later, had risen to \$14,129). This Delinquent Tax Warrant #44-00162088 is addressed to Joanne 'G'. Wanta, even though Joanne Wanta's middle initial had always previously been 'E'. On this Delinquent Tax Warrant, the name Leo E. Wanta has been "scratched out" by the State tax authority.

The Editor further possesses a copy of Delinquent Tax Warrant #44-00162088 issued on 9th May 1991 by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue for \$10,398.00 (representing a notional assessment which, a year later, had risen to \$14,129). This Delinquent Tax Warrant #44-00162088 is addressed to Leo E. Wanta, while the name Joanne 'G' Wanta has been "scratched out" by the State tax authority. This Delinquent Tax Warrant carries the same Delinquent Tax Warrant number, #44-00162088, as the Delinquent Tax Warrant issued against Joanne 'G' Wanta (above).

(The Editor ALSO has in his possession a copy of Delinquent Tax Warrant #44-00162088 issued on 9th May 1991 by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue for \$10,398.00 (representing a notional tax assessment which, a year later, had risen to \$14,129). This Delinquent Tax Warrant #44-00162088 is addressed to Leo E. Wanta and Joanne 'G' Wanta, with neither name "scratched out". In other words, this is the ORIGINAL Delinquent Tax Warrant raised in their joint names, from which the two Delinquent Tax Warrants listed above, both using the same Delinquent Tax Warrant #44-00162088 were derived, having split in two like the cells of an amoeba).

... THEREBY 'JUSTIFYING' COLLECTION OF THE SAME \$14,129 TWICE

Net result: the single Delinquent Tax Warrant #44-00162088 became TWO Delinquent Tax Warrants, both carrying the same Delinquent Tax Warrant #44-00162088, and both demanding payment of notional tax assessments of \$10,398.00, so that the total actually being demanded by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue had suddenly doubled, to \$20,796.00.

While this fraud was being perpetrated, the Wisconsin State tax authorities were nevertheless dealing directly with Joanne 'G'. Wanta, who allowed them to believe that one of the amounts of \$14,129 (\$10,398.00 plus a further notional tax assessment of \$3,731 for 1989 when Leo Wanta was of course legally a permanent resident of Austria) which had resulted in Delinquent Tax Warrant #44-00162088 being 'fully satisfied' as of 4th June 1993, represented settlement of her tax liability - whereas in reality both remittances of \$14,129 by Leo Wanta from Singapore were made under protest in respect of tax assessment on Leo Wanta personally, demanded and assessed unlawfully by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue against a diplomat who had no State tax obligations whatsoever, was not required to file a tax return to the IRS, was a legal resident of Vienna from June 1988 onwards, and had been resident outside the State of Wisconsin since 1985, when he owed the State nothing as confirmed by its subsequent refund. Indeed, Leo Wanta had reported to the State of Wisconsin per Income Schedule I-890 dated 21 August 1990 that he had no State taxable income for the tax years 1986, 1987, 1988 and 1989, while also confirming that he had established his new legal residence in Vienna, Austria, with effect from 20th January 1989.

The Vienna Court had granted Leo Wanta legal residency in June 1988, effective six months later in accordance with Austrian practice. The Wisconsin Department of Revenue chose to focus on this date, and to disregard altogether the fact that Leo Wanta had been operating abroad since 1985, and had owed nothing to the State since then - as he certified on Income Schedule I-890, and as further confirmed by the earlier tax refund.

IN JULY 2005, THE SAME \$14,129 (+ EXTRAS) IS PAID FOR THE THIRD TIME

http://us.f819.mail.yahoo.com/ym/ShowLetter?box=Inbox&MsgId=4130_32513750_792623... 3/21/07

Fast forward now to the first quarter of 2005. After several years of research, the Editor had managed to satisfy himself that Leo Wanta had been unlawfully 'taken down' because he stood in the way, as an 'honourable counsellor', of massive intergovernmental and US official level financial criminality perpetrated through the exploitation of Ambassador Wanta's Title 18, Section 6 funds originally worth \$27.5 trillion. At the Ambassador's suggestion, the Editor took the Amtrak train to Richmond, where he met Steven Goodwin, an Attorney for Leo Wanta. It transpired that Mr Goodwin had negotiated an agreement with the Wisconsin Department of Corrections, whereby if the sum of \$30,626.97 were paid to that Department by 28th November 2005, the Department of Corrections would recommend to Judge Michael B. Torphy that Leo's (illegal) probation - his incarceration had been followed by house arrest/probation in 2001 - should be terminated, and his complete freedom finally restored.

When the Editor asked what would happen if this further extortion amount, which still included the \$14,129 paid twice already in 1992, was not paid over by 28th November 2005, he was told that Leo Wanta would be liable to be slammed back into jail. It had also become quite clear to the Editor that no-one was lifting a finger to generate the \$30,626.97, so that the strong likelihood was that 28th November 2005 would come round and the situation facing the Ambassador would consequently take a violent turn for the worse.

After careful further consideration, therefore, the Editor decided that the right thing to do was to make the necessary funds available from scarce private (not corporate) resources, and so a bank draft for \$35,000 was accordingly sent by courier from London to Steven Goodwin in July 2005. On 21st July 2005, Mr Goodwin, at the Editor's request, attended at the relevant premises of the Wisconsin Department of Corrections, and duly obtained its Receipt #2270992 PP dated 7/21/05 signed by Department of Corrections Agent Michelle Riel confirming that the Wisconsin Department of Corrections had received Bank of America check number 1098 signed by Steven Goodwin for \$30,626.97. This Attorney check was duly banked by the Wisconsin Department of Corrections and the Editor holds the front and back of the banked check by way of absolute proof of payment. By means of this further 'protest' remittance, the original \$14,129 that had been settled TWICE in 1992, was now settled FOR THE THIRD TIME, given that the computation incorporated that precise illegally charged amount of \$14,129 plus unlawfully charged interest.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS ACCEPTS THE THIRD \$14,129++ PAYMENT

On 22nd July 2005, Agent Michelle Riel generated a computerised 'Client Account Inquiry' print-out, on which she annotated in her handwriting: 'Paid in full on 7/21/05, Michelle Riel'. She furnished to Attorney Steven Goodwin and Mr Goodwin forwarded it to the Editor of this service.

On 28th July 2005, Agent Michelle Riel wrote to Judge Michael B. Torphy Jr. in Madison, as follows:

RE: Early Discharge, Leo E. Wanta 92CF638B:

On 05/11/95, Lee E Wanta appeared in your Court and was [FALSELY, because of the false witness, lies and perjury at the kangaroo court trial - Ed.] convicted of counts 1-2 Penalties (Filing False Income Tax Return) and counts 3-6 Penalties (Tax Evasion). Mr Wanta was sentenced to eight years in prison on counts 3-6 and was placed on probation for counts 1-2, to be served consecutive to the prison term. He was ordered to pay \$29,068.55 for restitution, \$100.00 for a victim witness surcharge, and \$1,458.42 for another surcharge.

On 06/28/01 [EIGHT YEARS AFTER HIS ILLEGAL ARREST AND 'TAKEDOWN' - Ed.] Mr Wanta was released from prison and on 11/28/04, Mr Wanta's parole case discharged and he began his consecutive probation case. Mr Wanta has not had any known violations. On 07/21/05, Mr Wanta's court ordered financial obligations were paid in full.

At this time the Department of Corrections is asking if the Court has any objections to allowing Mr Wanta to discharge from probation early.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Agent Michelle Riel
Probation/Parole Agent 50901

SO JUDGE AUTHORISES END OF WANTA'S (UNLAWFUL) PROBATION

In response to this request, Judge Michael B. Torphy gave his consent and on 14th November 2005, Matthew J. Frank, Secretary, Wisconsin Department of Corrections, signed a DISCHARGE in the following terms:

'To whom it may concern:

Leo E. Wanta 303787-A 'B' CASE

Was placed on probation,
The Department having determined that the above named has satisfied said probation,
It is ordered that effective November 14, 2005

LEO E.WANTA
IS DISCHARGED ABSOLUTELY.

This discharge does not forgive your current (tentative) balance of unpaid supervision fees in the amount of \$0.00'.

30 OCTOBER 2006: TAX GESTAPO DEMANDS SAME \$14,129 A FOURTH TIME

Notwithstanding all of the above, the Wisconsin Department of Revenue issued a further demand for the SAME \$14,129 PLUS INTEREST, on 30th October 2006 (see below).

Given that the Editor had disbursed scarce private funds as detailed above, you can imagine the response to this news in our London office.

PROOF THAT DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS PAID THE TAX GESTAPO

The first task was to establish whether the Wisconsin Department of Corrections had actually paid the amount falsely 'due', to the Wisconsin Department of Revenue from the Editor's funds, or whether the Department of Corrections was corrupt as well as the Wisconsin Department of Revenue. That the Department of Corrections had behaved 'correctly' was finally confirmed, in response to urgent enquiries, in an email to the Editor dated 10 November 2006 timed at 16:36 from John A. Dipko, Public Information Officer, Wisconsin Department of Corrections [email address: John.Dipko@DOC.state.wi.us], Subject: Leo Wanta, the text of which reads as follows:

'Mr Story: Leo Wanta was under Wisconsin Department of Corrections supervision for Dane County criminal case 1992CF000683.

A restitution order is on file for this criminal case; it includes \$24,900.91 for the Wisconsin Department of Revenue and \$4,167.64 for the Wisconsin Public Defender's Office.

This restitution order is dated 6-3-1996 and is a public record available at the Dane County Clerk of Courts Office.

Our records indicate that Mr Wanta made the \$30,626.97 payment that you referenced. The Department of Corrections collected the money from Mr Wanta and then dispersed [sic] two separate checks dated Aug 4 2005 totalling \$29,068.55: one in the amount of \$24,900.91 payable to the Wisconsin Department of Revenue, and the other in the amount of \$4,167.64 payable to the Wisconsin Public Defender's Office.

The remaining \$1,558.42 accounts for fees and surcharges that had been assessed to Mr Wanta. Mr Wanta discharged from Department of Corrections supervision on Nov 14, 2005.

I would refer you to the Dane County Clerk of Courts, the Wisconsin Department of Revenue or the Wisconsin Public Defender's Office for any additional enquiries.

Sincerely,

John Dipko
Public Information Director
Wisconsin Dept of Corrections
608-240-5060.

DEALING WITH THE FOURTH ASSESSMENT OF THE SAME \$14,129+++

On 30th October 2006, Mr Gregg T. Frazier, of the Wisconsin Department of Revenue, issued a document marked 'Amended' to Leo Wanta displaying a 'Total Amount Due' of \$43,304.42, consisting of the following components: 'Regular Interest', \$29,175.42 plus 'Penalty' of \$14,129.00.

Recognise this figure?

It is of course THE SAME \$14,129 that was paid under protest TWICE in 1992, and a THIRD TIME by the Editor of this service via Attorney Steven Goodwin on 21st July 2005.

On this document is annotated in handwriting:

'Per Wisconsin Supreme Court Order Dated December 30, 2005'.

SECRET COURT ORDER OF WHICH VICTIM HAD NO KNOWLEDGE

Ambassador Leo Wanta had no knowledge of any such Court Order, demanding payment of \$14,129 that had already been settled under protest on three occasions, plus illegally charged interest on top of the thrice-settled amount of \$14,129.

Accompanying this piece of paper was a letter addressed to Leo Wanta, which is the Ambassador's intelligence name: he was baptised Leo Emil Wanta and his Social Security Number is in the name of Lee Emil Wanta. The Social Security number is assigned to Lee Emil Wanta (the name Leo has always required for his intelligence work); but to add to their ongoing obfuscation ruses, the Wisconsin Department of Revenue have exploited this intelligence-related circumstance for their own purposes (see below).

The letter, from Gregg T. Frazier, Chief, Central Audit Section [gfrazier@dor.state.wi.us] references GTF:K1P:A923REVC2B3460/A923 (R.8/96), reads as follows: 'Dear Leo Wanta: In view of the Wisconsin Supreme Court decision on your appeal of the income tax assessment made by this Department dated January 29, 1996, I must ask you to send us your remittance now (as indicated on the Notice of Amount Due) with your remittance. Please return the Notice of Amount Due with your remittance. Sincerely, Gregg T. Frazier, Chief, Central Audit Section gfrazier@dor.state.wi.us'.

The Notice of Amount Due issued by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue on 30th October 2006, cited Lee Emil Wanta's Social Security Number correctly, and had the following File Number:000000000/0 and the following Worksheet ID: 000403423. It stated that the due date for payment of \$43,304.42 was January 01, 2007, and that the check was to be made payable and mailed to: Wisconsin Department of Revenue, Box 93408, Milwaukee, WI 53293-0208.

The document referred to in Mr Frazier's letter and dated 29 January 2006 is an Office Audit Worksheet addressed to Leo E. Wanta and Joanne E. Wanta jointly from the Wisconsin Department of Revenue, Post Office Box 2906, Madison, W 53708 itemising 'Tax Due' of \$14,129.00 plus 'Regular Interest' of \$10,953.94, for an illegally demanded assessment total of \$25,082.54, referring to tax years 1988 and

http://us.f819.mail.yahoo.com/ym/ShowLetter?box=Inbox&MsgId=4130_32513750_792623... 3/21/07

1989. The 'Auditor' who generated that document in 1996 was R. N. Doornek. Note that 'Tax Due' of \$14,129 as of 29 January 1996 had now metamorphosed into 'Penalty' of \$14,129 in the fraudulent 'Amended' documentation issued by Gregg T Frazier, Chief, Central Audit Section dated 30th October 2006. As reiterated, the \$14,129 had been paid three times already.

Get this: an illegally raised civil tax assessment for \$14,129 that has been 'fully satisfied' per a discharged Delinquent Tax Warrant as of 4th June 1993, even though it had been settled under protest TWICE over a year earlier, and which was settled for the third time under protest in July 2005, has now become a 'Penalty'. Do the Wisconsin State Tax Gestapo crooks imagine that by suddenly relabelling an illegally assessed and thrice-paid amount, it assumes a 'new legitimacy' by some weird kind of Wisconsin organised criminal magick?

TAX GESTAPO APPARATCHIK GETS SHARP END OF EDITOR'S TONGUE

The Editor of this service telephoned this Mr Frazier twice in early November last year, and on the second occasion was actually able to speak with him. His extremely cold demeanour changed marginally when it was sharply pointed out to him that we have documentary proof of banked payment of the \$14,129, from which his latest illegal demand is derived, and not once, but three times, no less. On two occasions during the telephone conversation, Mr Frazier informed this Editor that 'I'll have to look into it'.

He appears to have reneged on this undertaking, as will now be reviewed.

GESTAPO TRIES TO COLLECT THE SAME \$14,129+++ A FOURTH TIME

On 1st December 2006, the Wisconsin Department of Revenue, Madison, issued a 'Statement of Account - Individual Income Tax' for the filing period 12/31/1990 for \$43,304.42 for 'Tax Account Number' 001-1025313 166-02 addressed to Leo E. Wanta. This demand was received by Lee Emil Wanta on 30th December 2006. On 18th January 2007, Lee Wanta received a further document from the Wisconsin Tax Gestapo dated 16 January 2007, entitled 'NOTICE OF OVERDUE TAX' for the increased sum of \$46,119.21, without any accompanying computation.

This document contained the following rubric: 'If you think you do not owe this amount or have any questions about this notice, please contact Lisa Potts, PO Box 8901, Madison WI 53703-8901; Phone: (608) 267 0833; Fax: (608) 267-1037; Email: delnqtax@dor.state.wi.us. Armed with this email address, the Editor of this service forwarded to Lisa Potts the email from John Dipko, Public Information Director, Wisconsin Department of Corrections, dated 10th November 2006, referenced above, in which the Department of Corrections confirmed that a cheque for \$24,900.91 had been drawn in favour of the Wisconsin Department of Revenue on 4th August 2005.

Since the Editor sent that email, nothing further had, of this posting date, been heard of this component of the Wisconsin Tax Gestapo fraud sequence against Ambassador Leo Wanta.

STYMIED ON THAT ONE, THEY PULL ANOTHER UNLAWFUL DEMAND

Unable to proceed further with that dimension of their fraudulent activity, given the explicit confirmation in an email to a financial journalist and Editor that the Department of Corrections had paid the Department of Revenue \$24,900.91 on 4th August 2005, the Wisconsin Tax Gestapo resorted to another despicable ruse.

THREAT TO POST SUBSTITUTE CIVIL ASSESSMENT ON THE INTERNET

On 12th February 2007, Lisa Potts, Wisconsin Department of Revenue, PO Box 8901, Madison WI 53708-8901 (same coordinates) issued a document [ID#5QJLF7VS] addressed to Leo Wanta asserting that a tax balance of \$897,375.07 was 'due'. This document was entitled 'NOTICE OF PENDING INTERNET POSTING'. It threatened Leo Wanta in the following terms: 'Your account will be posted on the Internet unless you do one of the following within 30 days from the date of this Notice' ('Pay your debt in full', 'Provide proof of payment', 'Enter into a formal instalment agreement', 'Complete an offer of compromise' or 'Provide proof of bankruptcy').

STATE TAX THUGS WARNED OF RICO, LBEL, SLANDER ACTIONS

Following the further tax demand last year, a lawyer for Leo Wanta informed the Wisconsin Department of Revenue that their illegal tax assessment demands represent actionable behaviour under Federal legislation against organised crime, including RICO. Following Lee's receipt on 15th February 2007 of the Wisconsin State Tax Gestapo's 'Notice of Internet Posting', the Attorney followed this up with a letter indicating that publication of the false 'delinquent tax' demand of \$897,375.07 would constitute libel and slander against his client, and would accordingly compound the legal vulnerability of the Wisconsin Department of Revenue and its staff generally.

In addition, named officials of that tax Department, including Gregg T Frazier and Lisa Potts, are vulnerable to prosecution under RICO statutes, and for libel and slander against the Ambassador.

The background to this latest abomination is as follows. In the course of his official duties working under cover for the FBI, Leo Wanta was engaged many years ago in an FBI 'sting' operation against Falls Vending Services, Inc., which was suspected of being used for unlawful activities. He never owned any shares in Falls Vending, began working there for the FBI on 26th June 1981, and at all times while working or associated with Falls Vending Services his purpose concerned undercover law enforcement activity, consistent with his parallel status at that time as a sworn Deputy Sherriff of Waukesha County Sherriff's Department.

However the Wisconsin Department of Revenue contrived to leverage this ancient history into the format of a massive unlawful personal tax liability against Leo Wanta, which it has brought forward from time to time by way of harassment and intimidation so as to exacerbate Leo's suffering in the GULAG and thereafter.

In an undated letter labelled 'State of Wisconsin v, Leo E. Wanta: Dane County Circuit Court Case Number 92-CF-683', to Judge Michael B Torphy, Mr James E. Doyle, then Attorney General of Wisconsin and now Governor of the State, wrote that 'I have determined not to pursue having the Court include the amount that defendant owes on his delinquent tax account (7). The Department of Revenue will continue to avail itself of whatever civil remedies it may have in its collection efforts. For the Court's information, the amount owed on defendant's delinquent tax account as of November 20, 1995, was \$646, 918.91'.

This statement was bogus as no such liability for Wisconsin State tax existed or exists on account for Leo Wanta, and/or Lee Emil Wanta.

GESTAPO CONFIRMS IN WRITING THAT WANTA IS A DIPLOMAT

On 18th February 1999, Angela Dunlap, Revenue Agent, State of the Wisconsin Department of Revenue, 718 West Clairemont Avenue, Eau Claire, WI 54701 [715-836 3879; Fax: 715-836 6891; email: adunlap@mail.state.wi.us], wrote as follows to Attorney Jan Morton Heger, PO Box 4815, Irvine, CA 92616-4815, then a lawyer acting for Leo Wanta (and the operative who placed Leo's funds in his own name, at a bank in Aylesbury, Buckinghamshire: see above), as follows:

'Dear Attorney Heger: The Department of Revenue has no record of a delinquent tax account issued to Lee E. Wanta, Social Security Number [correct Social Security number for Lee Wanta cited], Federal Identification Number DPP#04362. Sincerely, [signed] Angela Dunlap, Revenue Agent'. Note that DPP stands for DIPLOMATIC PASSPORT, indicating that the Wisconsin Department of Revenue have been aware all along that Leo Wanta is a diplomat, and is recognised as such by US State and Federal authorities. This fact, of course, severely compounds Wisconsin's multiple errors, given that diplomats are protected from arrest.

The fact alone that the reprobate Wisconsin Department of Revenue documents its knowledge of Ambassador Wanta's diplomatic status, invalidates, from the outset, the entire unlawful operation to 'take down' Leo Wanta, as perpetrated by the Wisconsin State tax authorities who were and remain evident co-conspirators and accessories to the fact of the frauds committed against Leo/Lee Emil Wanta, his person, his assets and the Court.

08 MARCH 1999: A NEW DELINQUENT TAX ACCOUNT MATERIALISES

http://us.f819.mail.yahoo.com/ym/ShowLetter?box=Inbox&MsgId=4130_32513750_792623... 3/21/07

On 8th March 1999 - that is to say, just three weeks later, and while Leo was languishing in the GULAG - the Wisconsin Department of Revenue issued a 'STATEMENT OF DELINQUENT TAX ACCOUNT', reference 5QJLF7V5, for a total 'amount due' of \$754,839.58, against Leo Wanta, addressed to the old private marital family residence that the Wisconsin criminal authorities had long since stolen. This document calmly stated that interest was accruing at the rate of \$88.11 per day.

Please note that Leo's Social Security Number (which of course we are precluded from publishing, for obvious security reasons) was correctly stated on both the letter from Angela Dunlap, of the WI Department of Revenue, to Attorney Jan Morton Heger, and on this Statement of Delinquent Account. Therefore, of course, both the letter and the Statement referred to the same account: so that on 18th February 1999, no such Delinquent Tax Account existed, while three weeks later, a Delinquent Tax Account against "Lee Emil Wanta", the owner (obviously) of the SAME Social Security Number, suddenly surfaced in the 'amount owed' of \$754,839.58.

Therefore on Monday, a Wisconsin State Tax Gestapo official says one thing, and three weeks later, another Gestapo official says the opposite. This is what happens under arbitrary rule, which is the norm, it seems, in the State of Wisconsin, where the corrupt tax apparat is used to harass and intimidate its victims so as to 'legitimise' institutionalised criminal extortion.

As indicated above, after the Editor faxed John Dipko's email to Lisa Potts, demands for the burgeoning civil tax assessment amount based upon the original fraudulent demand of \$14,129, settled under protest three times, suddenly ceased - since Mr Dipko's email had confirmed that the Wisconsin Department of Corrections had indeed forwarded to the Department of Revenue the sum of \$24,900.91 that it was (fraudulently) still claiming, and which was financed by means of the cheque proffered to Michelle Riel on 21st July 2005 by Attorney Steven Goodwin, as described above.

Of course, the brutally uncouth Wisconsin Department of Revenue have not had the courtesy to confirm to Leo Wanta or to his lawyer that they duly received the \$24,900.91 sent to them by the Wisconsin Department of Corrections on 4th August 2005. However, manifestly they can proceed no further with this fraud, since the Editor, Lee Wanta and his lawyer possess the email from John Dipko confirming the Wisconsin Department of Corrections' payment of \$24,900.91 dated 4th August 2005.

This will of course be one of the documents that will be relied upon in a forthcoming RICO case against the Wisconsin Department of Revenue and against named and unnamed officials both in their official capacities and personally.

NO INTERNET POSTING ON THE LATEST UNLAWFUL TAX DEMAND

Having finally been thwarted (on the basis of information on this date of posting) with respect to the Wisconsin Tax Gestapo's attempts to collect the civil tax assessment of \$14,129 (plus extras) for a FOURTH time, the Wisconsin Tax Gestapo reverted to its much larger fraudulent civil tax assessment demand, which had now ballooned to \$897,375,07 by 12th February [ID# 5QJLF7V5], threatening to post details of this so-called 'Delinquent Tax Assessment Account' on the Internet within 30 days. The full thirty days had passed by the time this report had been finalised, and this libellous and slanderous action had not been taken.

GROSS ABUSE OF POWERS LAYS OFFICIALS OPEN TO RICO SUITS

No doubt this reflects the fact that, all of a sudden, the Wisconsin Department of Revenue, and its unnamed and named officials, including Mr Gregg T. Frazier and Lisa Potts, may have become aware that the scandalous misuse of their powers is liable in due course to result in them personally sampling the joys of the abominable US GULAG, to which their reprobate behaviour condemned Ambassador Leo Wanta for eight years, followed by effective house arrest and probation, without cause and in breach of his diplomatic and human rights.

That is what happens to high-level US intelligence operatives and diplomats who serve Uncle Sam with extreme distinction and whose ethical and moral code and upbringing precludes them from accommodating corrupt high-level US intelligence operatives and officials intent on stealing, misdirecting, misusing, exploiting and otherwise abusing financial assets held (by Ambassador Leo

Wanta) as sole Principal and Trustor for the benefit exclusively of the United States and the American people.

YOU SERVED WITH EXTREME DISTINCTION? GO LANGUISH IN THE GULAG

There is no difference in principal between the fate unjustly suffered by Ambassador Leo Wanta in the abominable US GULAG without a cause, and that of the millions who suffered in the Soviet GULAG under Stalin and his successors.

The only variant this time round is that the tables have been decisively turned, since the whole informed world (minus the complacent and controlled 'mainstream', or rather 'sidestream', media) is aware that we are exposing elements of the biggest nexus of financial scandals in world history. And, as one US intelligence operative 'working for' George Bush Sr. commented several years ago when these investigations were in their infancy, 'that is never supposed to happen, you understand'.

Too late now.

Notes and References:

(1) Marc Rich/Reich: Is he a DVD operative who is really 'Hans Brand'?', International Currency Review, Volume 31, 3/4, November 2006, pages 83-96.

(2) For background to our exposures of the biggest scorpions' nest of financial scandal in world history, see postings on this website since April 2006, and the following issues of International Currency Review: Volume 28, #4 (March 2003); Volume 30, 2/3 (January 2005); and Volume 31, 3/4, November 2006. The scandal has also been covered in issues of Economic Intelligence Review. See serials information on this website for subscription and serials ordering details.

(3) See International Currency Review, Volume 31, 3/4, op. cit., pages 477 et seq.

(4) DVD: Deutsche Verteidigungs Dienst, Dachau, locus of the Nazi long-range strategic deception continuum. DVD is a hidden (no longer!) Pan-German 'Black' Nazi Continuum intelligence agency which is masterminding much of the revolutionary instability in the world, having acknowledged no strategic discontinuity since the Second World (indeed since the days of Frederick the Great and Bismarck). Its primary mantras are: 'Für uns ist der Krieg niemals vorbei' ('For us the war never ended'); and 'We shall build the Thousand-Year Reich on the Ruins of the United States'. Documented authority for this is found in the 'Madrid Circular Letter' intercepted by the Allies while en route from the Madrid-based German Geopolitical Centre in the early 1950s, as well as other captured Nazi documents. Due to extensive long-range Nazi penetration of the US structures, US intelligence cadres are themselves extensively involved in seeking treasonous implementation of these mad objectives. The good news is that these people, though coldly cynical and ruthless, are incompetent, at loggerheads with each other, and failing.

(5) Financial assets belonging to the sole Principal and Trustor, Ambassador Leo Emil Wanta, were being held in the personal name of a former Attorney for Leo Wanta, Jan Morton Heger, when the bank branch in Aylesbury, Buckinghamshire, UK, was visited at the Ambassador's request in 2006.

(6) 'Thieves' World', Claire Sterling, Simon and Schuster, New York, 1994. See also International Currency Review, Volume 31, 3/4, November 2006, page 186. Mrs Sterling died very suddenly after a second interview with the FBI, following publication of 'Thieves' World'. High-level sources have told the Editor that she was murdered.

(7) Undated letter to The Honorable Michael B. Torphy from James E. Doyle, then Wisconsin Attorney General and now Governor of Wisconsin, cited on page 208 of International Currency Review, Volume 31, 3/4, Figure 16.

