| - 1 |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1   | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT<br>EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK                                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2   | EASIERN DISIKI   | CI OF MEW TORK                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3   |  | X<br>•  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4   | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  | :<br>: 93 M 2072                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5   | <b>v</b> .   | : November 17, 1993                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6   | LEO EMIL WANTA,  | : Brooklyn, New York                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7   | Defendant.   | ;<br>;  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8   |  | X   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9   | TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL C   | AUSE FOR BAIL APPLICATION                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10  | BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALLYNE ROSS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE                                  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11  | APPEARANCES:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12  |  | ZACHARY W. CARTER                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13  | For the Government:  | UNITED STATES ATTORNEY BY: ERIC BERNSTEIN, ESQ. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14  | ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY  225 Cadman Plaza East   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15  |  | Brooklyn, New York 11201                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16  | For the Defendant:   | PETER KIRCHHEIMER, ESQ.                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19  | Audio Operator:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20  | Court Transcriber:   | THOMAS KRAMER COMPU-SCRIBE, INC.                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21  |  | 18 Clinton Lane Jericho, New York 11753         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22  |  | Jeffeno, New Tork 11733                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23  | Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24  | transcript produced by trai  | POLIPOION BOLVIOO                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

THE CLERK: United States versus Leo Emil Wanta, 93 1 2 20-72 M. Counsel, please state your name for the record. 3 MR. KIRCHHEIMER: Peter Kirchheimer for Mr. Wanta. MR. BERNSTEIN: Eric Bernstein for the Government. 5 Special Agent Denning (ph.), do you swear THE COURT: 6 to the truth of your complaint? 7 AGENT DENNING: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 Mr. Wanta, do you understand what this proceeding is? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: What does your client wish to do? 12 MR. KIRCHHEIMER: Well, Judge, it's a little unusual 13 in that it's a UFAB (ph.) not issued in this district, but 14 issued out of Wisconsin. I think normally they do UFAB here. 15 But my client would be delighted to waive removal to 16 Wisconsin. 17 In addition to that, we have a bail application. 18 think that on the removal, he ought to be out. My 19 understanding is that he flew into this country with the intent 20 of going to Wisconsin, number one. 21 Number two, if you read carefully the UFAB affidavit, 22 not the removal affidavit from this district but the affidavit 23 from Wisconsin, the State charge in Wisconsin is still a 24 complaint, there is no indictment, number one.

2 The 3 the 4 com

Number two, it is, the complaint is bare of dates. There is no statement when my client left the country and when the complaint was returned. The only statement in the UFAB complaint is once he was abroad, there is a statement that at some point when he was abroad he was given notice of the pendency of the charges, and further, earlier before there were any charges, an agent says that he told him he intended to file charges.

Of course, the agent's intent is almost irrelevant in this, because the agent, certainly in no jurisdiction that I'm aware of, has the power to file charges. He can ask a judge to sign a warrant or he can ask someone to file a complaint. But he doesn't have the power or the discretion to do that.

So any statement of his intent to do that is something that's going to happen in the future that may or may not happen.

In addition, my client has family in Wisconsin, I've talked to the family in Wisconsin on the telephone. I think this case — my fear is that what will happen if we don't do this is that Wisconsin will move for extradition and they'll start a state extradition process, which will take a month.

Alternatively, if you remove him in custody, that can take anywhere from a week to two weeks. The underlying charge is a state tax charge. I mean, I'm completely unfamiliar with the local law of Wisconsin. But I have to assume that if it's

treated sort of the same way that thing is treated here, that there's at least a significant possibility that he would not be facing any time. So that the time he spent in on this or the threat of time should he not go back when he was told to would be the severest consequence that he's facing.

My understanding is that there was some sort of airline credit card on him and that with the basis of the airline credit card he could fly himself back to Madison to face these charges.

He's expressed to me continuously his intent and his desire to do that. He was arrested coming into the United States, not going out. And he had been residing before that in Canada.

So that it's my — I suppose the answer is the Government can't have it both ways. Either he was aware of the charges and had left to flee and was coming back aware of those charges to face those charges, or alternatively he wasn't aware of the charges and came back thinking he wasn't going to be arrested. But, of course, if he wasn't aware of the charges, the UFAB doesn't stand up.

So this case cries out for release on his own recognizance or -- I'm sorry -- release in a ten or \$15,000 personal recognizance bond.

Additionally, I know it's sort of an extraneous but relevant fact, there is no room at the Metropolitan

Correctional Center. Were you to remand him, he would be taken
to a local facility in -- I think they're taking them to

Passaic. It's extremely difficult to use the telephone in

Passaic. I've had -- basically, of the four or five clients

I've had in the Passaic local facility I never get any contact
from them because they just don't seem to be able to use the
phone there.

Ii would add that I have personally spoken to family members. I spoke to his son-in-law in Au Claire, and his wife was supposed to be on the way to that telephone. I never did reach her, but my understanding is that there is --

I don't have a copy of that.

THE COURT: I'll read it out loud.

THE COURT: U.S. Ambassador to Somalia?

THE DEFENDANT: No. From Somalia to Canada.

MR. KIRCHHEIMER: A little bizarre, Judge, but neither here nor there. On the issue of flight --

(Pause in proceedings)

THE COURT: This sheds some light.

MR. BERNSTEIN: A little, Your Honor.

I spoke this afternoon with a woman named Judy Schultz, who's the Wisconsin Attorney General prosecuting the underlying case. She supplied me with some of the following information — she and/or Agent Denning provided me with some of the following information:

The Defendant was actually served with the Wisconsin UFAB complaint in Singapore in May of 1992. Shortly after that time, an attorney in Wisconsin named Thomas Wilson apparently contacted the Attorney General's office out there and represented that Mr. Wanta would surrender.

That didn't happen. In fact, he went on to Thailand, where he was arrested for participating in a significant fraud against a hotel. Apparently, one of the things that was involved in that case was the Defendant's production or use of in some capacity — I don't know exactly what — of what purports to be a billion dollar bill, and I'm handing to the Court a document that's been faxed to me from Wisconsin, which is a copy of a document that the Defendant produced or attempted to use in connection with this fraud charge that he was arrested on in Thailand involving a hotel.

After that, the Defendant went on to Switzerland, here he was arrested on charges involving another fraud, on Swiss charges involving fraud. He apparently spent several months in jail in Switzerland. Ultimately, he was not, the prosecution didn't go forward, and we're not exactly sure why, but the charges were dropped.

The State Department then revoked the Defendant's passport and the Defendant was deported by Switzerland to the United States.

And so Mr. Kirchheimer's representation that the

Defendant simply came back here knowing that there were charges against him is completely false.

In fact, when Mr. Denning was waiting for the Defendant to get off the plane, the Defendant said, "I'm the one you're looking for," because he knew that authorities knew that he was going to be on that plane, and he had been deported.

Ms. Schultz also advises me that the charges that Mr. Wanta is facing in Wisconsin are substantial under Wisconsin law; that it involves several hundred thousand dollars worth of tax fraud, that the maximum penalty is five years. And I asked Ms. Schultz what is a likely sentence in this case, and she said they're seeking the maximum and it's likely that he's going to get something like that.

We're not talking about a charge that involves only a negligible amount of jail time. We're talking about something that can realistically be expected to result in significant jail time.

We're also informed by Ms. Schultz that in consultation with this lawyer, Thomas Wilson, that the Defendant's family out there hasn't heard from him in two years, which I think the Court can take into account as an indication of what the nature of the Defendant's contacts with the United States, obviously.

In addition to the various claims that the Defendant

makes about himself, as reflected in the Pre-Trial Services report, which I know the Court has already read, the Defendant has claimed to work for the CIA and for Customs and an agent in Minneapolis customs office has been consulted about this and confirms that the Defendant was never, was simply not working for Customs.

He also claims to be the Somali ambassador to Canada and gave Ms. Denning a document to that effect; and in fact when Ms. Denning asked him what his permanent address was, he specified an address in Toronto, Canada, not in the United States.

I couldn't disagree more strongly with Mr.

Kirchheimer's statement that this is a case that cries out for bail. This is a case that cries out for detention, because the Defendant has been traveling around the world avoiding this prosecution and committing other frauds and engaging in all kinds of falsification of his own identity.

Under these circumstances, he is an enormous risk of flight, and the Government seeks detention.

MR. KIRCHHEIMER: A number of responses, Judge.

Lastly, of course, the Minneapolis, the unnamed Minneapolis customs officer --

MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll name him. His name is Mike Vanas, V-a-n-a-s.

MR. KIRCHHEIMER: -- who doesn't think that my client

worked for Customs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Some years ago there was a trial in this courthouse, the IRA trial, one of the IRA trials in front of Judge Coughlin, in which Ramsey Clark, who had previously been an Attorney General of the United States, took the witness stand and testified that on a prior similar trial to that he had informed the Court in question that the CIA had told him as Attorney General of the United States that they had no involvement in a particular case, and then in the middle of that case the prosecution was abandoned when the CIA changed its position.

The relevance of that, of course, is that who knows which Government agency --

> That's my problem. THE COURT: Who knows?

I'm not sure that the Government MR. KIRCHHEIMER: agencies are all that tremendously relevant.

The key here is that what Mr. Bernstein keeps talking about repeatedly is the foreign travel. But the problem is that there is no claim, because we don't have the date from when the accusation was returned, that he left after the institution of charges or after being aware of the institution of charges.

The only claim is that while --

Do you want a probable cause hearing? THE COURT: MR. KIRCHHEIMER: Well, I think that's a separate

23

22

24

issue, but right now I think more important is bail. 1 THE COURT: No, I'm not going to let him out. 2 tell you that. I just can't. 3 MR. KIRCHHEIMER: Then what I --4 THE COURT: So what would you like to do? 5 MR. KIRCHHEIMER: Give me a moment. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 (Discussion off the record.) 8 MR. KIRCHHEIMER: Judge, I have a second alternative 9 suggestion before we deal with the issue of going back. 10 been in contact with the family and I believe that the family 11 lives in Au Claire, Wisconsin. The family may be willing to go 12 to Madison. 13 I spoke to the son-in-law, who opined that my 14 client's wife is likely to be willing to go to Madison to sign 15 a bond in Madison. 16 THE COURT: Do you want to just put this off? 17 MR. KIRCHHEIMER: What I suggest is that we put it 18 off for tomorrow and I attempt to, I'll try and talk to the 19 family and see if I can present a surety. 20 THE COURT: A PRB wouldn't do in this instance. I 21 would need some security. I see real problems with this case, 22 Mr. Kirchheimer. 23 I guess I'd like --MR. KIRCHHEIMER: 24 THE COURT: A little more time?

| 1  | MR. KIRCHHEIMER: I'm just trying to what's good           |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | for the Court on Friday?                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | THE COURT: Friday? You want to come before or after       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | those gentlemen?  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | MR. KIRCHHEIMER: Oh, those folks are on? How about        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | tomorrow then?  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | THE COURT: Actually, we could do it Friday morning,       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | though.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | MR. KIRCHHEIMER: Friday morning?                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | THE COURT: Yes. 11 o'clock? I'm free.                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | MR. KIRCHHEIMER: I have an 11 o'clock. Could you do       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | it at 10:30?  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | THE COURT: I can do it at 10:30.                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'd like to reserve the question of        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | my belief is that in the end we will waive removal, but   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | before that happens I'd like to deal with the bail issue. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. So that's November 19 at 10:30 in        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | the morning.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |   |  |  |  |  |  |

CERTIFICATION I, Thomas Kramer, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recordings of the proceed-ings in the above-entitled matter. July 15, 1994 Thomas Kramer 



## COMPU-SCRIBE, INC.

18 Clinton Lane Jericho, New York 11753 (516) 935-4049 225 Cadman Plaza East S129 Brooklyn, New York 11201 (800) 300-1012

## INVOICE

TO:

Gregory Sali, Esq.

5625 Bond Street

Boise, Idaho 83706 (208) - 376 - 0 362

RE:

Eastern District/U.S. Courts

Tape Transcription

DATE:

July 15, 1994

INV #: FFC0715-3

TERMS:

Upon Receipt

I.D.#: 11-3076817

**REMARKS:** 

Daily Service

D.C.#:

PREPARED BY:

Jeff Howell

JUDGE:

A. Ross

| CAPTION    | FILE NO.  | DATE    | PAGES | RATE | EXTENSION |
|------------|-----------|---------|-------|------|-----------|
| US V WANTA | 93 M 2072 | 11/7/93 | 11    | 5.00 | \$55.00   |

TOTAL AMOUNT OF INVOICE:

Less Deposit Received

AMOUNT DUE:

Please address all remittances to:

18 Clinton Lane Jericho, New York 11753

Thank you.

\$55.00

\$55.00