

July 29

Park Police interview Lisa Foster for first time. And this is not true.

And at a White House Press Conference Dee Dee Meyers said that she has learned for the first time from the NYT that Foster "was" taking medication but she has not confirmed it with the family.

August 5

Park Police conclude investigation, rule death a suicide. And this is a half truth because it was a joint FBI/Park Police death investigation.

August 9

FBI concludes investigation into the torn note. And this was used as a cover to pretend that only the Park Police did the death investigation. Ruddy never fails to claim that the FBI was "kept out" of the official death investigation. I have wondered if that is why the former FBI director praised Ruddy's book.

August 10

Justice Department press conference chaired by Heymann. Park Police chief Robert Langston and FBI special agent in charge for Washington Robert Bryant announce results of inquiries. Contents of torn note revealed to press. Officials decline to release Park Police report. And the FBI never released there report.

August 15

Washington Post reveals that Park Police report says that Nussbaum, Williams, and Thomasson conducted late-night entry into Foster's office on the night of the death.

August 16

Park Police hand-delivered the Colt revolver found in Foster's hand to the ballistics laboratory of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms. This is the first time the gun is tested.

December 20

Washington Times reports that Clinton aides removed Whitewater papers from Foster's office on night of death. And the source for Jerry Seper's article was anonymous and this was what set up the FBI investigations under independent counsels Fiske and Starr. Seper praised both of their conclusions even quoting excerpts from Starr's Report on October 11, 1997 while he concealed from the public the 20 pages by Patrick Knowlton's attorney that the court added to Starr's Report.

1994

January 9

Senator Daniel Patrick Moynihan calls for appointment of special counsel to probe Whitewater.

January 20

Robert Fiske appointed special counsel by Janet Reno.

And about this time Christopher Ruddy assumes the role of critic of the government handling of the case.

January 27

New York Post report by Christopher Ruddy details doubts of paramedics at the death scene about Foster's "suicide."

January 28

Wall Street Journal files lawsuit against the Justice Department for not releasing the Park Police report completed on August 5, 1993. And this is a good example of how attention of the public is focused on the Park Police and away from the FBI who also did not release their report.

uary 2

iam Sessions issues a statement to New York Post that his firing by President Clinton "compromised" FBI's role in the Foster death case. And this is another example of how the public was fooled into thinking the FBI was not part of the initial death investigation and this was important to establish use the FBI under Fiske would be re-investigating themselves and their first investigation.

uary 23

ial Counsel Fiske's investigators begin interviewing first paramedics at the death scene.

h 5

se House Counsel Bernard Nussbaum tenders his resignation.



Potus - 202 - White House Deputy Counsel Vince Foster - 2012

March 14

A page one New York Daily News story by Mike McAlary entitled *Case Closed* reports that Fiske had concluded Foster's death a suicide at the park. And McAlary reported that the US Park Service workers were at Fort Marcy Park and discovered Foster's body. (You can be sure if they were there they did not see Foster's car which WAS NOT there)

Webster Hubbell offers his resignation as associate attorney general.

April 4

The FBI begins a search and "excavation" for the missing bullet at Fort Marcy.

Wall Street Journal story by Ellen Joan Pollock reports that Fiske has concluded Foster committed suicide.

May 9

Fiske's investigators interview Lisa Foster for the first time. And they will show her a silver gun to identify while the official death gun was BLACK.

First significant FBI laboratory and forensic reports relating to death case completed. Others completed by mid-June.

June

A team of four independent pathologists reviews Foster case for Special Counsel Fiske. And they all have FBI connections.

June 30

Fiske releases his report on Foster's death, concluding that Foster killed himself at Fort Marcy.

President Clinton signs re-authorized Independent Counsel law.

July 29

Senate Banking Committee holds one day of hearings into Foster's death. And the Park Police testify publicly for the FIRST TIME that they did interview the Foster family for over an hour the night of Foster's death. This contradicted the Washington Post and journalist David Gergen who told the public a year earlier that the police were turned away because the family was too upset to talk. Journalist Gergen and a Washington Post reporter Walter Pincus were at the Foster home the night of July 20, 1993

August 5

Three-judge panel created by newly authorized independent counsel statute selects Kenneth W. Starr as independent counsel. Fiske inquiry ends.

September

Starr hires Mark H. Tuohey III as Washington deputy, as well as assistant U.S. attorney Miquel Rodriguez to be lead prosecutor reviewing matters relating to Foster's death. And Starr keeps Fiske's FBI agents on so they can investigate themselves again.

October

Prosecutor Russell G. Hardin resigns from Starr's Little Rock office over matters relating to Webster Hubbell.

December 6

Hubbell pleads guilty to fraud and tax evasion charges and agrees to cooperate with Starr.

December 13

Associate White House counsel Jane Sherburne writes White House "Task List" memo identifying White House concerns over scandals, including Foster's death.

1995

January 3

Senate Banking Committee releases report agreeing with conclusions made by Fiske.

January 5

Starr's office begins grand jury proceedings into Foster's death.

January 6

A Scripps Howard wire story by Lisa Hoffman appears on the front page of *Washington Times* claiming that Starr has closed his review of Foster's death and has concluded the death a suicide. And I met Christopher Ruddy about this time after I uncovered his compass directions and map of Fort Marcy Park were off by 90 degrees Ruddy had claimed West was North to prove the body was moved.

March

Rodriguez and his assistant resign.

April 27

The Western Journalism Center releases report prepared by two former New York City police homicide experts. The report concludes that murder cannot be ruled out and Foster's body had been moved to the park.

May 3

Christopher Ruddy's story in *Pittsburgh Tribune-Review* reports that Rodriguez resigned over policy dispute in Foster case.

June

Starr hires O.J. Simpson defense expert Henry Lee and the San Diego medical examiner Dr. Brian Blackbourne to review Foster's death.

June 28

Hubbell sentenced to twenty-one months, of a possible ten-year sentence, for fraud and evasion charges. Starr admits Hubbell reneged on his agreement and failed to cooperate.

July

White House publishes and distributes a 331-page file called "Communication Stream of Conspiracy Commerce." The file deals largely with issues pertaining to Foster's death.

July 6

House Speaker Newt Gingrich publicly states there will be hearings on Foster's death.

July/August

Senate Banking Committee now chaired by Senator D'Amato holds hearings into actions of White House aides involving Foster's office.

August 14

Senator D'Amato publicly states that questions remain on the Foster death.

August 22

Dr. Lee testifies as defense expert for O.J. Simpson.

September 1

Starr's deputy Mark Tuohey resigns and joins Washington law firm representing the Rose Law Firm before Starr's office and Congress.

September 3

Profile of Lisa Foster appears in *The New Yorker*. Lisa states that she accepts official conclusions.

Mid-September

Starr begins two-month FBI search for the bullet at Fort Marcy Park.

October 8

Mike Wallace segment on *60 minutes* reports the Foster case is closed. And this segment by Wallace was used to build up the credibility of Ruddy. It was hailed on Rush Limbaugh and Paul Havey News.

October 22

Ambrose Evans-Pritchard reports in the London *Sunday Telegraph* that Fort Marcy witness Patrick Knowlton claims the FBI falsified his testimony in their report.

October 25

Strategic Investment and James Dale Davidson hold press conference announcing the findings of three handwriting experts that the torn note is a forgery.

And oops! Ruddy forgot to mention that at this time a grand jury witness named Partrick Knowlton who BTW did not see Foster's car at Fort Marcy Park was harassed and intimidated by dozens of men before he testified before Starr's Whitewater Grand jury. And the FBI had a hand in the harassment of this witness. Ruddy talked Mr. Knowlton out onto the street so he (Ruddy) could see the intimidation.

December

Banking Committee threatens to hold White House in contempt for not turning over notes written by William Kennedy.

1996

January 1

Lisa Foster marries U.S. District Court Judge James Moody, a Clinton appointee. (yawn)

March

Starr hires Memphis federal prosecutor Steve Parker to review Foster death case.

March 25

Starr's most cooperative witness, David Hale, pleads guilty to lying to the SBA and is sentenced to twenty-eight months, of a possible fifty-seven month sentence. Hale receives stiffest sentence to date for any sentenced in the scandal.

April 21

New York Times reports that Starr will soon be releasing report concluding Foster committed suicide.

May 28

Little Rock jury convicts Jim and Susan McDougal and Arkansas governor Jim Guy Tucker of bank fraud and conspiracy charges.

July

Internal Revenue Service begins audit of the Western Journalism Center.

July And Christopher Ruddy told me not to trust Attorney John Clarke because Ruddy said, "Clarke was secretly working for Ken Starr" and Ruddy told me several times it was I would be in danger if I was with Mr. Clarke and Mr. Knowlton. Because I still trusted Ruddy, he fooled me and I was suspicious of John Clarke.

July 28

60 Minutes re-airst October 1995 segment on Foster. Wallace states that Starr will issue report closing the case by the end of the summer.

October 22

USA Today reports that Starr's Foster report delayed due to "several unforeseen complications."

November 5

Clinton reelected to second term.

November 12

Witness Patrick Knowlton files suit in federal court alleging the government violated his civil rights. And after 25 journalists questioned Patrick Knowlton and his attorney John Clarke outside the courthouse the press failed to report the event except George Archibald in the Washington Times and he got the story wrong. I started to become suspicious of Christopher Ruddy.

November 21

Hubbell appears before Starr's grand jury in Little Rock, which begins investigating various payments made to Hubbell shortly before he signed a plea agreement with Starr's office.

December 2

Starr appears on Newsweek cover and gives exclusive interview. Magazine reports Starr had concluded Foster killed himself at Fort Marcy.

December 4

Washington Post reports that James Carville has launched a grassroots campaign "to discredit Kenneth W. Starr."

1997

February 13

Hubbell exits prison after seventeen months and defiantly says he will not cooperate with Starr or inquiries into campaign financing abuses.

February 17

Pepperdine University announces Starr will step down as independent counsel by August 1 to become dean of its law school.

February 21

Starr says he made a mistake by giving a resignation date of August 1 and will stay on as independent counsel.

February 23

Jack Nelson reports in *Los Angeles Times* that Starr had completed a "voluminous report" on Foster's death, concluding suicide.

March And when I confront Christopher Ruddy about the FBI role in the cover-up he tells me to stop calling him. During 1995 and 1996 he would call me once a week and ask me what I had uncovered. He had been a frequent overnight guest in my home and won my trust but now his role of false critic was becoming clear.

April 25

Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit rules against White House claims of attorney-client privilege involving notes taken by government lawyers assisting Hillary Clinton.

May 12

White House appeals circuit court ruling to Supreme Court.

June 23

Supreme Court refuses to hear Clinton administration appeal on notes made by government lawyers during their conversations with Hillary Clinton.

Ruddy's chronology ends here in 1997 but it is worth mentioning that after Starr's final report was released in October of 1997 he soon stopped writing about Foster. His role of critic that began with the appointment of Fiske ended when Starr's investigation closed. Ruddy like every other newspaper in the country still has NEVER reported the contents of Patrick Knowlton's court ordered 20-page insert to Starr's Report.

VINCE FOSTER'S LAST DAY

July 20, 1993

8:00 AM

Foster leaves home for work. Drives daughter to work and son to Metro station.

9:00 AM

Foster attends counsel's office daily staff meeting.

9:27 AM

Foster attends Rose Garden ceremony. President Clinton introduces Louis J. Freeh, his nominee for the directorship of the FBI.

9:40 AM

Rose Garden ceremony ends.

9:50 AM

Deborah Gorham states Foster arrived at counsel suite.

10:30 AM

Foster leaves his office for unknown location.

Approx. 11:30 AM

Foster returns to the office and stops in at Nussbaum's office. Nussbaum offers congratulations for the

apparent success of the nominations of Louis Freeh and Ruth Bader Ginsburg to the Supreme Court.

12:00 PM

Linda Tripp, Nussbaum's assistant, says that Foster asked her to get his lunch from the White House cafeteria.

Approx. 12:30 PM

White House claims Foster eats lunch in office.

1:00 PM

Foster leaves White House counsel's office.

Approx. 1:00 PM

Secret Service officer John Skyles sees Foster exit West Wing. Last sighting of Foster alive. Well at least the last known sighting, And that may be because when one FBI agent who interviewed people in the Old Executive Office Building where Foster was last seen heading said under deposition, "I can't recall" 3 times about what he found from his investigation

Approx. 4:30 PM

Motorist Patrick Knowlton drives into Fort Marcy's parking lot and sees dark brown Honda with Arkansas plates. And it was NOT Mr. Foster's silver Honda and Mr. Foster was already dead.

Approx. 5:15 PM

A couple, identified as Mark and Judy, drive a white Nissan into Fort Marcy's lot. The couple observes two men in and around the Honda. And it was the brown Honda, not Foster's

5:30 PM

Confidential Witness claims he entered the parking lot driving a white utility van. Witness said he found Foster's body in front of the second cannon. Witness then drove to nearby parkway headquarters to notify authorities. Well that is the official story...

5:59 PM

Park service employee Francis Swann calls Fairfax County 911 notifying them of a possible dead body in Fort Marcy. And they also reported a car accident near Fort Marcy and they knew Mr. Foster had been "shot" while the Confidential Witness said he thought Foster had been "hit on the head".

6:03 PM

Swann makes second call to Park Police.

6:10 PM

Fairfax Fire and Rescue personnel and Park Police officer Kevin Fornshill arrive at Fort Marcy. Except Fornshill was already there when the Rescue personnel arrived.

Approx. 6:15 PM

Dead body located in Park. Fornshill radios Park Police headquarters and notifies them of a "suicide" in the park.

And some other things Ruddy forgot to mention about the scene include: the first 9 people to see the body saw dry blood but after Sgt. Edwards was alone with the body the people that came later saw wet, flowing blood. First a automatic pistol was seen then a revolver appeared. One paramedic saw the small bullet hole in Foster's neck just under the jawline. Not one of the 21 people at the park or 5 at the morgue saw the official entrance or exit wounds. And of about 40 people known to have been at the park only a couple of police officers actually claim Foster's silver Honda was ever there.

Approx. 6:30 PM

Park Police investigators and supervisors begin to arrive at park. Just after Sgt. Edwards recovered a briefcase from the brown Honda. And these investigators never found Foster's car keys at the park. But then why would they since his car was not there?

6:37 PM

Fairfax personnel leave park to return to firehouse. And when they left they all knew that Foster worked at the White House because they saw the police search the brown Honda (not Foster's car) and recover Foster's White House Identification.

7:40 PM

Fiske reported that Dr. Donald Haut, the medical examiner, arrived at Fort Marcy Park to view the death

scene. And Huat wrote that he saw a bullet wound to the neck that officially does not exist. And he did not see Foster's silver Honda either.

8:02 PM

Fairfax ambulance arrives at park to pick up body and bring it to morgue. And they did not see Foster's silver car either.

[41](#) Posted on 02/06/2000 18:20:25 PST by [Hughie2u](#) (Turley@acninc.net)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 1](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

[To:](#) [Hughie2u](#)

Fix font.

[42](#) Posted on 02/06/2000 20:07:04 PST by [Uncle Bill](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 41](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

[To:](#) [Uncle Bill](#)

Fix font.

[43](#) Posted on 02/06/2000 20:11:30 PST by [Uncle Bill](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 42](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

[To:](#) [Hughie2u](#), [Jim Robinson](#), [Christopher Ruddy](#), [B.C. Specht](#), [Rodger Schultz](#), [metalbird1](#), [Sal](#), [ALL](#)

Thanks Hugh. Hope folks are paying attention to your comments in red.

July 21

Secret Service officer Bruce Abbott observes Craig Livingstone suspiciously carrying documents from the area of Foster's office.

Park Police investigators arrive at White House but are denied access to Foster's office or the right to conduct interviews.

And FBI agents were first to arrive at the White House on July 21st and FBI agents removed evidence from Foster's desk before the locks were changed. FBI agents also conducted interviews in the Old Executive Office Building on the White House campus which is where the majority of the White House Counsel's offices are located including William Kennedy and Craig Livingstone.

August 5

Park Police conclude investigation, rule death a suicide. And this is a half truth because it was a joint FBI/Park Police death investigation.

August 9

FBI concludes investigation into the torn note. And this was used as a cover to pretend that only the Park Police did the death investigation. Ruddy never fails to claim that the FBI was "kept out" of the official death investigation. I have wondered if that is why the former FBI director praised Ruddy's book.

August 10

Justice Department press conference chaired by Heymann. Park Police chief Robert Langston and FBI special agent in charge for Washington Robert Bryant announce results of inquiries. Contents of torn note revealed to press. Officials decline to release Park Police report. And the FBI never released their report.

December 20

Washington Times reports that Clinton aides removed Whitewater papers from Foster's office on night of death. And the source for Jerry Seper's article was anonymous and this was what set up the FBI investigations under independent counsels Fiske and Starr. Seper praised both of their conclusions even quoting excerpts from Starr's Report on October 11, 1997 while he concealed from the public the 20 pages by Patrick Knowlton's attorney that the court added to Starr's Report.

Jerry Seper, what's going on here?

February 2

William Sessions issues a statement to New York Post that his firing by President Clinton "compromised" the FBI's role in the Foster death case. And this is another example of how the public was fooled into thinking the FBI was not part of the initial death investigation

and this was important to establish because the FBI under Fiske would be re-investigating themselves and their first investigation.

Tricky dogs.

June

A team of four independent pathologists reviews Foster case for Special Counsel Fiske. **And they all have FBI connections.**

July

And Christopher Ruddy told me not to trust Attorney John Clarke because Ruddy said, "Clarke was secretly working for Ken Starr" and Ruddy told me several times it was because I would be in danger if I was with Mr. Clarke and Mr. Knowlton. Because I still trusted Ruddy, he fooled me and I was suspicious of John Clarke.

November 12

Witness Patrick Knowlton files suit in federal court alleging the government violated his civil rights. **And after 25 journalists questioned Patrick Knowlton and his attorney John Clarke outside the courthouse the press failed to report the event except George Archibald in the Washington Times and he got the story wrong. I started to become suspicious of Christopher Ruddy.**

March

And when I confront Christopher Ruddy about the FBI role in the cover-up he tells me to stop calling him. During 1995 and 1996 he would call me once a week and ask me what I had uncovered. He had been a frequent overnight guest in my home and won my trust but now his role of false critic was becoming clear.

June 23

Supreme Court refuses to hear Clinton administration appeal on notes made by government lawyers during their conversations with Hillary Clinton.

Ruddy's chronology ends here in 1997 but it is worth mentioning that after Starr's final report was released in October of 1997 he soon stopped writing about Foster. His role of critic that began with the appointment of Fiske ended when Starr's investigation closed. Ruddy like every other newspaper in the country still has NEVER reported the contents of Patrick Knowlton's court ordered 20-page insert to Starr's Report.

((((Crickets))))

Any comments by anyone?

[The Rise Of The FBI and The Fall Of The Republic](#)

44 Posted on 02/06/2000 20:59:30 PST by [Uncle Bill](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 41](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [Uncle Bill](#)

And while Ruddy, at least to all the world, was purportedly 'out in front' in investigating the Foster case (along with Ambrose Evans-Pritchard), he expressed zero interest to me in pursuing the whereabouts of William Kennedy the afternoon of Foster's death nor that an Arkansas motor vehicle registration check revealed no subsequent transfer of Kennedy's car to a different owner though Kennedy no longer possessed it.

And further to our exchange, he recommended that I pursue it. I pursue it? How so? He was the man with the credentials [major book author] and platforms [major online news-web editor and face time in the media].

If what I was telling him would be checked out and verified--proved to be true--he's ostensibly cracked the case.

But if there were any reason to question my information, why would he trust me in another matter and not in this one [the Foster case]?

45 Posted on 02/06/2000 22:25:33 PST by [metalbird1](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 44](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [Uncle Bill](#)

There's a story of a native in the African bush surrounded by four different types of ferocious beasts.

By appearances all is lost...

But the native walks over and whispers something in the ear to each and one by one they saunter off.

A game warden seeing this walks over to the native and asks, "What did you say to them?"

To which the native answers, "You've got the wrong man."

46 Posted on **02/06/2000 23:28:33 PST** by [metalbird1](#)
[\[Reply \]](#) [Private Reply](#) [To 44](#) [Top](#) [Last](#)]

To: all

Did you notice the trickery in Ruddy's book in the photographs he that published?

-Ruddy's first photo across from page 156 shows Foster's car in the parking lot at Fort Marcy and not the reddish brown Honda that witnesses saw. Just like Ken Starr and Bob Fiske, Chris Ruddy makes it appear that Foster's car was actually there.

-The deception continues on the next page with the caption "Foster's car was located by police in one of the spaces to the immediate right of this trail."

-More deception is in Ruddy's gallery of suspects which includes the usual White House crowd Bernie Nussbaum, Susan Thomases, Maggie Williams and Webster Hubbell. Ruddy draws attention to the fake cover-up by the Clinton White House and AWAY from the real cover-up by the FBI and American press.

The mug shots missing include FBI agents William Columbell and Larry Monroe and journalists like Mike Isikoff, Jerry Seper and Christopher Ruddy.

Did you know that Christopher Ruddy made regular visits to the home of the former Director of the FBI William Sessions? I know this because I drove him there.

Mr. Sessions photo is also displayed in Ruddy's book with the deceptive caption saying "Clinton had politicized the bureau and compromised the FBI's role in the Foster death case." No wonder former FBI Director Sessions is quoted on Ruddy's book jacket heaping praise on this journalist that always covered up the FBI role in the initial death investigation.

I trusted Christopher Ruddy and he would call me almost every week and ask what I had uncovered. I would always tell him and show him my cards.

"In the third rank we shall set up our own, to all appearance, opposition, which, in at least one of its organs, will present what looks like the very antipodes to us. Our real opponents at heart will accept this simulated opposition as their own and will show us their cards."

I am wiser now.

Hugh Turley
 co-author of Failure of the Public Trust
FBIcover-up.com

47 Posted on **02/07/2000 08:11:27 PST** by [Hughie2u](#) (Turley@acninc.net)
[\[Reply \]](#) [Private Reply](#) [To 45](#) [Top](#) [Last](#)]

To: **Hughie2u**

Why is it [by your account (not meaning to be construed by you that I am in opposition to it, your account)] Sessions was not only complicit in the Foster case coverup with Ruddy, but also appears to have been ousted as a result of it [as well as for expediency in the WACO halocaust]?

The latter is 'somewhat' understandable, but the [only] reason I can feature for the former was to rehabilitate his own reputation--or was he likewise unequivocally a player?

Is it possible he would go along with one [the Foster case] but not the other [WACO]?

48 Posted on **02/07/2000 11:02:24 PST** by [metalbird1](#)
[\[Reply \]](#) [Private Reply](#) [To 47](#) [Top](#) [Last](#)]

To: **metalbird1**

~~halocaust~~ holocaust

49 Posted on **02/07/2000 11:07:17 PST** by [metalbird1](#)
[\[Reply \]](#) [Private Reply](#) [To 48](#) [Top](#) [Last](#)]

To: **Hughie2u**

Haven't read Ruddy's book yet. Just have a quick question. Im trying to muster up the meaning of what you said concerning Ruddy's book. That's all. Do you have any pics that you are referring to online, or are they the already "common" pictures of the silver Honda at the park?

I tend to agree with Ruddy on a couple of your initial points, just want to hear your speculation of the content of Ruddy's writing.

50 Posted on **02/07/2000 11:29:02 PST** by [jjm](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 47](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [Uncle Bill](#)

Another thread for your time-line:

Jan '97: Sheila Anthony (VF's sister) nominated by Clinton to become a Federal Trade Commission commissioner.

9/10/97: Nomination ratified by senate Commerce Committee.

Anthony worked at the Justice Department (Office of Legislative Affairs) from 1993 to 1995 as a liason between the department and Congress. She also helped manage the Senate confirmation process for federal judges, assistant attorneys general and U.S. attorneys.

She is the wife of former Dem. Rep. Beryl Anthony and is from Hope.

51 Posted on **02/07/2000 11:34:05 PST** by [Vide](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 1](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [metalbirt1](#)

bump

52 Posted on **02/07/2000 11:38:54 PST** by [wooly_mammoth](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 49](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [metalbirt1](#)

We now know from the official record that the FBI was part of the initial investigation of Foster's death and the same FBI had a hand in each investigation that followed. FBI agents are defendants in the civil rights lawsuit by Patrick Knowlton, the grand jury witness that was harassed and intimidated. It is interesting that Christopher Ruddy ALWAYS said the FBI was "kept out" of the initial investigation, Ruddy quoted secret FBI sources in his articles, Ruddy made frequent visits to the former FBI Director's home, and the former FBI director praised Ruddy's reporting.

It is also curious that "former FBI agent" G. Gordon Liddy, just like Mr. Ruddy has incorrectly said that the FBI was "kept out" of the Foster death investigation and that the investigation was handled by the Park Police alone. Liddy of all people just happened to bring forward the "confidential" witness who claimed he found Foster's body. And Liddy, just like Ruddy, likes to argue that Foster may have committed suicide but his body was moved to Fort Marcy Park.

Another celebrated "former FBI agent" Gary Aldrich devoted an entire chapter of his book to Foster's "suicide". Aldrich wrote on page 77 of his book that the FBI was not part of the Foster death investigation just like Mr. Ruddy and Mr. Liddy. Aldrich once claimed on Janet Parshall's talk radio that he had a "sworn affidavit from Mrs. Foster" that stated that Foster wrote the torn "suicide" note. Later on the Bob Grant Show in NY City Aldrich finally admitted that this was not true and he never had any affidavit from Mrs. Foster.

Ruddy, Liddy and Aldrich conceal the FBI role in the Foster cover-up. They always blame the Clintons who BTW will be gone from office in less than one year. The FBI and the American press our permanent institutions will remain in power.

53 Posted on **02/07/2000 17:58:47 PST** by [Hughie2u \(Turley@acninc.net\)](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 48](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [jjm](#)

You asked, "I tend to agree with Ruddy on a couple of your initial points, just want to hear your speculation of the content of Ruddy's writing."

Ruddy's book is a cover-up book. The book is very cleverly written and demonstrates a good command of what needs to be covered up. Evidence and facts are scattered and not organized for the reader. If you check the sources that support his endnotes you will find that Ruddy himself is the source since he quotes from his newspaper articles and many of them are supported by anonymous sources.

The book gives the impression that something is wrong with the Foster case and that much is true. But Ruddy leads the reader toward the weakest evidence and some of his facts are just plain wrong. The book is designed to keep you from finding the truth. He stays away from the most important evidence like the role of the FBI in the cover-up, which he leaves out of the book.

On page 127 Ruddy builds up the American press and presents Fred Barnes to be a good journalist. What Ruddy fails to tell the reader is that Ruddy and I saw Fred Barnes in Washington in February of 1996. Ruddy prodded me to go over and talk to Barnes which I did. I then came back and reported to Ruddy that Barnes told me, "Conservatives should ignore the death of Vincent Foster and stick to the real issues...It was suicide...No, I don't want to talk to your friend Patrick Knowlton."

The truth is the cover-up could not have succeeded without the press and journalists like Fred Barnes going along with it. Ruddy does not reveal that fact in his book, he covers it up.

The truth prefers the light and that which is false seeks the darkness. Why doesn't Christopher Ruddy come into the light and join this discussion?

Hugh Turley
co-author of Failure of the Public Trust
FBIcover-up.com

54 Posted on 02/07/2000 18:25:14 PST by [Hughie2u](#) (Turley@acninc.net)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 50](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: Hughie2u

While there is no point of contention on my part insofar as your post to me is concerned, it does not anywhere specifically address my questions; and nowhere in it is the name "Sessions" mentioned, that I saw.

Just out of curiosity, why no comment to my posts along the way in this thread? You cannot have missed them, right?—or certainly at least not now by my mentioning it.

And a comment...

Of course we know Foster became expendable when he was no longer of any use *and* subject to being exposed, yes?

55 Posted on 02/07/2000 18:51:14 PST by [metalbird1](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 53](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: Hughie2u

You mention that Ruddy implies that the FBI was kept out of the "initial" investigation. You seem to imply that they were. What part of the initial investigation are you speaking? The men in the park when Knowlton was present? The death investigation at the park? The subsequent notification of the family? The sealing of the office at 10:00 the next morning? Or the subsequent investigation that was turned over to those other than the USPP? Hugh, I'm not drilling you for the sake of Ruddy's book. I probably won't even read it, because I'm sure it won't provide anything I don't already know. Just trying to find the slant you are taking to speculate what happened 6 1/2 yrs ago.

56 Posted on 02/08/2000 05:33:18 PST by [jjm](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 54](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: metalbird1

You wrote: "While there is no point of contention on my part insofar as your post to me is concerned, it does not anywhere specifically address my questions; and nowhere in it is the name "Sessions" mentioned, that I saw."

I don't know what your questions are about Mr. Sessions. I know that Mr. Sessions praised Ruddy's book. Either the former FBI Director is ignorant and actually believes Ruddy's book is of value or he is wise and knows that the FBI was part of the cover-up from the beginning on July 20, 1993. I do not know. How stupid do you think William Sessions is?

You also asked: "Just out of curiosity, why no comment to my posts along the way in this thread? You cannot have missed them, right?—or certainly at least not now by my mentioning it."

You are wrong to assume that I have read every post on this thread. I read the first post with Ruddy's timelines and posted a comment. I have since read comments on my post. If you have other posts I have not read them.

You also wrote: "And a comment... Of course we know Foster became expendable when he was no longer of any use and subject to being exposed, yes?"

I do not agree or disagree. I have never known WHY Mr. Foster was murdered only that he was murdered. If he was "expendable" or "exposed" I do not know.

Hugh Turley
co-author of Failure of the Public Trust
FBIcover-up.com

57 Posted on 02/08/2000 06:26:50 PST by [Hughie2u \(Turley@acninc.net\)](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 55](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [jjm](#)

Jim-

Ruddy does not just imply that the FBI was kept out of the initial death investigation he states it as a fact and claims that the Park Police were in charge of the initial death investigation.

The official documents show the opposite is true. Depositions of Secret Service and FBI agents state that the FBI contacted the Secret Service on the evening of July 20th about their death investigation and made arrangements to enter the White House the following morning. The record shows that the FBI arrived BEFORE the Park Police and that the FBI interviewed WH staff in both the WH and the Old Executive Office Building on the WH campus. The FBI and Park Police conducted some joint interviews and the FBI agents wrote a Report of their initial death investigation.

The FBI also began a second investigation of the torn note discovered several days after Foster's death. Government officials, the American press and Christopher Ruddy have tried to claim that the FBI investigation of the note was the ONLY FBI investigation during the period immediately after Foster's death and they have falsely claimed that the Park Police alone handled the death investigation.

The official documents show that the FBI was involved early and removed evidence from Foster's office BEFORE the locks were changed. I had brought all of this to the attention of Christopher Ruddy and he wrote and told me to "stop bugging" him. I have copies of all of my correspondence with Mr. Ruddy from the beginning in January of 1995. Ruddy continued to report the facts wrong and he got it wrong in his book. He concealed the early investigation by the FBI and the former FBI Director William Sessions praised Ruddy for his reporting.

Here is another example of Ruddy misreporting what he knew to be true. Patrick Knowlton and John Clarke had written numerous letters to Ruddy telling him to stop saying that Patrick Knowlton saw Foster's car at Fort Marcy Park because it was not true. Ruddy continued the lie on talk radio interviews by cleverly saying "it was reported that Patrick Knowlton saw Foster's car". Then off-the-air Ruddy would defend himself saying that HE didn't actually say that Patrick Knowlton saw Foster's car, he only said that it was REPORTED. In his book Ruddy wrote that Mr. Knowlton saw Foster's car and he knew this was false.

Once you know how Ruddy uses this technique a careful reader can see how Ruddy plants false information in his book. When Ruddy writes "Fiske reported" or the "FBI reported" the reader should beware of that what follows may or may not be true.

Ruddy's book demonstrates a masterful command of the subject. In order to conceal the truth so cleverly the author(s) would have to know the whole story and to know exactly what needed to be concealed.

It makes you wonder who helped him with this book. It would have to be someone who knew the record well, perhaps even someone we might know.

Regards,
Hugh Turley
co-author of Failure of the Public Trust
FBIcover-up.com

58 Posted on 02/08/2000 07:24:42 PST by [Hughie2u \(Turley@acninc.net\)](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 56](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [Hughie2u](#)

Regarding your not knowing the answers to my questions, "I do not know" is a legitimate response—or at least can be construed as such from what one believes to be an honest source.

I do not at all either think Sessions is stupid.

In that Sessions was ousted from the FBI, with prejudice, so to speak, I believe it 'possible', then, he [Sessions] might have been coerced into giving his seal of approval to Ruddy's book—which, after all's said and done, would lend credibility to it.

I did not assume you read my posts to others in this thread; otherwise, I would not have suggested your doing so had you not before.

But I've got you down for the record now: you didn't see them.

It is invariably much wiser on the part of independent researchers to just point out the discrepancies in a case of this sort than to attempt to solve it; otherwise, that crosses over the line into becoming an investigator.

Which brings me back to motive.

You state, insofar as motive is concerned, that you do not "agree or disagree" with what I said.

That harkens back to some FOIA responses I've received, their saying, "we can neither confirm nor deny..."

59 Posted on 02/08/2000 09:34:03 PST by [metalbird1](#)

[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 57](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [Hughie2u](#)

It wasnt me :o)

60 Posted on 02/08/2000 10:22:00 PST by [jjm](#)

[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 58](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [metalbird1](#)

I agree with you that when Sessions was ousted from the FBI it had the appearance of "prejudice" and as you wrote:

"In that Sessions was ousted from the FBI, with prejudice, so to speak, I believe it 'possible', then, he [Sessions] might have been coerced into giving his seal of approval to Ruddy's book--which, after all's said and done, would lend credibility to it."

Have you ever noticed that sometimes professional wrestlers look like they are "really fighting". Sometimes it looks so real. And so it is with our "competing" newspapers, the conservative Republicans and liberal Democrats, and when Clinton fired Sessions it looked like they were really on opposite sides.

Ruddy looked like he was really a critic of Starr but if he was really a critic he would have reported the contents of Knowlton's addendum to Starr's Report. It looks like Ruddy was more like a professional wrestler.

I do not know if Sessions and others are ALL real opponents or fake opponents but I do know that when it looks like they are really fighting sometimes they are not. One thing everyone from Limbaugh to Clinton agree on is that they will not talk about that addendum to Starr's Report.

Have you ever wondered of all professional wrestlers are "in on it"? Or if there are any professional wrestlers that are real? Or is it possible that even the referees would be in on the fake wrestling too? Not even one liberal and conservative journalist will report the existence and contents of that court ordered addendum.

-Turley

61 Posted on 02/08/2000 11:19:24 PST by [Hughie2u](#) (Turley@acninc.net)

[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 59](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [jjm](#)

I know it wasn't you but I appreciate your interest in the facts.

Turley
FBIcover-up.com

62 Posted on 02/08/2000 11:21:48 PST by [Hughie2u](#) (Turley@acninc.net)

[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 60](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

<http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a388cc409546e.htm>

5/28/02

To: [Hughie2u](#)

If you'll allow me to serve as my own reference, I do not consider myself to be unsophisticated. So your WWF illustration was unnecessary, just for background.

These stand in opposition to your implicit contention of Sessions,

his [Sessions'] reported (earlier) intention of interceding and arbitrating at WACO, for which he was purportedly denied access to an FBI plane;

the hardly well-kept secret that Sessions' replacement, Louis Freeh, was already known as a team player in New York (but if Sessions was, as you suggest, what did they need Freeh for?);

the shoddy and contrived manner in which Sessions was ousted [let alone that it happened at all--when has an FBI director ever been fired before, please?]-as well as the timing of it.

[Refresh my memory here, on what date did it--Sessions' firing--occur, please?]

I'll be appreciative if you are not remiss in responding to each of my points.

[63](#) Posted on **02/08/2000 12:25:22 PST** by [metalbird1](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 61](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [metalbird1](#)

You wrote: "If you'll allow me to serve as my own reference, I do not consider myself to be unsophisticated. So your WWF illustration was unnecessary, just for background."

I understand but others may not be as unsophisticated and I was writing for all.

These stand in opposition to your implicit contention of Sessions, his [Sessions'] reported (earlier) intention of interceding and arbitrating at WACO, for which he was purportedly denied access to an FBI plane;

I do not know about [Sessions'] reported intentions and WACO or any FBI plane access being denied. These are not issues I have researched. What is your source for these events?

the hardly well-kept secret that Sessions' replacement, Louis Freeh, was already known as a team player in New York (but if Sessions was, as you suggest, what did they need Freeh for?);

I do not know if Sessions was or was not a team player. For all we know Sessions may have wanted to resign and the "firing" was designed to buy public confidence that there are adversarial parties in Washington (we know the public is offered false opponents like Chris Ruddy the false critic). Since we know that the entire press will conceal the apparent MURDER of a White House official how can we trust anything they publish about Sessions or anything else without checking the facts ourselves? I have simply stated what we do know from the facts and that is that Sessions has endorsed Ruddy's cover-up book on Foster. I do not know the motives of Sessions but he clearly is on record endorsing a very deceptive book by Christopher Ruddy.

the shoddy and contrived manner in which Sessions was ousted [let alone that it happened at all--when has an FBI director ever been fired before, please?]-as well as the timing of it.

the "shoddy contrived manner" means nothing to me anymore than the manner or timing that James Carville and Ken Starr seemed to be on opposite sides. The fact that our untrustworthy press played up the firing of Sessions just like they publicized Carville attacking Starr should make the public suspicious. Sessions may actually have been fired and there may have actually been bad feelings. The problem is we the people have no way of REALLY knowing what is true unless we check out the facts.

Some things in the news are true and some things are not. For example EVERY newspaper in the USA said that Starr's Report on Foster was 114 pages long. The Government Printing Office says it is 137 and if you order a copy you get 137 pages. So 114 pages might seem correct to anyone foolish enough to trust the American press and fail to look at the evidence. The same would be true for news reports about Sessions or anything else right? I would not depend on the American press to inform me that Sessions was denied that FBI plane would you?

[Refresh my memory here, on what date did it--Sessions' firing--occur, please?]

I believe he was fired on July 19, 1993 if my memory is correct but I would have to get out of my chair and dig in the old file cabinet to be absolutely sure. And I will get up if you want me to.

I'll be appreciative if you are not remiss in responding to each of my points.

Did I cover everything?

64 Posted on 02/08/2000 14:13:57 PST by [Hughie2u \(Turley@acninc.net\)](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 63](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: Hughie2u

Yes, I believe you provided a response to each point.

I'm 'of the hope,' in referring to me, you didn't mean, "others may not be as unsophisticated as you." As I say, it's just a hope on my part.

I do not have the source of the reportage of Sessions and WACO off the top, as I have read 'to some degree' about it--but I do not recollect it having come from the "American press," per se, as you suggest, with your apparent implication of carrying baggage. But it was also not lost on you *my* implication, that if Sessions expressed a willingness to intercede and arbitrate at WACO that that would not be indicative, an m.o., of a Clinton team player.

You state, "I do not know if Sessions was or was not a team player." That is the whole point here. My suggesting he might have been coerced is no less valid than your implication he is a party to a coverup by having endorsed Ruddy's book. I could just as well say, there is always a danger in seeing conspiracies where they don't exist; or, perhaps more apropos, my conspiracy is better than yours.

The number of actual pages in the Starr Report versus the number of pages reported in the media is not satisfactorily germane in consideration of what is to follow here shortly.

Your point about Carville and Starr is taken; whether it is true about Sessions as well is yet to be borne out.

And finally, that is my recollection as well, that Sessions was fired 7-19-93--a date of which you neglect to mention the significance of--THE DAY BEFORE FOSTER DIED, which is suggestive of several things, it supports my contention that Freeh was brought in because he could be counted on as a team player; it supports my contention that Sessions was fired because he could *not* be counted on as a team player.

65 Posted on 02/08/2000 16:01:58 PST by [metalbird1](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 64](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: metalbird1

{And to the more cynically-minded, such as myself, it also suggests it is not a matter of Foster having committed suicide and his body being moved but rather that he was murdered.}

66 Posted on 02/08/2000 16:14:41 PST by [metalbird1](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 65](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: Helen

FYI, especially replies #41, 58, 61. Webs within webs. When time permits (couple months?), I'd like to discuss this with you if you'd like.

67 Posted on 02/08/2000 17:46:00 PST by [Sal](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 57](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: metalbird1

I did not mention Sessions being fired on July 19 as significant because Christopher Ruddy DID mention it. Ruddy has repeatedly said the "firing" was significant because according to Ruddy and Sessions and FBI man Gary Aldrich the "firing" compromised the FBI investigation of Foster's death. Ruddy and Aldrich have both said (incorrectly) that the FBI was kept out of the death investigation.

Christopher Ruddy and Gary Aldrich have demonstrated that they are not reliable. Since they both sell the Sessions firing as significant I am not willing to jump on board as quickly as I did in 1995 when I trusted Chris Ruddy. One thing we know for sure the FBI was not "kept out" of the initial death investigation as Ruddy and Aldrich claimed.

We also know that Sessions endorsed Ruddy's first book from 1995 (Ruddy signed my copy, "To Hugh, one of the great Americans I know!"). We also know that Ruddy made regular visits to Sessions private home and we know that Sessions praised Ruddy's book in 1997. Ruddy has a long track record of covering up the truth about Foster's murder and the role of the FBI in the cover-up. If Sessions is a good guy why doesn't he endorse Patrick Knowlton and praise him for coming forward to tell the truth instead of praising Chris Ruddy?

I don't see any significance in the firing of William Sessions on July 19th except to buy him credibility to endorse the cover-up artist and regular house guest to his home, Christopher Ruddy.

Just some thoughts.

Hugh Turley
co-author of Failure of the Public Trust
FBIcover-up.com

68 Posted on 02/08/2000 17:59:55 PST by [Hughie2u \(Turley@acninc.net\)](mailto:Hughie2u@acninc.net)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 65](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [Hughie2u](#)

You didn't mention Sessions being fired on July 19th because Ruddy did?
Weak. Are you making this up as you go along? If that were the case, why bother before going into winded details about false critics when probably as many [if not more] people here know of that as have read Ruddy?

Yes, it [the hiring and firing, by definition], compromised the FBI, not because it [the FBI] was kept out of the investigation. That harkens to this old expression, "The right string, but the wrong yo-yo."

No, I don't know about Ruddy making regular visits to Sessions' home. Did you not say you were the source of it?

You say, if Sessions is a good guy why does he do this and that? Try a second guess. I've heard your first, the reasons for which all but dismissed.

You don't see a significance in the firing of Sessions on the 19th? Read my post at 65 to you. Apparently you haven't yet.

I don't quite see why yet, but I sense unless Sessions is portrayed as compromised it forecloses the possibility that Foster just committed suicide and was moved.
I'll have to think this over myself, I know.

69 Posted on 02/08/2000 18:44:00 PST by [metalbird1](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 68](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [metalbird1](#)

If you scroll to the first message on this thread you can see that Ruddy listed the firing of Sessions on his time line along with dozens of other events that are not significant.

I read your post at 65 and I still don't attach any special significance to the dismissal of Sessions on July 19th any more than the much publicized "Whitewater papers removed from Foster's office" also in Ruddy's time line.

As I pointed out in my original post #41 on this thread and perhaps you missed it, Ruddy plays up the unimportant events and conceals the best evidence. Ruddy makes a big deal about the Sessions dismissal in his book and his time line.

Some people think it is important. I do not.

Hugh Turley
co-author of Failure of the Public Trust
FBIcover-up.com

70 Posted on 02/08/2000 19:52:13 PST by [Hughie2u \(Turley@acninc.net\)](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 69](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: Hughie2u

You say, Ruddy includes the firing of Sessions in his list of dozens of events that are not significant. That neither makes it significant nor insignificant on your say-so, regardless whether Ruddy says it is.

Your attaching my thesis of the firing of Sessions to the removal of Whitewater papers from Foster's office is sophistry.

Lastly, a question...

Wasn't it Sessions who reportedly fell off the curb in front of the FBI Building on Pennsylvania Ave. on or about the day of his firing and injured his shoulder, subsequently having to wear his arm in a sling?

71 Posted on 02/09/2000 00:14:40 PST by [metalbird1](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 70](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: metalbird1

Bird - - never confuse an shoulder with an elbow! (especially if someone is being "elbowed" out of his job as FBI Director or out of life as WH counsel).

Your question to Hughie2U re Wm Sessions' injury in answered in the second last sentence of this "oldie" which I retrieved from the archives of Jude Wanninski's Polyconomics... However, I posted a second article below it and there is a footnote at the very end which says that Foster was NOT at the meeting when Sessions was fired. Can you sort all this out?

Related Links: The Ambrose Evans-Pritchard Archive

The Secret Life of Bill Clinton: The Unreported Stories by Ambrose Evans-Pritchard

The Ongoing Whitewater Investigation – Yahoo! Full Coverage August 12, 1999 - - Who is Vince Foster?

Memo To: Robert Bartley, WSJ editor
From: Jude Wanniski
Re: Same Old Question

The new book, Bill and Hillary: The Marriage, opens up that old question you posed in a lead editorial back in 1993 – "Who is Vince Foster?" – not long before his lifeless body was found in Fort Marcy Park, several miles from his desk at the White House. I always could appreciate the anger you felt when the spin-doctors at the White House came up with the story that Foster committed suicide in a depression brought on by the editorial. As you will recall, we had several conversations at that time in which I passed on comments to you that I had received from a friend with ties to the FBI about foul play and the possibility Foster was murdered.

I still believe that no matter how he died, it did not happen at Fort Marcy. Whether he committed suicide and was taken there or bumped off and taken there, I don't know. But it was one or the other. The book's revelations about a long-time love affair between Vince and Hillary is of course no surprise, as rumors were rampant back in 1993, and, in light of what we have since learned about the marriage, perfectly credible. The book, though, has stirred the pot again, which may reopen the potential for inquiries that will lead in new directions.

Here is one comment from a friend that sounds right:

Vince's firing of FBI Director Sessions at Hillary's insistence on or about July 16 was without doubt the "trigger" for Vince's untimely demise – which I suppose was suicide. But not at Fort Marcy. He lived in Georgetown with his sister, had only been in the DC area for 6 months and could not have even known that park was there. People who travel the GW parkway every day don't

know it's there. It has a T-bone entrance, there are no off-ramps or on-ramps. I suppose Vince committed suicide in the WH parking lot, just as the Arkansas State troopers at the Governor's Mansion — where Hillary was when they found her to tell her about Vince — were originally informed. And the National Park Service — the custodians of the White House — moved his vehicle and body to the nearest place under their control where it wouldn't be found for quite a spell. The nearest such place under their control to the White House was Fort Marcy. It is an absolute miracle that his body was found so soon, being laid out way to hell at the back of the Park, off any foot path and on the slope down to the Potomac. Ordinarily the Park would have only been searched after a car had been found parked there overnight and it was found to have been his. If they had just found any old car there, they would have just put a ticket on it. And after a few weeks worth of tickets they might have checked.

The friend is just a fellow who lives near Fort Marcy and knows it well, and immediately was suspicious about the accounts of the suicide. He's read everything reported about the event, mulled it over many times, and has a working theory that Foster did commit suicide, for a reason that makes better sense than any other I've heard: "The scenario is that Vince committed suicide because of the confrontation with FBI Director Sessions with respect to his direct responsibility for the Waco Massacre.

In the early months of the Clinton Administration, we now know that Hillary was Co-President and had 4-5 Cabinet Departments under her. One was Justice and she ran it through Vince in the White House and Webb Hubbell at the Department of Justice. She tried to run the FBI through Kennedy but Sessions wouldn't take orders. At that point Reno still didn't know where the Ladies Room was. Acting on info supplied by FBI that the Davidian children were being abused, Hillary told Vince to fix it! Rescue those children! So Vince-Webb-Sessions did and the kids were incinerated. This could have bothered Vince more than somewhat and he blamed himself.

Hillary blamed Sessions for Waco and the travel office firing debacle and insisted he be replaced with one of their people. Sessions refused to go quietly and was actually fired at a contentious meeting at Justice.* Sessions may even have threatened to spill the beans on Hillary-Vince. Sessions fell and broke his elbow on the curb at Justice when exiting the meeting.

Two or three days later Vince committed suicide."

What do you think? It sounds plausible, especially when you throw in all the other stuff Vince may have known was coming down the pike... In case you missed it, here is the latest from the London Daily Telegraph.

7 August 1999

Hillary's Lover, the FBI and the Vital Questions By Ambrose Evans-Pritchard

Hillary Clinton was warned. If she used her position as First Lady to launch a candidacy for the US Senate, she would forfeit her privileges and immunity. Suppressed stories would come to light. Her on-off, 15-year love affair with Vincent W Foster would find its way from the fringes of right-wing, talk-radio to the pages of the great metropolitan newspapers. As a politician, she would be fair game. And so it has happened this week, through the unlikely medium of "Bill and Hillary: The Marriage," a soap opera of reheated allegations, mostly without identified sources. But by dint of good timing, it has at least succeeded in breaking the Hillary-Vince taboo. That is progress. But the greater taboo still stands. No newspaper, no magazine, no political party, no element of the US power structure will dwell on the fact that Mrs Clinton's lover met his early end in the shrubbery of a Civil War park, with an untraceable Colt .38 revolver wedged in his hand, ostensibly by suicide. But even that taboo may not last forever.

She called him Vincenzo Fosterini. They downed Chianti over their long lunches at La Villa in Little Rock, soul mates drawn together by the suffocating Philistinism of Arkansas. A secretive, loyal, elegant, 6ft 4ins litigation attorney, with a devilish smile, it was he who recruited her as the first woman lawyer at the Rose law firm, and gave her first case before a jury — her brief was to defend a company being sued because a hillbilly had found a dead mouse in his tin of pork and beans. Welcome to Arkansas.

Vince was the master: Hillary was the besotted, mesmerised apprentice, even though she was the Yale-educated northerner, the veteran of the Nixon impeachment inquiry. She picked up his fastidious little ticks. Never fold your clothes when you're packing. You roll them up. "Vince taught me that," she lectured her daughter's nanny, Becky Brown. But then, she spent more time traveling with Vince than she did with her own, feckless spouse: to London, to New York, and to Chicago.

Gradually, the relationship changed. She became First Lady of Arkansas, bought some clothes, condescended to wear make-up, switched from pebble glasses to contact lenses, and turned herself into a celebrity, more beautiful with age. She moved on. He fell deeper in love, willing to do anything for her, and as I discovered investigating Foster in the early 1990s, she took advantage of this blind loyalty to take care of dirty business, first in Arkansas and then in Washington, where he was installed as Deputy White House Counsel.

It is a known fact that Foster served as the Clintons' general factotum at the White House, handling their tax problems, their blind investment trust, and the continuing fall-out from the Whitewater property deal. That much is beyond dispute. It is also known that a raiding party entered Foster's office shortly after his death on July 20, 1993. A Secret Service officer observed Hillary Clinton's chief of staff, Maggie Williams, leaving the office that night with an armful of files. Finally, it is known that Foster had a

fear that his phones were being tapped at the White House.

These facts alone should give pause for thought. Foster, after all, was the highest ranking official to meet a violent death in unexplained circumstances since President John F Kennedy. Even if it is true that Foster drove himself to Fort Marcy Park in his Honda Accord — a big if, since the police could not find his car keys (they turned up later in his pocket at the morgue after a visit by White House aides) — and even if he walked into the park and shot himself in the mouth, as we are told, it is still quite a story.

He never left a suicide note: the scraps of paper without fingerprints found six days later in his briefcase after it had been searched were just random jottings. And there was no apparent motive: the claim that he was depressed was largely invented later.

But did the First Lady's lover in fact shoot himself, or was he murdered? Kenneth Starr, the hapless scourge of the Clintons, certainly concluded that it was suicide. That is authority enough for most people. It has clearly dissuaded the Republicans from asking any more questions...for now. But it is not enough for those who have stepped deep into this swamp, and, ultimately, it may not stand up in court.

A crime scene witness, Patrick Knowlton, is quietly fighting a federal lawsuit against the FBI, alleging that agents falsified his witness statements and intimidated him as part of a conspiracy to cover up the death. His court filing tears the Starr report to shreds.

Those who say that Bill Clinton's nemesis would not have missed a chance to get to the bottom of the Foster case misunderstand the argument. The central allegation is that the Washington office of the FBI orchestrated a cover-up immediately after Foster's death. Once this had occurred there was no going back. The FBI and the Justice Department were institutionally committed. It would have taken a granite prosecutor to crack this open. Mr Starr was not a man who was going to tangle with the FBI.

Some of his staff tried, nevertheless. Mr Starr's lead prosecutor in the case, Miquel Rodriguez, the man who conducted the witness cross-examinations, suspected that Foster's death was staged to look like a suicide. As he tried to probe, FBI agents began to obstruct him. Planted stories appeared in the press stating that his investigation was closing down, when in fact it was cranking up. Mr Starr looked the other way.

Rodriguez discovered that the FBI had doctored the key surviving Polaroid taken of Foster's head and neck. By sleuth, he obtained the original, which I have examined. It shows a black stippled neck wound, half way between the chin and the ear, exuding blood. It looks like a small calibre gunshot fired at short range, probably a .22 handgun pressed into the neck. In the FBI's doctored photo, the wound has disappeared.

Why does it matter? Because the FBI engaged in flagrant evidence tampering, and because it invalidates the official story that Foster put a revolver in his mouth and blew his brains out. It confirms the testimony given by the paramedics who first handled the body. Long before I saw this photo, one of them jabbed his fingers in my flesh, below the jawline. He said: "Listen to me, and listen to me hard, because I'm only going to say it once. Vince Foster was shot in the neck."

We will probably never know why Foster met his bad end, but I suspect that it is linked to the equally bad end of one Luther "Jerry" Parks, shot two months later in Little Rock. Case unsolved. Parks had been security chief for the Clinton presidential campaign in Little Rock in 1992. But his ties go back further. According to his widow, Jane, he carried out sensitive assignments for the Clinton circle for a decade, taking his instructions from Foster.

In the late 1980s Foster asked Parks to carry out surveillance on Governor Bill Clinton himself. "Jerry asked him why he needed this stuff on Clinton," his wife told me. "He said he needed it for Hillary." It appears that Hillary wanted to gauge how reckless her husband was being before subjecting herself, and her daughter, to the media glare of a presidential campaign.

Over time Parks was drawn in deeper. In late 1991, Jane Parks discovered hundreds of thousands of dollars in the boot of her husband's Lincoln. "It was all in \$100 bills, wrapped in string, layer after layer," she said. Parks told her that he was paid to pick the money up at a remote airport in eastern Arkansas and deliver it to Foster.

Months after the presidential election, roughly mid-July 1993, Foster called Parks from Washington to say that Hillary had worked herself into a state about "the files." A few days later, almost certainly July 18, Foster called again to say that he had "made up his mind" and that he was going to give the files to Hillary, and wanted to be sure he had a complete set.

Parks protested angrily. "You can't give Hillary those files, it's a violation of our agreement." But Foster was adamant and said he was going to meet Hillary at "the flat," using the British word for apartment. Two days later Foster was found dead. When Parks heard the news on television, he went pale with shock. "I'm a dead man," he blurted out. Two months later he was indeed dead.

Foster cannot have met Hillary at "the flat" or anywhere else. She was in California on July 20, and flew that evening to Little Rock. But that does not preclude the possibility that Foster thought he had an assignation. As for the files, who knows?

The American press has ignored the life and death of Jerry Parks. Needless to say, the name is not even in the index of Bill and Hillary: The Marriage. But if Hillary Clinton wants to be a senator, and then president, perhaps they should take a look.

* Corrections made since 8/13/99:

The FBI Director at the time was William Sessions, not Webster. In addition, Foster was not at the meeting when Sessions was fired.

Return to top of page. ----- [Home/ Search/ Contact Us](#)

72 Posted on 02/09/2000 00:41:01 PST by [slym](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 71](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [slym](#)

Good post. Aren't you of late the mischievous one most foul...

The Jude Wanniski memo is so fraught with crap its beyond redemption.

Funny, while Turley ladles out the tirades against Ruddy, we overlook Ambrose Evans-Pritchard [AEP]. Here, you've shown AEP not only discusses the bono fides of Knowlton and the shredding of the FBI in his court case but also discusses the FBI coverup of the investigation which Turley rants about that Ruddy has covered up.

Tell you why I confused a shoulder with elbow injury of Sessions. It was because I was thinking of researcher Robert Fletcher, who states he received a shoulder injury after having been shot at with a microwave weapon by a government operative and has a million dollar lawsuit against the government.

Fletcher also states that had it been a little lower, instead of obliterating his shoulder it would have been his heart instead.

73 Posted on 02/09/2000 01:22:12 PST by [metalbird1](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 72](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [metalbird1](#) /font

Bold off.

74 Posted on 02/09/2000 01:26:49 PST by [metalbird1](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 73](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [metalbird1](#)

Now you ask

:"Lastly, a question... Wasn't it Sessions who reportedly fell off the curb in front of the FBI Building on Pennsylvania Ave. on or about the day of his firing and injured his shoulder, subsequently having to wear his arm in a sling? "

Why don't you go research that and find out?

My research is in our book Failure of the Public Trust, [FBIcover-up.com](#). I joined this thread to discuss Christopher Ruddy's flawed Time Line and demonstrate how Mr. Ruddy failed to include the best evidence.

I am not an expert on William Sessions falling off curbs.
Our American Press covers that kind of "news".
Check your library.

-Turley

75 Posted on 02/09/2000 06:43:48 PST by [Hughie2u](#) ([Turley@acninc.net](#))
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 71](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: [Hughie2u](#)

In your post 75 you say to me, why don't I research that and find out?
I am reminded of the answer in Post 72, that apparently you skipped by.

You're not an expert on Sessions falling off curbs?
I don't know why not, you seem to have a fixation on everything else about him.

76 Posted on 02/09/2000 11:20:49 PST by [metalbird1](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 75](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: slym

*Hillary blamed Sessions for Waco and the travel office firing debacle and insisted he be replaced with one of their people. Sessions refused to go quietly and was actually fired at a contentious meeting at Justice.**

Read a rah rah FBI book written by Buck Revell a little while ago. One interesting thing he said...(the only interesting thing in it, actually) was that Reno called him to recommend someone to replace Sessions and then asked him if Freeh was okay. He gave a hearty recommendation to Freeh.

So, Buck must be one of their people.

77 Posted on 02/09/2000 14:36:54 PST by [rubbertramp](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 72](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: metalbird1

Microwave weapon, eh? I just finished reading Tom Clancy's Politika (I swear that anyone can get a better understanding of what's going on in the world by reading Tom C than by reading any major newspaper). In it a private corporate spec ops squad uses a whole smorgasbord of 'non-lethal weapons' (some of which prove quite lethal as the plot develops). There are lasers and tasers and sticky web throwers and, and... well you read it and go figure.

The corporate goon squad really got me thinking... how big companies take over little private companies with innovative technologies. Start with Inslaw/PROMIS (now part of IBM, right?) and work your way through a few others:

- Ron Miller's Oklahoma co. shut down and then, after many faxes were received from the WH, bought out by Gage, which is/was owned by the Lums (of APAC and DNC funding notoriety);
- Vince Lodato and his stepper chip company and its sorry history (outlined in many messages on the RMN board);
- That American Chinese businessman who was found beaten and brain dead in Beijing a couple of weeks ago and his cutting edge tech company... wonder who'll pick that up?
- And then a different idea along the lines of "follow the money" occurred to me... We live in a sex-mad society and everyone assumes child abuse almost automatically when a little girl is murdered. Thus, the Jon Benet websites focus solely on her - the exploitation of a baby beauty queen, the forensic evidence which might (or might not) indicate molestation, etc. In the midst of all this noise, has anyone looked and what happened to John Ramsay's company and when? The phoney ransom demand was for \$118K, his prior-year bonus amount to the dollar... a cryptic clue, perhaps, that he sell out? So who owns his co. now? Lockheed Martin?

I'm sure you can add more examples to the above list.

78 Posted on 02/09/2000 18:25:48 PST by [slym](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 73](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: metalbird1

The honesty of my posts just flushes you spooks out every time.

Hugh Turley
Failure of the Public Trust
FBIcover-up.com

79 Posted on 02/09/2000 19:52:41 PST by [Hughie2u \(Turley@acninc.net\)](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 76](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: Hughie2u

"The honesty of my posts flushes you spooks out every time"?

There are two things that comment indicates, right off the top.

The first is, you shouldn't be serving as your own reference; the second is, the ignorance of its source.

It appears for some reason or other you have a lot invested in your position on Sessions. Why is that, when the fraudulence of the Foster investigation [or lack or same] stands on its own without it: coverup everywhere?

Perhaps you can help me out here...

Did I note correctly in passing that, besides B&N, your book is being promoted by Accuracy in Media [AIM]?

If that is correct, how are you connected to them?

I ask you for the reason it has been suggested by some AIM is a backdoor to the CIA?

What makes that contention interesting is that AIM is the group which made a concerted effort to attack the book

"COMPROMISED" and its co-author Terry Reed. You will remember it was that book [if you've read it] which alleges the drug trafficking/money laundering [and other criminal activities] out of Mena, Arkanas, connected to both Clinton and Poppa Bush [and a host of others in both political camps].

BTW, based on the above, who exactly might I be spooking for as the CIA was/is up to there in it?

Let me suggest this, then, that the importance of Sessions and the attacking of the FBI, while rightly so, has been hi-jacked and re-configured into the old standby, CIA vs. FBI turfwar.

What am I saying? It's no longer [if it ever was] about resolving or solving the Foster case. It's about the CIA unloading on the FBI.

Because if it were something other than that, you would have Patrick Knowlton come forward and tell how he came to know who owned that old brown Honda parked at Fort Marcy Park July 20, 1993--and then tell who it is.

80 Posted on 02/09/2000 20:57:39 PST by [metalbird1](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 79](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: slym

Inslaw is not a part of IBM, at least that I'm aware of.

IBM did make a loan to Inslaw some time back, I know that.

Talk was, it was done in the hopes that Inslaw would default on it, then IBM might take it over.

I had thought that John Ramsey had sold his company prior to JB's death, though I can't state it with an unqualified certainty.

81 Posted on 02/09/2000 21:15:38 PST by [metalbird1](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 78](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: metalbird1

You bore me.

Hugh Turley
Failure of the Public Trust
[FBIcover-up.com](#)

82 Posted on 02/10/2000 10:20:05 PST by [Hughie2u](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 80](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

To: metalbird1

bmp.

83 Posted on 02/10/2000 10:56:08 PST by [metalbird1](#)
[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 81](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

[To: Uncle Bill](#)

Bmp.

84 Posted on 02/10/2000 15:18:02 PST by [metalbird1](#)

[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 83](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

[To: metalbird1](#)

Very interesting, bump. I'll read it all tomorrow.

85 Posted on 02/12/2000 18:59:32 PST by [Leper Messiah](#)

[[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [To 81](#) | [Top](#) | [Last](#)]

Disclaimer: Opinions posted on Free Republic are those of the individual posters and do not necessarily represent the opinion of Free Republic or its management. All materials posted herein are protected by copyright law and the exemption for fair use of copyrighted works.

[[Top](#) | [Latest Posts](#) | [Latest Articles](#) | [Self Search](#) | [Add Bookmark](#) | [Post](#) | [Abuse](#) | [Help!](#)]

FreeRepublic , LLC, PO BOX 9771, FRESNO, CA 93794
Forum Version 2.0a Copyright © 1999 Free Republic, LLC